

ON AN UPPER HEAT KERNEL BOUND FOR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON BOUNDED REGIONS IN \mathbb{R}^N

MICHAEL M. H. PANG

(Communicated by F. Gesztesy)

Abstract. We revisit an upper heat kernel bound for second order uniformly elliptic operators H defined on bounded regions Ω in \mathbb{R}^N . This bound is of the type

$$K_H(t, x, y) \leq c_1 \max\{t^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)}, 1\} e^{-E_1 t} \exp\left\{\frac{-|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t}\right\} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y)$$

where E_1 and ϕ_1 are, respectively, the ground state eigenvalue and the normalized ground state eigenfunction of H , Λ is the upper ellipticity constant of H , $a > 0$ is a constant related to a lower bound of ϕ_1 near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $c_1 > 0$ is a constant which depends on Ω , E_1 , the ellipticity constants of H , and a lower bound of ϕ_1 near $\partial\Omega$. In particular, this bound provides a corrected version of a bound originally studied in [2] for large time $t > 0$.

Let H be a second order uniformly elliptic operator of divergence form defined on a bounded region Ω in \mathbb{R}^N . Under certain assumptions on H and Ω , Davies and Pang gave an upper bound for the heat kernel $K_H(t, x, y)$ corresponding to e^{-Ht} in [2, Theorem 18]. In this paper we revisit this bound in [2], in particular, we provide a detailed corrected version of this bound for large time $t > 0$. Our main result is stated in Theorem 16. It gives a bound of the form

$$K_H(t, x, y) \leq c_1 \max\{t^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)}, 1\} e^{-E_1 t} \exp\left\{\frac{-|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t}\right\} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y)$$

where E_1 and ϕ_1 are, respectively, the ground state eigenvalue and the normalized ground state eigenfunction of H , Λ is the upper ellipticity constant of H , $a > 0$ is a constant related to a lower bound of ϕ_1 near the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω , and $c_1 > 0$ is a constant which depends on Ω , E_1 , the ellipticity constants of H , and a lower bound of ϕ_1 near $\partial\Omega$ (see below for precise definitions of these constants and assumptions on them). As a corollary we obtain an upper bound for the quantity

$$C(t) = \inf \left\{ \phi_1(x)^{-1} K_H(t, x, y) \phi_1(y)^{-1} : x, y \in \Omega \right\}$$

in Corollary 17. This quantity $C(t)$ is related to the fundamental eigenvalue gap of the elliptic operator H (see [2, Proposition 1]).

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35K08.

Keywords and phrases: Upper heat kernel bound.

Let Ω be a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$. Let $H \geq 0$ be the self-adjoint operator with domain $\text{Dom}(H) \subseteq L^2(\Omega)$ associated to the quadratic form

$$Q(f) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} dx$$

with form domain $\text{Quad}(H) = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, where $a_{i,j}$ are measurable and satisfy

$$0 < \lambda \leq \{a_{i,j}(x)\} \leq \Lambda < \infty \quad (x \in \Omega).$$

Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the eigenvalues of H and let ϕ_i be an eigenfunction of E_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and we can assume that $\phi_1 > 0$ and that $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. We shall also assume that Ω is strongly regular in the sense that there exists $c_1 = c_1(\Omega) \geq 1$ such that

$$c_1^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 d(x)^{-2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 dx \quad (f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega))$$

where

$$d(x) = \min\{|x-y| : y \notin \Omega\} \quad (x \in \Omega).$$

We shall also assume that there exist $a \geq 1$ and $b > 0$ such that

$$bd(x)^a \leq \phi_1(x) \quad (x \in \Omega).$$

Let $\mathcal{U} : L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ be the unitary operator defined by

$$\mathcal{U}f = \phi_1 f \quad (f \in L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)),$$

let $\tilde{H} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}H\mathcal{U}$ be the self-adjoint operator with $\text{Dom}(\tilde{H}) \subseteq L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ unitarily equivalent to H under \mathcal{U} , and let \tilde{Q} be the quadratic form associated to \tilde{H} . Then, by [2, Lemma 6], we have

PROPOSITION 1. *We have*

$$\int_{\Omega} f^2 (\log f) \phi_1^2 dx \leq \varepsilon \tilde{Q}(f) + \tilde{\beta}(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \log \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}$$

for all $0 \leq f \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap \text{Quad}(\tilde{H})$ and all $0 < \varepsilon < \infty$, where

$$\tilde{\beta}(\varepsilon) = c_{\Omega} a \log a - \left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right) (\log \lambda + \log \varepsilon) - \log b + c_{\Omega}$$

for some $c_{\Omega} \geq 1$ which depends only on Ω .

LEMMA 2. *We have*

$$\tilde{Q}(f) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} E_1 f(x)^2 \phi_1(x)^2 dx$$

for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_{i,j}$ are differentiable. Since \tilde{Q} is unitarily equivalent to Q under \mathcal{U} , we have, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{Q}(f) \\
 &= Q(\mathcal{U}f) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\phi_1 f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\phi_1 f) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \left[\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} f + \phi_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right] \left[\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_j} f + \phi_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_j} f^2 + \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_j} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} + \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \phi_1^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\} dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_j} \right) f^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^2) dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^2) dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{i,j} \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \right) f^2 dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{i,j} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \phi_1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_j} \right\} f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} E_1 \phi_1^2 f^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_j} \right] f^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The lemma now follows from (1) and (2). \square

We shall let $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded C^∞ function satisfying

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \leq 1$$

on Ω . Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\Phi = e^{\alpha \psi}$. We shall let $\check{H} = \tilde{H} - E_1$ and let \check{Q} be the quadratic form associated to $\check{H} \geq 0$. Then, by Lemma 2, we have

$$\check{Q}(f) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \tag{3}$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

LEMMA 3. *We have*

$$(1 - \mu)\check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) \leq \check{Q}(\Phi f, \Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) + \alpha^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)\mu} \right\} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p$$

for all $p > 2, 0 < \mu < 1$, and $0 \leq f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Q}(\Phi f, \Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\Phi f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx & (4) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \left[\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} f + \Phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[-\Phi^{-2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} f^{p-1} + \Phi^{-1} (p-1) f^{p-2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] \right\} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \left[\alpha \Phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} f + \Phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[-\Phi^{-2} \alpha \Phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} f^{p-1} + \Phi^{-1} (p-1) f^{p-2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] \right\} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \left[-\alpha^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} f^p + \alpha (p-1) f^{p-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \alpha f^{p-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} + (p-1) f^{p-2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] \right\} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} -\alpha^2 f^p \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \alpha(p-2) \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] f^{p-1} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^{p-1}) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\geq -\alpha^2 \int_{\Omega} f^p \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad - |\alpha| (p-2) \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right]^{1/2} f^{p-1} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^{p-1}) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

If $s > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right]^{1/2} f^{p-1} \phi_1(x)^2 dx & (5) \\
 &= \frac{2}{p} \int_{\Omega} f^{p/2} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f^{p/2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^{p/2}) \right]^{1/2} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
 &\leq \frac{s}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f^{p/2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^{p/2}) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx + \frac{1}{sp} \int_{\Omega} f^p \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{sp}{4(p-1)} \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f^{p-1}) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx + \frac{1}{sp} \int_{\Omega} f^p \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{sp}{4(p-1)} \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) + \frac{1}{sp} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \check{Q}(\Phi f, \Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) & (6) \\
 &\geq -\alpha^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\
 &\quad - |\alpha|(p-2) \left\{ \frac{sp}{4(p-1)} \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) + \frac{1}{sp} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \right\} + \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) \\
 &= \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) \left\{ 1 - \frac{s|\alpha|p(p-2)}{4(p-1)} \right\} - \left\{ \alpha^2 + \frac{|\alpha|(p-2)}{sp} \right\} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$s = \frac{4(p-1)\mu}{|\alpha|p(p-2)}$$

in (6) gives

$$\check{Q}(\Phi f, \Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) \geq (1-\mu) \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) - \alpha^2 \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)\mu} \right] \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p$$

which is the lemma. \square

LEMMA 4. Suppose $\beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} f^2 (\log f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx &\leq \varepsilon \check{Q}(f) + \beta(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2 & (7) \\
 &+ \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2 \log \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $0 \leq f \in \text{Dom}(\check{Q}) \cap L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$. Then we

have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f^p (\log f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \check{Q}(\Phi f, \Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) + \left\{ \frac{2}{p} \beta((1-\mu)\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \alpha^2 \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)\mu} \right] \right\} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \log \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $p > 2$, $0 < \mu < 1$ and $0 \leq f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. Replacing f by $f^{p/2}$ in (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{2} \int_{\Omega} f^p (\log f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx & \leq \left(\frac{p^2}{4(p-1)} \right) \varepsilon \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) + \beta(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\ & \quad + \frac{p}{2} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \log \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^p (\log f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx & \leq \varepsilon \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) + \frac{2}{p} \beta(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \log \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By (9) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^p (\log f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx & \leq \varepsilon (1-\mu) \check{Q}(f, f^{p-1}) + \frac{2}{p} \beta((1-\mu)\varepsilon) \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \log \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ & \leq \varepsilon \check{Q}(\Phi f, \Phi^{-1} f^{p-1}) \\ & \quad + \left[\frac{2}{p} \beta((1-\mu)\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \alpha^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)\mu} \right\} \right] \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \log \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

More notations. If S is a vector space of functions defined on Ω , then we will write

$$S_+ = \{f \in S : f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega\}.$$

Let

$$\check{\mathcal{D}}_1 = \bigcup_{t>0} e^{-\check{H}t} (L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx))_+,$$

and let

$$\check{\mathcal{D}} = \Phi^{-1} \check{\mathcal{D}}_1.$$

Then $\check{\mathcal{D}}_1$ and $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ are both dense in $L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)_+$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Also let

$$\check{K} = \Phi^{-1} \check{H} \Phi$$

with

$$\text{Dom}(\check{K}) = \{f \in L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) : \Phi f \in \text{Dom}(\check{H})\}.$$

Then it is easy to check that $-\check{K}$ is the generator of the c_0 -semigroup

$$e^{-\check{K}t} = \Phi^{-1} e^{-\check{H}t} \Phi$$

on $L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$. Note that $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ is invariant under the semigroup $e^{-\check{K}t}$. Since $e^{-\check{H}t}$ is holomorphic on $L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ (as $e^{-\check{H}t}$ is a symmetric Markov semigroup), the same is true for $e^{-\check{K}t}$. In particular $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ lies in the domain of $(-\check{K}_p)^n$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where $-\check{K}_p$ is the generator of $e^{-\check{K}t}$ on $L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $B \geq 0$ be a closed quadratic form with $\text{Dom}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Then it is well known that the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ defined by*

$$\langle f, g \rangle_B = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + B(f, g)$$

on $\text{Dom}(B) \times \text{Dom}(B)$ makes $(\text{Dom}(B), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B)$ a Hilbert space. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $\text{Dom}(B)$ and let $f \in \text{Dom}(B)$. Suppose that

$$\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty$$

and that

$$\sup \{ \langle f_n, f_n \rangle_B : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \} < \infty.$$

Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in $(\text{Dom}(B), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., for all $g \in \text{Dom}(B)$ we have

$$\langle f_n, g \rangle_B \longrightarrow \langle f, g \rangle_B \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

REMARKS. Proposition 5 can be proved using the spectral theorem.

LEMMA 6. *Let $p > 2$. If $f \in \text{Quad}(\check{H})$, then $\Phi^{-p} f \in \text{Quad}(\check{H})$.*

Proof. Since $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a form core of \check{Q} , it suffices to show that there exists $c \geq 1$ such that

$$c^{-1} \|f\| \leq \| \Phi^{-p} f \| \leq c \|f\| \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2 + \check{Q}(f) \quad (f \in \text{Quad}(\check{H})).$$

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{Q}(\Phi^{-p}f) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\Phi^{-p}f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\Phi^{-p}f) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \left(-p\Phi^{-p-1}\alpha\Phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} f + \Phi^{-p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(-p\Phi^{-p-1}\alpha\Phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} f + \Phi^{-p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \left(p^2\Phi^{-2p}\alpha^2 f^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} - p\Phi^{-2p}\alpha f \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - p\Phi^{-2p}\alpha f \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} + \Phi^{-2p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We deal with each term in the last line in (11). First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} p^2 \Phi^{-2p} \alpha^2 f^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} p^2 \Phi^{-2p} \alpha^2 \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \right] f^2 \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&\leq c(p, \alpha, \psi) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for some $c(p, \alpha, \psi) \geq 1$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} (-p)\Phi^{-2p}\alpha f \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right| \\
&\leq p|\alpha| \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right| \Phi^{-2p} |f| \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&\leq p|\alpha| \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\}^{1/2} \Phi^{-2p} |f| \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&\leq c(p, \alpha, \psi) \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\}^{1/2} |f| \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} c(p, \alpha, \psi) \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f^2 \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} c(p, \alpha, \psi) \check{Q}(f)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{4}c(p, \alpha, \psi) \left\{ \check{Q}(f) + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}c(p, \alpha, \psi) \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] \Phi^{-2p} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \tag{14} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha, \psi) \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= c(p, \alpha, \psi) \check{Q}(f). \end{aligned}$$

So, by (11), (12), (13), (14),

$$\check{Q}(\Phi^{-p}f) \leq c(p, \alpha, \psi) \|f\|^2 < \infty, \tag{15}$$

therefore

$$\| \Phi^{-p}f \| \|^2 \leq c(p, \alpha, \psi) \|f\|^2 \tag{16}$$

for some $c(p, \alpha, \psi) \geq 1$. Replacing α by $-\alpha$ we have, by (15),

$$\check{Q}(f) = \check{Q}(\Phi^p(\Phi^{-p}f)) \leq c(p, -\alpha, \psi) \| \Phi^{-p}f \|^2$$

and thus

$$\|f\|^2 \leq c(p, -\alpha, \psi) \| \Phi^{-p}f \|^2 \tag{17}$$

for some $c(p, -\alpha, \psi) \geq 1$. (10) now follows from (16) and (17). \square

PROPOSITION 7. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $f, f_n \in \mathcal{H}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Suppose that

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (g \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

COROLLARY 8. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let $A \geq 0$ be a self-adjoint operator with $\text{Dom}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Let $g, g_n \in \text{Quad}(A)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - g\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{18}$$

and that

$$g_n \xrightarrow{w} g \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \text{Quad}(A),$$

i.e.,

$$\langle g_n, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle A^{1/2}g_n, A^{1/2}f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \langle g, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle A^{1/2}g, A^{1/2}f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $f \in \text{Quad}(A)$. Suppose also that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\| \leq \|g\| \quad (19)$$

where

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \langle A^{1/2}f, A^{1/2}f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (f \in \text{Quad}(A)).$$

Then

$$\|g_n - g\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. By the spectral theorem we represent A as multiplication by a positive function $a : M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where (M, m) is a measure space, and

$$\text{Dom}(A) = \{f \in L^2(M) : af \in L^2(M)\}.$$

Take a subsequence $\{g_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{n_i}(x) = g(x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in M).$$

Then, by Fatou's lemma, (18) and (19),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M ag^2 dm &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M ag_{n_i}^2 dm \\ &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M ag_{n_i}^2 dm \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle A^{1/2}g_{n_i}, A^{1/2}g_{n_i} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \langle A^{1/2}g, A^{1/2}g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \int_M ag^2 dm. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_M ag_{n_i}^2 dm = \int_M ag^2 dm,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle A^{1/2}g_{n_i}, A^{1/2}g_{n_i} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle A^{1/2}g, A^{1/2}g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

thus

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|g_{n_i}\| = \|g\|.$$

So for any subsequence $\{g_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, there exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_{i_j}}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{g_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|g_{n_{i_j}}\| = \|g\|.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \|g_n\| \| = \| \|g\| \|.$$

Since $g_n \xrightarrow{w} g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\text{Quad}(A)$, Proposition 7 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \|g_n - g\| \| = 0. \quad \square$$

More notations. We let

$$\check{\mathcal{D}}_2 = (\text{Quad}(\check{H}) \cap L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx))_+.$$

Note that $\check{\mathcal{D}}_1 \subseteq \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$.

LEMMA 9. Let $\beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1}g)^p \log(\Phi^{-1}g) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \check{Q}(g, \Phi^{-p}g^{p-1}) \\ & \quad + \left\{ \frac{2}{p} \beta((1-\mu)\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \alpha^2 \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)\mu} \right] \right\} \| \Phi^{-1}g \|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \\ & \quad + \| \Phi^{-1}g \|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p \log \| \Phi^{-1}g \|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0, p > 2, 0 < \mu < 1$ and $0 \leq g \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then the inequality (20) also holds for all $g \in \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$.

Proof. Let $g \in \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$. Since $g \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ and Φ^{-1} is bounded, $\Phi^{-1}g \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$. Hence $\Phi^{-1}g \in L^q(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Since $x \mapsto x \log x$ is a bounded function on any bounded interval, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1}g)^p \log(\Phi^{-1}g) \phi_1(x)^2 dx < \infty, \quad (p > 2)$$

and thus the left side of (20) makes sense.

Since $g \in L^\infty$, say $\|g\|_\infty \leq k$, and $p > 2$, there exists a function $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F(x) = x^{p-1} \quad (0 \leq x \leq 2k+1),$$

that F has bounded derivative on $[0, \infty)$, and that

$$g^{p-1} = F(g).$$

So by the Beurling-Deny criteria (see [1, Theorem 1.3.3.]), there exists $c \geq 1$ such that

$$\check{Q}(g^{p-1}) \leq c \check{Q}(g) < \infty.$$

Clearly $g^{p-1} \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$, we deduce that $g \in \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$ implies that $g^{p-1} \in \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$, hence, by Lemma 6, $\Phi^{-p} g^{p-1} \in \text{Quad}(\check{H})$, and thus $\Phi^{-p} g^{p-1} \in \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$. Next, given any $g \in \check{\mathcal{D}}_2$, suppose

$$\|g\|_\infty \leq k. \quad (21)$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_n - g\|^2 &= \left\langle \check{H}^{1/2}(h_n - g), \check{H}^{1/2}(h_n - g) \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ &\quad + \|h_n - g\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ there exists a function $F_n : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that F_n is C^∞ , that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq F_n(x) \leq 2k && (x \in \mathbb{R}), \\ 0 &\leq F_n'(x) \leq 1 && (x \in \mathbb{R}), \\ F &(0) = 0, \\ F &(x) \leq x && (x > 0), \end{aligned}$$

and that if we put

$$g_n = F_n(h_n), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

then g_n satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq g_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \\ 0 &\leq g_n \leq 2k && (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\|g_n - g\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Q}(g_n) &= \int_\Omega F_n'(h_n)^2 \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial x_j} \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \check{Q}(h_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\|g_n\|\| \leq \|\|g\|\|.$$

Hence, by Proposition 5,

$$g_n \rightarrow g \quad \text{weakly in } \text{Quad}(\check{H}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, by Corollary 8,

$$\|\|g_n - g\|\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (24)$$

Let $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function described earlier in the proof of this lemma. Then the same argument used for g^{p-1} before shows that there exists $c' \geq 1$ such that

$$\check{Q}(g_n^{p-1}) \leq c \check{Q}(g_n) \leq c' \check{Q}(g) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Since multiplication by Φ^{-p} is a bounded operator on $\text{Quad}(\check{H})$, by the proof of Lemma 6, there exists $c'' \geq 1$ such that

$$\|\Phi^{-p} g_n^{p-1}\| \leq c'' \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (25)$$

By (21), (22), (23), we have

$$\|g_n^{p-1} - g^{p-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence

$$\|\Phi^{-p} g_n^{p-1} - \Phi^{-p} g^{p-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So Proposition 5 implies that

$$\Phi^{-p} g_n^{p-1} \rightarrow \Phi^{-p} g^{p-1} \quad \text{weakly in } \text{Quad}(\check{H}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (26)$$

Thus we have, by (24), (25), (26),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\check{Q}(g_n, \Phi^{-p} g_n^{p-1}) - \check{Q}(g, \Phi^{-p} g^{p-1})| \\ & \leq |\check{Q}(g_n - g, \Phi^{-p} g_n^{p-1})| + |\check{Q}(g, \Phi^{-p} g_n^{p-1}) - \check{Q}(g, \Phi^{-p} g^{p-1})| \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By (21), (22), (23), we have

$$\|g_n - g\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence

$$\|\Phi^{-1} g_n - \Phi^{-1} g\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (28)$$

Taking a subsequence of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ if necessary, we can, by (23), assume that

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in \Omega).$$

So by Fatou's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\Phi^{-1} g\right)^p \log_+(\Phi^{-1} g) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\Phi^{-1} g_n\right)^p \log_+(\Phi^{-1} g_n) \phi_1(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since $p > 2$, $x \mapsto x^{p-2} \log x$ is a bounded function on any bounded interval of $(0, \infty)$. By

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\Phi^{-1} g\right)^p \log_-(\Phi^{-1} g) \phi_1(x)^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \left(\Phi^{-1} g_n\right)^p \log_-(\Phi^{-1} g_n) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1} g)^2 [(\Phi^{-1} g)^{p-2} \log_-(\Phi^{-1} g) - (\Phi^{-1} g_n)^{p-2} \log_-(\Phi^{-1} g_n)] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} [(\Phi^{-1} g)^2 - (\Phi^{-1} g_n)^2] (\Phi^{-1} g_n)^{p-2} \log_-(\Phi^{-1} g_n) \phi_1(x)^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

(23) and the dominated convergence theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1}g)^p \log_{-}(\Phi^{-1}g)\phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1}g_n)^p \log_{-}(\Phi^{-1}g_n)\phi_1(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Finally (29) and (31) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1}g)^p \log(\Phi^{-1}g)\phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\Phi^{-1}g_n)^p \log(\Phi^{-1}g_n)\phi_1(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Since (20) holds for g_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, as $0 \leq g_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, the lemma now follows from (32), (27), and (28). \square

THEOREM 10. *Let $\varepsilon(p) > 0$ be a continuous function defined for $p > 2$ and let $0 < \mu < 1$. Let $\beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the hypothesis in Lemma 9. Put*

$$\Gamma(p) = \frac{2}{p}\beta((1-\mu)\varepsilon(p)) + \varepsilon(p)\alpha^2 \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)\mu} \right] \quad (p > 2).$$

If

$$t = \int_2^\infty \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{p} dp, \quad M = \int_2^\infty \frac{\Gamma(p)}{p} dp$$

are both finite, then

$$\left\| e^{-\kappa t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq e^M.$$

Proof. Define the function $S : [2, \infty) \rightarrow [0, t)$ by

$$S(p) = \int_2^p \frac{\varepsilon(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \quad (p \geq 2).$$

Then $S(2) = 0$, S is strictly increasing, and

$$S'(p) = \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{p} \quad (p \geq 2).$$

Let $P : [0, t) \rightarrow [2, \infty)$ be the inverse function of S . Then $P(0) = 2$ and

$$P'(\sigma) = \frac{P(\sigma)}{\varepsilon(P(\sigma))} \quad (0 \leq \sigma < t).$$

We also define the function $N_1 : [2, \infty) \rightarrow [0, M)$ by

$$N_1(p) = \int_2^p \frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \quad (p \geq 2)$$

and we let

$$N(\sigma) = N_1(P(\sigma)) \quad (0 \leq \sigma < t).$$

Then $N(0) = 0$ and

$$N'(\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(P(\sigma))}{\varepsilon(P(\sigma))} \quad (0 \leq \sigma < t).$$

Let $f \in \check{D}$ and put

$$f_\sigma = e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \quad (0 \leq \sigma < t).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left\{ \log \left[e^{-N(\sigma)} \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left\{ -N(\sigma) + P(\sigma)^{-1} \log \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(P(\sigma))}{\varepsilon(P(\sigma))} - P(\sigma)^{-1} \varepsilon(P(\sigma))^{-1} \log \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \\ & \quad + P(\sigma)^{-1} \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{-P(\sigma)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Consider the last term on the right side of (33):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)} - \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma)} \right\} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)} - \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)} \right\} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \quad + h^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)} - \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma)} \right\} \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} P(\sigma+h) \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \\ & \quad \times h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right) \log \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right) \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+k)-1} \\ & \quad \times h^{-1} [P(\sigma+h) - P(\sigma)] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where we have used

$$e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x)$$

to denote a number between $e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x)$ and $e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x)$, and $k = k(h, x)$ satisfies

$$0 \leq |k| \leq |h|.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} P(\sigma+h) \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \\
& \quad \times h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
= & P(\sigma+h) \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] + \check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
& \quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Note that

$$e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f + e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \tag{36}$$

and there exists $M \geq 1$, depending on σ , such that

$$\left\| \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f + e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq M \tag{37}$$

for all $|h| \leq M^{-1}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left(h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] + \check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right| \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f + e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \\
& \quad \times \left| \left(h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] + \check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right) \right| \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
\leq & \left\| \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f + e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\
& \quad \times \left\| h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] + \check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\
\rightarrow & 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Next note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right) - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right| \\
\leq & \left| e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right| \quad (x \in \Omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Writing

$$m(f, \sigma, h, x) = \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right) - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \tag{40}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \\
 &= \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) + m(f, \sigma, h, x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \\
 &= \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \\
 & \quad + (P(\sigma+h) - 1) \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) + jm(f, \sigma, h, x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-2} m(f, \sigma, h, x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

for some $0 \leq j \leq 1$ depending on f, σ, h and x . Let $W : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$W(x) = \begin{cases} \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma+1)-1} & (e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) > 1) \\ e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) & (0 \leq e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

Then $W \in L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ and

$$\left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \leq W(x) \quad (x \in \Omega, |h| < 1). \tag{42}$$

So

$$\int_{\Omega} W(x) \left| \check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right| \phi_1(x)^2 dx < \infty$$

and hence the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
 & \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma)-1} (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Also, by (39), for $|h| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Omega} (P(\sigma+h) - 1) \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) + jm(f, \sigma, h, x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times m(f, \sigma, h, x) (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right| \\
 & \leq (P(\sigma+h) - 1) \left(\left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + 2 \sup \left\{ \left\| e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+l)} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} : |l| < 1 \right\} + 1 \right)^{P(\sigma+1)-2} \\
 & \quad \times \int_{\Omega} \left| e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right| \left| \check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right| \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

By (41), (43), (44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \quad (45) \\ & \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma)-1} (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (35), (38), (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} P(\sigma+h) \left(e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f(x) \longleftrightarrow e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f(x) \right)^{P(\sigma+h)-1} \quad (46) \\ & \quad \times h^{-1} \left[e^{-\check{K}(\sigma+h)} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \longrightarrow -P(\sigma) \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma)-1} (\check{K} e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next note that $W \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$. Also since $e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$, we have

$$(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \log(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \in L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx).$$

Thus, by dominated convergence and (42),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \log(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma+k)-1} \quad (47) \\ & \quad \times h^{-1} [P(\sigma+h) - P(\sigma)] \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & \rightarrow P'(\sigma) \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma)} \log(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ & = \frac{P(\sigma)}{\varepsilon(P(\sigma))} \int_{\Omega} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right)^{P(\sigma)} \log(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, by (33), (34), (35), (38), (45), (46), (47) and Lemma 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left\{ \log \left[e^{-N(\sigma)} \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right] \right\} \quad (48) \\ & = -\frac{\Gamma(P(\sigma))}{\varepsilon(P(\sigma))} - P(\sigma)^{-1} \varepsilon(P(\sigma))^{-1} \log \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \\ & \quad + P(\sigma)^{-1} \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{-P(\sigma)} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ -P(\sigma) \left\langle \check{K} f_\sigma, f_\sigma^{P(\sigma)-1} \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} + \frac{P(\sigma)}{\varepsilon(P(\sigma))} \int_{\Omega} f_\sigma^{P(\sigma)} (\log f_\sigma) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right\} \\ & = \varepsilon(P(\sigma))^{-1} \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{-P(\sigma)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f_\sigma^{P(\sigma)} (\log f_\sigma) \phi_1(x)^2 dx \right. \\ & \quad - \varepsilon(P(\sigma)) \left\langle \check{K} f_\sigma, f_\sigma^{P(\sigma)-1} \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} - \Gamma(P(\sigma)) \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \\ & \quad \left. - \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{P(\sigma)} \log \|f_\sigma\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right\} \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $0 \leq \sigma < t$ we have

$$e^{-N(\sigma)} \|f\sigma\|_{L^p(\sigma)(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \quad (49)$$

We next show that

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} = \lim_{\sigma \uparrow t} \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^p(\sigma)(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \quad (50)$$

To do this we first show that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma_1} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma_2} f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq M |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \quad (51)$$

for all $p \geq 2$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [t/2, t]$. Since $f \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$,

$$f = \Phi^{-1} e^{-\check{H}s} g \quad (52)$$

for some $s > 0$ and $0 \leq g \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$. Since $\check{\mathcal{D}} \subseteq \text{Dom}(-\check{K}_p) \subseteq L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, where $-\check{K}_p$ is the generator of $e^{-\check{K}\sigma}$ in $L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$, we have

$$\check{K}f = \Phi^{-1} \check{H} \Phi \Phi^{-1} e^{-\check{H}s} g = \Phi^{-1} e^{-\check{H}s/2} \check{H} e^{-\check{H}s/2} g. \quad (53)$$

By Proposition 1 and [1, Corollary 2.2.8.], there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| e^{-\check{H}s/2} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq M_1. \quad (54)$$

So, by (53) and (54),

$$\|\check{K}f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq \|\Phi^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} M_1 \left\| \check{H} e^{-\check{H}s/2} g \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \quad (55)$$

Hence for $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\check{K}f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p &= \int_{\Omega} |\check{K}f|^p \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &= \left(\int_{\{x \in \Omega : |\check{K}f| \geq 1\}} + \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |\check{K}f| < 1\}} \right) |\check{K}f|^p \phi_1(x)^2 dx \\ &\leq \|\Phi^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^p M_1^p \left\| \check{H} e^{-\check{H}s/2} g \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^p + \|\check{K}f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\check{K}f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \quad (56) \\ &\leq 2^{1/p} \max \left[\|\Phi^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} M_1 \left\| \check{H} e^{-\check{H}s/2} g \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}, \|\check{K}f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}^{2/p} \right] \\ &\leq M_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $M_2 > 0$ independent of p . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma_1} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma_2} f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ & \leq \sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} : \sigma \in [t/2, t] \right\} |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \\ & = \sup \left\{ \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} \check{K} f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} : \sigma \in [t/2, t] \right\} |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Since $e^{-\check{H}s}$ is a symmetric Markov semigroup on $L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$,

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq \left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left\| \Phi \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (58)$$

Thus (56), (57), (58) imply that

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma_1} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma_2} f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq \left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left\| \Phi \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} M_2 |\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \quad (59)$$

for all $p \geq 2$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [t/2, t]$. So (51) holds with

$$M = \left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left\| \Phi \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} M_2.$$

Since $f \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$, we have

$$e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \in L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \quad (\sigma \geq 0),$$

so for all $\sigma \geq 0$

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \quad (60)$$

Hence, by (51) and (60), for all $\sigma \in [t/2, t]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} - \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} - \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} - \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} - \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right| \\ & \quad + \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f - e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ & \leq \left| \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} - \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{L^{p(\sigma)}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \right| + M |\sigma - t| \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \sigma \uparrow t \end{aligned}$$

and (50) is proved. Thus by (49)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{\infty} &= \lim_{\sigma \uparrow t} \left\| e^{-\check{K}\sigma} f \right\|_{L^p(\sigma)(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ &\leq \lim_{\sigma \uparrow t} e^{N(\sigma)} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ &= e^M \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

If $0 \leq f \in L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$, then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\check{\mathcal{D}}_1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \Phi f \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx).$$

Then $\{\Phi^{-1}u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ such that

$$f_n = \Phi^{-1}u_n \longrightarrow f \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

So, by what we have proved in (61),

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f_n \right\|_{\infty} \leq e^M \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}.$$

Hence, using a subsequence of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is necessary, we have

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{\infty} \leq e^M \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)}.$$

Finally, for a general $f \in L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)$ we have, by the positivity of $e^{-\check{K}t}$,

$$\left| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right| \leq e^{-\check{K}t} |f|.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} f \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \left\| e^{-\check{K}t} |f| \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq e^M \| |f| \|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \\ &= e^M \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

THEOREM 11. *We have, for all $t > 0$,*

$$\left\| e^{-\check{K}t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq c_{\Omega} e^{c_{\Omega} a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right)} b^{-1} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t + 2\alpha^2 t}$$

for some $c_{\Omega} \geq 1$ which depends only on Ω .

Proof. In Theorem 10 put $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\varepsilon(p) = 8tp^{-2} \quad (2 < p < \infty)$$

(so that $\int_2^\infty \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{p} dp = t$) and, by Proposition 1, Lemmas 4 and 9, we can put

$$\beta(\varepsilon) = c'_\Omega a \log a - \left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right)(\log \lambda + \log \varepsilon) + E_1 \varepsilon - \log b + c'_\Omega$$

for some $c'_\Omega \geq 1$ which depends only on Ω . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \int_2^\infty p^{-1} \left\{ 2p^{-1} \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(p)\right) + \varepsilon(p) \alpha^2 \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{2(p-1)} \right] \right\} dp \\ &= \int_2^\infty 2p^{-2} \left[c'_\Omega a \log a - \left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right) (\log \lambda + \log(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(p))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} E_1 \varepsilon(p) - \log b + c'_\Omega \right] + p^{-1} \varepsilon(p) \alpha^2 \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{2(p-1)} \right] dp \\ &= \int_2^\infty 2p^{-2} \left[c'_\Omega a \log a - \left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right) (\log \lambda + \log(4t) - 2 \log p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4E_1 t}{p^2} - \log b + c'_\Omega \right] + 8\alpha^2 t p^{-3} \left[1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{2(p-1)} \right] dp. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

For $p > 2$ we have

$$1 + \frac{(p-2)^2}{2(p-1)} \leq \frac{p}{2}.$$

Therefore, from (62), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq c'_\Omega a \log a - \left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right) \log(4\lambda t) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{N}{2} + a\right) (\log 2 + 1) + \frac{1}{3} E_1 t - \log b + c'_\Omega + 2\alpha^2 t \\ &\leq c''_\Omega a \log a - \left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right) \log(\lambda t) + \frac{1}{3} E_1 t - \log b + c''_\Omega + 2\alpha^2 t \end{aligned}$$

for some $c''_\Omega \geq 1$ which depends only on Ω . \square

THEOREM 12. Let $K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y)$ be the heat kernel of $e^{-\tilde{H}t}$. Then there exists $c_\Omega \geq 1$, depending only on Ω , such that

$$K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) \leq c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t} \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{[\psi(x) - \psi(y)]^2}{8t} \right) \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing α by $-\alpha$ in Theorem 11 and taking adjoint, we have

$$\left\| e^{-\tilde{K}t} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq c'_\Omega e^{c'_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{4} + \frac{a}{2}\right)} b^{-1} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t + 2\alpha^2 t} \quad (t > 0).$$

Hence,

$$\left\| e^{-\tilde{K}t} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega, \phi_1(x)^2 dx)} \leq c''_\Omega e^{c''_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2} + a\right)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t + 2\alpha^2 t} \quad (t > 0).$$

Since

$$e^{-\tilde{K}t} = \Phi^{-1} e^{-\tilde{H}t} \Phi,$$

we have, for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) &\leq \Phi(x) \Phi(y)^{-1} c''_\Omega e^{c''_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2} + a\right)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t + 2\alpha^2 t} \quad (63) \\ &= c''_\Omega e^{c''_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2} + a\right)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ \alpha [\psi(x) - \psi(y)] + 2\alpha^2 t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ which minimizes

$$\alpha [\psi(x) - \psi(y)] + 2\alpha^2 t,$$

i.e. we choose

$$\alpha = \frac{\psi(y) - \psi(x)}{4t}.$$

Thus (63) gives

$$K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) \leq c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2} + a\right)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t} \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{[\psi(x) - \psi(y)]^2}{8t} \right) \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$. \square

COROLLARY 13. *Let $d(x, y)$ be the metric on Ω defined by*

$$d(x, y) = \sup \left\{ |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| : \psi \text{ is a bounded } C^\infty \text{ function on } \Omega \text{ satisfying} \right. \\ \left. \sum_{i, j=1}^N a_{i, j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \leq 1 \text{ on } \Omega \right\}.$$

Then, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$, we have

$$K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) \leq c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2} + a\right)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t} \exp \left\{ - \frac{d(x, y)^2}{8t} \right\}$$

where $c_\Omega \geq 1$ is as in Theorem 12.

COROLLARY 14. *We have, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$,*

$$K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) \leq c_{\Omega} e^{c_{\Omega} a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2}+a\right)} b^{-2} e^{\frac{1}{3} E_1 t} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t} \right\}$$

where $c_{\Omega} \geq 1$ is as in Theorem 12.

Proof. Let $d(x, y)$ be the metric in Corollary 13. Then, by our assumption on $\{a_{i,j}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\geq \sup \left\{ |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| : \psi \text{ is a bounded} \right. & (64) \\ &\quad \left. C^{\infty} \text{ function on } \Omega \text{ satisfying} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i,j=1}^N \Lambda \delta_{i,j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \leq 1 \text{ on } \Omega \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| : \psi \text{ is a bounded} \right. \\ &\quad \left. C^{\infty} \text{ function on } \Omega \text{ satisfying} \right. \\ &\quad \left. |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq \Lambda^{-1} \text{ on } \Omega \right\} \\ &= |x - y| \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from (64) and Corollary 13. \square

LEMMA 15. *Let $K_H(t, x, y)$ be the heat kernel of e^{-Ht} . Then, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$, we have*

$$K_H(t, x, y) \leq c_{\Omega} e^{c_{\Omega} a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2}+a\right)} b^{-2} e^{-\frac{2}{3} E_1 t} \exp \left\{ \frac{-|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t} \right\} \phi_1(x) \phi_1(y)$$

where c_{Ω} is as in Theorem 12.

Proof. Let $K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y)$ be the heat kernel of $e^{-\tilde{H}t}$. Recall that $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H} - E_1$. So Corollary 14 implies that, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$,

$$K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) \leq c_{\Omega} e^{c_{\Omega} a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-\left(\frac{N}{2}+a\right)} b^{-2} e^{-\frac{2}{3} E_1 t} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t} \right\}. \quad (65)$$

The lemma now follows from (65) since

$$K_{\tilde{H}}(t, x, y) = \phi_1(x)^{-1} K_H(t, x, y) \phi_1(y)^{-1}$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$. \square

THEOREM 16. Let $K_H(t, x, y)$ be the heat kernel of e^{-Ht} . Then

$$K_H(t, x, y) \leq c_1 \max\{t^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)}, 1\} e^{-E_1 t} \exp\left\{\frac{-|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t}\right\} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$, where, with $c_\Omega \geq 1$ as in Theorem 12,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= c_1(\Omega, a, b, \lambda, \Lambda, E_1) \\ &= \max\left\{c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} \lambda^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)} b^{-2} e^{E_1}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} \lambda^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)} 2^{\frac{N}{2}+a} b^{-2})^2 \exp\left\{E_1 + \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^2}{8\Lambda}\right\}, 1\right\} \\ &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 15 we have

$$K_H(t, x, y) \leq c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} (\lambda t)^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)} b^{-2} \exp\left\{\frac{-|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t}\right\} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y) \quad (66)$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$. In particular, we have

$$0 < K_H(1/2, x, y) \leq c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} \lambda^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)} 2^{\frac{N}{2}+a} b^{-2} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$. If $t > 1$, then, since $|x-y| \leq \text{diam}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_H(t, x, y) &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega K_H(1/2, x, u) K_H(t-1, u, v) K_H(1/2, v, y) \, dudv \quad (67) \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \int_\Omega c_2 \phi_1(x)\phi_1(u) K_H(t-1, u, v) c_2 \phi_1(v)\phi_1(y) \, dudv \\ &= c_2^2 \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y) \int_\Omega \int_\Omega K_H(t-1, u, v) \phi_1(u)\phi_1(v) \, dudv \\ &= c_2^2 e^{-E_1(t-1)} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y) \\ &= c_2^2 \exp\left\{E_1 + \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^2}{8\Lambda}\right\} e^{-E_1 t} \exp\left\{\frac{-|x-y|^2}{8\Lambda t}\right\} \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y) \end{aligned}$$

where we have written

$$c_2 = c_\Omega e^{c_\Omega a \log a} \lambda^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)} 2^{\frac{N}{2}+a} b^{-2}. \quad (68)$$

The theorem now follows follows (66), (67) and (68). \square

REMARKS. (i) Theorem 16 can also be proved by combining Lemma 15 with [1, Corollary 4.2.5].

(ii) If one wants to express c_1 in Theorem 16 in terms of constants depending only on $\Omega, a, b, \lambda, \Lambda$, one can easily replace E_1 in terms of constants depending only on Λ and the inradius of Ω .

COROLLARY 17. For $t > 0$ let

$$C(t) = \inf \{ \phi_1(x)^{-1} K_H(t, x, y) \phi_1(y)^{-1} : x, y \in \Omega \}.$$

Then

$$C(t) \leq c_1 \max \{ t^{-(\frac{N}{2}+a)}, 1 \} e^{-E_1 t} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^2}{8\Lambda t} \right\} \quad (t > 0)$$

where $c_1 \geq 1$ is as in Theorem 16.

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank E. B. Davies, M. van den Berg, D. Krejcirik, and Y. Pinchover for communications on this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. B. DAVIES, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New York, 1989
- [2] E. B. DAVIES, M. M. H. PANG, *The eigenvalue gap for second order elliptic operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions*, J. Diff. Equations **88** (1990), 46–70.

(Received December 3, 2013)

Michael M. H. Pang
Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri-Columbia
Columbia, MO 65211, USA
e-mail: pangm@missouri.edu