

ON THE GRÜSS INEQUALITY FOR UNITAL 2-POSITIVE LINEAR MAPS

SRIRAM BALASUBRAMANIAN

(Communicated by R. Rajić)

Abstract. In a recent work, Moslehian and Rajić have shown that the Grüss inequality holds for unital n -positive linear maps $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and H is a Hilbert space, if $n \geq 3$. They also demonstrate that the inequality fails to hold, in general, if $n = 1$ and question whether the inequality holds if $n = 2$. In this article, we provide an affirmative answer to this question.

1. Introduction

A classical theorem of Grüss (see [4]) states that if f and g are bounded real valued integrable functions on $[a, b]$ and $m_1 \leq f(x) \leq M_1$ and $m_2 \leq g(x) \leq M_2$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx \right) \left(\int_a^b g(x)dx \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \alpha \beta,$$

where $\alpha = (M_1 - m_1)$ and $\beta = (M_2 - m_2)$.

A generalized operator version of the Grüss inequality was given by Renaud in [8], where he proved the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$ and suppose that their numerical ranges are contained in disks of radii R and S respectively. If $T \in B(H)$ is a positive operator with $\text{Tr}(T) = 1$, where Tr stands for the trace, then*

$$|\text{Tr}(TAB) - \text{Tr}(TA)\text{Tr}(TB)| \leq 4RS.$$

If A, B are normal, then the constant 4 on the right hand side can be replaced by 1.

Among other operator versions of the Grüss inequality, of particular interest to us are those of Perić and Rajić (see [7]), where they prove the Grüss inequality for completely bounded maps, and Moslehian and Rajić (see [5]), where they prove the Grüss inequality for n -positive unital linear maps, for $n \geq 3$. In [5], the authors show that the inequality fails to hold in general, if $n = 1$ and question whether it holds for the case $n = 2$. The main result of this article gives an affirmative answer to this question.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary: 46L05, 47A63; Secondary: 47B65.

Keywords and phrases: Grüss inequality, C^* -algebra, n -positive, completely positive.

Before we state the main result, we shall introduce some notation and definitions. Throughout this article, \mathcal{A} will denote a unital C^* -algebra, $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ the C^* -algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathcal{A} , H and K complex Hilbert spaces and $B(H)$ the C^* -algebra of bounded operators on H . The notations $e, 1$ will denote the unit elements in \mathcal{A} and $B(H)$ respectively and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, a unital linear map, i.e. a linear map such that $\phi(e) = 1$. The map ϕ is said to be positive if $\phi(a)$ is positive in $B(H)$ for all positive $a \in \mathcal{A}$. For more details, see [6]. It is easy to see that the map $\phi_n : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(B(H))$ defined by $\phi_n((a_{ij})) = (\phi(a_{ij}))$ is unital and linear for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The map ϕ is said to be n -positive if ϕ_n is a positive map, completely positive if ϕ is n -positive for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and completely bounded if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\| < \infty$.

The main result of this article is the following.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra with unit e . If $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a unital 2-positive linear map, then*

$$\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| \leq \left(\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|a - \lambda e\| \right) \left(\inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|b - \mu e\| \right). \tag{1}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

To prove Theorem 2, we use the well-known theorems of Stinespring, Russo-Dye, Fuglede-Putnam, and the result due to Choi (see Lemma 3).

2. Preliminaries

In this section we include some lemmas which will be used in the sequel. Observe that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are unital C^* -algebras and $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a unital n -positive linear map, then it is m -positive for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In particular γ is positive. It is well known that positive maps are $*$ -preserving. i.e. $\gamma(a^*) = \gamma(a)^*$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover $\|\gamma\| = 1$.

LEMMA 1. *If $P, Q, R \in B(H)$, then $A = \begin{pmatrix} P & R \\ R^* & Q \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$ in $M_2(B(H))$ if and only if $P, Q \succeq 0$ and $|\langle Rx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Px, x \rangle \langle Qy, y \rangle$, for all $x, y \in H$. Moreover, if $A \succeq 0$, then $\|R\|^2 \leq \|P\| \|Q\|$.*

LEMMA 2. *Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S^* & R \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus K)$. If $R \in B(K)$ be invertible, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $A \succeq 0$
- (ii) $T, R \succeq 0$ and $T \succeq SR^{-1}S^*$.

The above two lemmas are well known. Their proofs can be found in [1].

LEMMA 3. (Choi) Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be C^* -algebras and $\phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be a positive linear map. If $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y^* & x \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} \phi(x) & \phi(y) \\ \phi(y^*) & \phi(x) \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$.

For a proof of Lemma 3, please see Corollary 4.4 of [3].

PROPOSITION 1. If \mathcal{B} is a unital C^* -algebra and $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a unital 2-positive linear map, then

$$\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\|^2 \leq \|\phi(aa^*) - \phi(a)\phi(a)^*\| \|\phi(b^*b) - \phi(b)^*\phi(b)\|, \tag{2}$$

for all unitaries $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Since ϕ is positive, recall that $\phi(x^*) = \phi(x)^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ be unitary. Consider the matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} a^*a & a^*b & a^* & a^*(a^*b) \\ b^*a & b^*b & b^* & b^*(a^*b) \\ \hline a & b & a^*a & a^*b \\ \hline (b^*a)a & (b^*a)b & b^*a & b^*b \end{array} \right).$$

Since a, b are unitaries, it follows that $R = b^*b = e$ and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a^*a & a^*b & a^* \\ b^*a & b^*b & b^* \\ a & b & a^*a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^*(a^*b) \\ b^*(a^*b) \\ a^*b \end{pmatrix} ((b^*a)a \ (b^*a)b \ b^*a) = SS^* = SR^{-1}S^*.$$

Thus Lemma 2 implies that $A \succeq 0$. This is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a^*a & a^*b & a^* & a^*(a^*b) \\ b^*a & b^*b & b^* & b^*(a^*b) \\ \hline a & b & a^*a & a^*b \\ \hline (b^*a)a & (b^*a)b & b^*a & b^*b \end{array} \right) \succeq 0. \tag{3}$$

By Lemma 3 applied to the unital positive map ϕ_2 and the 2×2 block matrix in equation (3), it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(a^*a) & \phi(a^*b) & \phi(a)^* & \phi(a^*(a^*b)) \\ \phi(b^*a) & \phi(b^*b) & \phi(b)^* & \phi(b^*(a^*b)) \\ \hline \phi(a) & \phi(b) & \phi(a^*a) & \phi(a^*b) \\ \hline \phi((b^*a)a) & \phi((b^*a)b) & \phi(b^*a) & \phi(b^*b) \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0. \tag{4}$$

This in turn implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(a^*a) & \phi(a^*b) & \phi(a)^* \\ \phi(b^*a) & \phi(b^*b) & \phi(b)^* \\ \hline \phi(a) & \phi(b) & \phi(a^*a) \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0. \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 2 and the fact that $\phi(a^*a) = \phi(e) = 1$, equation (5) is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{matrix} \phi(a^*a) & \phi(a^*b) \\ \phi(b^*a) & \phi(b^*b) \end{matrix} \right) - \left(\begin{matrix} \phi(a)^* & \\ & \phi(b)^* \end{matrix} \right) (\phi(a) \ \phi(b)) \succeq 0, \tag{6}$$

i.e.

$$\left(\begin{matrix} \phi(a^*a) - \phi(a)^*\phi(a) & \phi(a^*b) - \phi(a)^*\phi(b) \\ \phi(b^*a) - \phi(b)^*\phi(a) & \phi(b^*b) - \phi(b)^*\phi(b) \end{matrix} \right) \succeq 0. \tag{7}$$

An application of Lemma 1 to the operator matrix in equation (7) yields

$$\|\phi(a^*b) - \phi(a)^*\phi(b)\|^2 \leq \|\phi(a^*a) - \phi(a)^*\phi(a)\| \|\phi(b^*b) - \phi(b)^*\phi(b)\| \tag{8}$$

for all unitaries $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. Replacing a by a^* in (8) completes the proof. \square

The following three theorems are well known.

THEOREM 3. (Fuglede-Putnam) *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. If $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ are such that x is normal and $xy = yx$, then $x^*y = yx^*$.*

For more on the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, please see [2].

THEOREM 4. (Stinespring’s Dilation Theorem) *If \mathcal{B} is a unital C^* -algebra and $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a unital completely positive map, then there exist a Hilbert space K , an isometry $v : H \rightarrow K$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(K)$ such that $\phi(x) = v^*\pi(x)v$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$.*

For a proof of Stinespring’s dilation theorem, please see [6].

THEOREM 5. (Russo-Dye) *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra. If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $\|a\| < 1$, then a is a convex combination of unitary elements in \mathcal{A} .*

For a proof and more on the Russo-Dye theorem, please see [2].

3. The Proof

This section contains the proof of our main result, i.e. Theorem 2. The following theorem and corollary lead us to it.

THEOREM 6. *If a, b are commuting normal elements in the unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a unital positive linear map, then*

$$\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| \leq \left(\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|a - \lambda e\| \right) \left(\inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|b - \mu e\| \right), \tag{9}$$

i.e. the Grüss inequality holds for such $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The proof is adapted from [7]. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Since a, b are commuting normal elements in the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , it follows from Theorem 3 that the C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , say \mathcal{B} , generated by a, b and e is commutative. Since the restricted map $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$ is positive and \mathcal{B} is commutative, it follows that $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$ is in fact completely positive (see e.g. [6]). By Theorem 4, it follows that there exist a Hilbert space K , an isometry $v : H \rightarrow K$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(K)$ such that $\phi(x) = v^*\pi(x)v$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Since π is a unital $*$ -homomorphism, it is completely positive and hence is a complete contraction. In particular $\|\pi\| \leq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| &= \|\phi((a - \lambda e)(b - \mu e)) - \phi(a - \lambda e)\phi(b - \mu e)\| \\ &= \|v^*\pi((a - \lambda e)(b - \mu e))v - v^*\pi(a - \lambda e)v v^*\pi(b - \mu e)v\| \\ &= \|v^*\pi(a - \lambda e)\pi(b - \mu e)v - v^*\pi(a - \lambda e)v v^*\pi(b - \mu e)v\| \\ &= \|v^*\pi(a - \lambda e)(1 - v v^*)\pi(b - \mu e)v\| \\ &\leq \|a - \lambda e\| \|1 - v v^*\| \|b - \mu e\| \\ &\leq \|a - \lambda e\| \|b - \mu e\|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete by taking infimums on the above inequality first with respect to λ and then with respect to μ . \square

REMARK 1. It is easy to see that if \mathcal{A} is commutative or ϕ is completely positive, in the statement of Theorem 6, then the entire proof of Theorem 6 goes through with \mathcal{B} replaced by \mathcal{A} , for arbitrary a and b , i.e. the Grüss inequality (9) holds if \mathcal{A} is commutative or ϕ is completely positive.

COROLLARY 1. *If ϕ and a are as in Theorem 6, then*

$$\|\phi(aa^*) - \phi(a)\phi(a)^*\| \leq \left(\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|a - \lambda e\| \right)^2.$$

Proof. The proof follows by taking $b = a^*$ in Theorem 6. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Recall a, b, \mathcal{A}, H and ϕ from the statement of Theorem 2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 5, there exist unitary elements u_1, \dots, u_k and v_1, \dots, v_ℓ in \mathcal{A} such that $\frac{a}{(\|a\| + \varepsilon)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i$ and $\frac{b}{(\|b\| + \varepsilon)} = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \beta_j v_j$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \beta_j = 1$. It follows from Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(\|a\| + \varepsilon)} \frac{1}{(\|b\| + \varepsilon)} \|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| \\ &= \left\| \phi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\ell \beta_j v_j \right) \right) - \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i \right) \phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^\ell \beta_j v_j \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^\ell \alpha_i \beta_j \|\phi(u_i v_j) - \phi(u_i)\phi(v_j)\| \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i \beta_j \|\phi(u_i u_i^*) - \phi(u_i) \phi(u_i)^*\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi(v_j^* v_j) - \phi(v_j)^* \phi(v_j)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (11) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i \beta_j \left(\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|u_i - \lambda e\| \right) \left(\inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|v_j - \mu e\| \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i \beta_j \|u_i\| \|v_j\| \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i \beta_j \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_j \right) \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ above yields,

$$\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|. \quad (12)$$

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary. It follows from equation (12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| &= \|\phi((a - \lambda e)(b - \mu e)) - \phi(a - \lambda e)\phi(b - \mu e)\| \\
 &\leq \|(a - \lambda e)\| \|(b - \mu e)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimums in the above inequality, first with respect to λ and then with respect to μ completes the proof. \square

The Grüss inequality fails, in general, when ϕ in Theorem 2 is assumed only to be positive, i.e. when $n = 1$, as the following example shows. We point out that [5] contains an example of such a map $\phi : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

EXAMPLE. Let $k \geq 2$, $\beta = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ be an orthonormal set in H , $E = \text{span}(\beta)$, and $\theta : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ denote the transpose map. It is well known that θ is a unital positive linear map, which is not 2-positive (see [10]). Define $\phi : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(H)$ by $\phi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(a) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$. The block structure is with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $E \oplus E^\perp$ of H . Here $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity operator and $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the zero operator. It is easy to see that ϕ is a unital positive linear map which is not 2-positive. Let $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{k-2} \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{k-2} \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. A simple computation shows that the eigenvalues of a belong to $\{0, 2 \pm \sqrt{10}\}$ and those of b belong to $\{0, 1, 3\}$. Since a and b are normal, it follows from [9] that,

$$\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|a - \lambda e\| = \sqrt{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|b - \mu e\| = \frac{3}{2}. \quad (13)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b) &= \left(\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 9 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{k-2} \right) \oplus \mathbf{1} \right) - \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 9 \\ 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{k-2} \right) \oplus \mathbf{1} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -6 \\ 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{k-2} \right) \oplus \mathbf{0} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| = 6 > \sqrt{10} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \left(\inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|a - \lambda e\| \right) \left(\inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|b - \mu e\| \right).$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof. Scott McCullough for some useful discussions and Krishanu Deyasi for his help with some MATLAB computations.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. ANDO, *Topics on Operator Inequalities*, Division of Appl. Math., Research Institute of Applied Electricity, Hokkaido Univ., Sapporo, 1978.
- [2] B. BLACKADAR, *Operator Algebras: Theory of C*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 122, 2006, ISBN 978-3-540-28517-5.
- [3] M. D. CHOI, *Some Assorted Inequalities for Positive linear maps on C*-algebras*, J. Oper. Th., **4** (1980), 271–285.
- [4] G. GRÜSS, *Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$* , Math. Z. **39** (1934), 215–226.
- [5] M. S. MOSLEHIAN, R. RAJIĆ, *A Grüss inequality for n-positive linear maps*, Linear Algebra Appl. **433** (2010), 1555–1560.
- [6] V. PAULSEN, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1st edition, 2003.
- [7] I. PERIĆ, R. RAJIĆ, *Grüss inequality for completely bounded maps*, Linear Algebra Appl. **390** (2004), 287–292.
- [8] P. F. RENAUD, *A matrix formulation of Grüss inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl. **335** (2001), 95–100.
- [9] J. G. STAMPFLI, *The norm of a derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **33** (1970), 737–747.
- [10] T. TAKASAKI, J. TOMIYAMA, *On the geometry of positive maps in matrix algebras*, Math. Z. **184** (1983), 101–108.

(Received September 30, 2015)

Sriram Balasubramanian
Department of Mathematics
IIT Madras, Chennai – 600036, India
e-mail: bsriram@iitm.ac.in, bsriram80@yahoo.co.in