

ALGORITHM TESTING FOR THE HYPERCYCLICITY OF FINITELY ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

MOHAMED ELGHAOUI AND ADLENE AYADI

(Communicated by I. M. Spitkovsky)

Abstract. In this paper, we present an algorithm that tests the existence of dense orbits for finitely abelian subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. A test example is given.

1. Introduction

In [1], Ayadi and Marzougui have characterized abelian subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ which are hypercyclic (i.e. having a dense orbit). In this paper, we deal with the algorithmic aspect, we present an algorithm that tests the existence of dense orbits for any abelian finitely generated subgroup G of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The hypercyclicity condition presented in [1] is related to the density of an additive subgroup of \mathbb{C}^n . As a matter of fact, the authors [3] gave a simple criterion to test the density of discrete additive subgroups of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n . Our algorithm is actually based heavily on these two papers ([1], [3]). It determines, in the same handwork, explicitly the normal form of the group G (see definition below). For one matrix, the normal form is reduced to the Jordan canonical form and in this case, Weintraub [5] gave an algorithm.

On this matter, we can cite S. Goodwin [4] who gave an algorithm which tests the density of orbits for Borel subgroups.

To state our main results, we need to introduce the following notations and definitions:

Denote by $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the set of complex square matrices of order $n \geq 1$, and $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ the group of the invertible matrices of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

- The spectrum of a square matrix A , denoted by $\sigma(A)$ is the set of all eigenvalues of A .

- $\mathbb{T}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the set of all lower-triangular matrices over \mathbb{C} , of order n and with only one eigenvalue.

- $\mathbb{T}_n^*(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{T}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (i.e. the subset of matrices of $\mathbb{T}_n(\mathbb{C})$ having a non zero eigenvalue), it is a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

- $\mathbb{D}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the set of diagonal matrix of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 37C85, 47A16.

Keywords and phrases: Algorithm, hypercyclicity, generalized eigenspaces, normal form.

This work is supported by the research unit: systèmes dynamiques et combinatoire: 99UR15-15.

- $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n$. Denote by:

- $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}(\mathbb{C}) = \{M = \text{diag}(T_1, \dots, T_r) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : T_k \in \mathbb{T}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}), k = 1, \dots, r\}$.
- $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}^*(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}(\mathbb{C}) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, it is a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.
- v^T the transpose of a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathcal{E}_n = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n .
- I_n the identity matrix on \mathbb{C}^n .

Denote by:

- $u_0 = [e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{r,1}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $e_{k,1} = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k}, 1 \leq k \leq r$.
- $e^{(k)} = [0_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1}}, \dots, 0_{\mathbb{C}^{n_{k-1}}}, e_{k,1}^T, 0_{\mathbb{C}^{n_{k+1}}}, \dots, 0_{\mathbb{C}^{n_r}}]^T, 1 \leq k \leq r$.

In [1], the authors proved the following

PROPOSITION 1.1. ([1], Proposition 6.1.) *Let G be an abelian subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, then there exists $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\tilde{G} = P^{-1}GP$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}^*(\mathbb{C})$, for some $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$.*

We say that the group \tilde{G} is a normal form of G of length r .

THEOREM 1.2. ([1], Theorem 1.3) *Let G be an abelian subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $P^{-1}GP \subset \mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}^*(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that G is generated by $A_1 = e^{B_1}, \dots, A_p = e^{B_p}$ with $B_1, \dots, B_p \in P\mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}^*(\mathbb{C})P^{-1}$. Then G is hypercyclic if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{Z}B_kPu_0 + 2i\pi \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{Z}Pe^{(k)}$ is a dense additive subgroup of \mathbb{C}^n .*

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let G be an abelian subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, generated by A_1, \dots, A_p and $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $P^{-1}GP \subset \mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}^*(\mathbb{C})$. If $p + r \leq 2n$, G has no dense orbit.*

- A subset V of \mathbb{C}^n is called G -invariant if for every $x \in V, Gx \subset V$.

2. Algorithm testing for the hypercyclicity of finitely abelian subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

2.1. Normal form of the group G

Let G be an abelian subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ generated by A_1, \dots, A_p .

2.1.1. Determination of generalized eigenspaces of G

The first part of the algorithm is to determine a matrix $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $G' = P^{-1}GP$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}^*(\mathbb{C})$ as given in proposition 1.1.

To do so, given the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,1}, \dots, \lambda_{k,r_k}$ of $A_k, k = 1, \dots, p$, the algorithm determines the corresponding generalized eigenspaces:

$$E_{k,j} = \text{Ker}(A_k - \lambda_{k,j}I_n)^{\alpha_{k,j}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_k, k = 1, \dots, p$$

where $\alpha_{k,j}$ is the multiplicity of $\lambda_{k,j}$ and r_k the number of distinct eigenvalues of A_k . After that, it determines all the intersections:

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^p E_{k,i_k}, \quad 1 \leq i_k \leq r_k, \quad \text{such that} \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^p E_{k,i_k} \neq \{0\}.$$

Denote these spaces by E_1, \dots, E_r , called the *generalized eigenspaces of G*.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The spaces E_i defined as above verify:*

- (i) $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r E_i = \mathbb{C}^n$ and E_i are G -invariant.
- (ii) For every $M \in G$, and for every $1 \leq j \leq r$, the restriction $M|_{E_j}$ has only one eigenvalue.

Proof. (i) Since E_{1,i_1} is A_2 -invariant for every $i_1 = 1, \dots, r_1$, so we have

$$E_{1,i_1} = \bigoplus_{i_2=1}^{r_2} E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2}$$

We now apply this argument again, with E_{1,i_1} replaced by $E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2}$, to obtain

$$E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2} = \bigoplus_{i_3=1}^{r_3} (E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2} \cap E_{3,i_3})$$

We continue in this fashion obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^n &= \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^{r_1} E_{1,i_1} \\ &= \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^{r_1} \bigoplus_{i_2=1}^{r_2} (E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^{r_1} \bigoplus_{i_2=1}^{r_2} \bigoplus_{i_3=1}^{r_3} (E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2} \cap E_{3,i_3}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i_1=1}^{r_1} \bigoplus_{i_2=1}^{r_2} \dots \bigoplus_{i_p=1}^{r_p} (E_{1,i_1} \cap E_{2,i_2} \cap \dots \cap E_{p,i_p}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by ignoring those intersections which are equal to $\{0\}$, we obtain $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r E_i$.

As for every $i = 1, \dots, r$, $E_i = E_{1,i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{p,i_p}$ for some $1 \leq i_k \leq r_k, k = 1, \dots, p$, then E_i is G -invariant as intersection of the G -invariant subspaces E_{k,i_k} .

(ii) Let $E_i = E_{1,i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{p,i_p}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. As $E_i \subset E_{k,i_k}$ then λ_{k,i_k} is the unique eigenvalue of $A_{k|E_i}$. Since the matrices $(A_{k|E_i})_{1 \leq k \leq p}$ is pairwise commuting

for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ they are simultaneously trigonalized. It follows that for any $M = A_1^{n_1} A_2^{n_2} \dots A_p^{n_p} \in G$ with $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$M|_{E_i} = (A_1|_{E_i})^{n_1} (A_2|_{E_i})^{n_2} \dots (A_p|_{E_i})^{n_p}$$

and

$$\sigma(M|_{E_i}) \subset \prod_{k=1}^p \sigma((A_k|_{E_i})^{n_k}) = \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^p \lambda_{k,i}^{n_k} \right\}$$

Therefore $\sigma(M|_{E_i}) = \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^p \lambda_{k,i}^{n_k} \right\}$. \square

At this state, the algorithm determines the number r of generalized eigenspaces of G which corresponds to the number of blocs in the normal form of each matrix of G . If $p + r \leq 2n$ then there is no need to proceed further since by Corollary 1.3, G has no dense orbit.

The next step consists in finding a basis \mathcal{C}_i for each space E_i and so by juxtaposing, a new basis $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r)$ of \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by R the transition matrix from \mathcal{E}_n to \mathcal{C} and by $\widehat{A}_k = R^{-1} A_k R$, $k = 1, \dots, p$. Then $\widehat{A}_k = \text{diag}(\widehat{A}_{k,1}, \dots, \widehat{A}_{k,r})$. Actually, the set $\{A_1, \dots, A_p\}$ has been simultaneously block diagonalized.

A step further in order to simplify the structure of G , is to simultaneously trigonalize the set $\{\widehat{A}_{1,i}, \dots, \widehat{A}_{p,i}\}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since these matrices are pairwise commuting, so they have some common eigenvectors $(v_{r_1+1}, \dots, v_{n_i})$. We complete these vectors to obtain a basis $\mathcal{R}_i = (w_1, \dots, w_{r_1}, v_{r_1+1}, \dots, v_{n_i})$ of E_i . Denote by $Q_{i,1}$ the transition matrix from the standard basis \mathcal{E}_{n_i} of E_i to \mathcal{R}_i . Then, for every $k = 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$Q_{i,1}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{k,i} Q_{i,1} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(1)} & 0 \\ L_{k,i}^{(1)} & \mu_k I_{n_i-r_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(1)} \in GL(r_1, \mathbb{C})$ and $L_{k,i}^{(1)} \in M_{n_i-r_1, r_1}(\mathbb{C})$. Now, we consider the set of matrices $(\widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(1)})_{1 \leq k \leq p}$ which are also pairwise commuting. Therefore, we can apply the same

type of reduction as before to obtain a transition matrix $\widehat{Q}_{i,2} \in GL(r_1, \mathbb{C})$ such that we get $\widehat{Q}_{i,2}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(1)} \widehat{Q}_{i,2} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(2)} & 0 \\ L_{k,i}^{(2)} & \mu_k I_{r_1-r_2} \end{bmatrix}$ with $\widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(2)} \in GL(r_2, \mathbb{C})$ and $L_{k,i}^{(2)} \in M_{r_1-r_2, r_2}(\mathbb{C})$. Set

$$Q_{i,2} = Q_{i,1} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{Q}_{i,2} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_i-r_1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$Q_{i,2}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{k,i} Q_{i,2} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}_{k,i}^{(2)} & 0 \\ L_{k,i}^{(2)} & \mu_k I_{r_1-r_2} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ L_{k,i}^{(1)} \widehat{Q}_{i,2} & \mu_k I_{n_i-r_1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \dots, p.$$

So, we continuous this process until we end up with a final basis of E_i (eventually a transition matrix called Q_i) so that $Q_i^{-1} \widehat{A}_{k,i} Q_i = \mathcal{T}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{T}_{n_i}^*(\mathbb{C})$, $k = 1, \dots, p$. Hence,

if $Q = \text{diag}(Q_1, \dots, Q_r)$ and $P = RQ$, then

$$\widetilde{A}_k := P^{-1}A_kP = Q^{-1}R^{-1}A_k(RQ) = Q^{-1}\widehat{A}_kQ = \text{diag}(\mathcal{T}_{k,1}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{k,r})$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{T}_{n_i}^*(\mathbb{C})$.

2.2. Determination of matrices B_k

In this section, the algorithm shall construct matrices $B_1, \dots, B_p \in \mathcal{H}_{\eta,r}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\widetilde{A}_k = e^{B_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, p$. Recall that $\widetilde{A}_k = P^{-1}A_kP = \text{diag}(\mathcal{T}_{k,1}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{k,r})$ where $\mathcal{T}_{k,i} \in \mathbb{T}_{n_i}^*(\mathbb{C})$. So it suffices to construct $T_{k,i} \in \mathbb{T}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ so that $e^{T_{k,i}} = \mathcal{T}_{k,i}$ and then we take $B_k = \text{diag}(T_{k,1}, \dots, T_{k,r})$. So we need a method to construct for $T \in \mathbb{T}_m^*(\mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, a matrix $N \in \mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that $e^N = T$. For this, we use the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. ([1], Lemma 2.2) *If $N \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has only one eigenvalue such that $e^N \in \mathbb{T}_n^*(\mathbb{C})$ then $N \in \mathbb{T}_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

Let $J(\theta)$ denote the Jordan block in $\mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{C})$ associated with θ (with lower-triangular form):

$$J(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we have:

$$e^{J(\theta)} = e^\theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \frac{1}{(m-1)!} & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\dim(\text{Ker}(e^{J(\theta)} - e^\theta I_m)) = 1$, $J(e^\theta)$ is the Jordan normal form of $e^{J(\theta)}$, so there is a matrix $U \in GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ such that:

$$U^{-1} e^{J(\theta)} U = J(e^\theta). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $T \in \mathbb{T}_m^*(\mathbb{C})$ and let $J = \text{diag}(J_1(\lambda), \dots, J_s(\lambda)) \in \mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{C})$, where

$$J_i(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & 0 \\ & 1 & \ddots & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{T}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i = m$, be the Jordan normal form of T . Since $\lambda \neq 0$, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e^\mu = \lambda$. Applying equation 2.1 to each block of J , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \text{diag}(J_1(\lambda), \dots, J_s(\lambda)) \\ &= \text{diag}(J_1(e^\mu), \dots, J_s(e^\mu)) \\ &= \text{diag}(U_1^{-1} e^{J_1(\mu)} U_1, \dots, U_s^{-1} e^{J_s(\mu)} U_s) \\ &= U^{-1} e^J U \end{aligned}$$

where $U = \text{diag}(U_1, \dots, U_s)$ and $J' = \text{diag}(J_1(\mu), \dots, J_s(\mu))$.

There exists $V \in GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ such that $V^{-1}TV = J$. Take $N = VU^{-1}J'UV^{-1}$, it follows that $e^N = T$. Since $e^N = T \in \mathbb{T}_m^*(\mathbb{C})$ and as N has only one eigenvalue, so by Lemma 2.2, $N \in \mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

2.3. Hypercyclicity of the group G

The last step of this algorithm, is to check the hypercyclicity of G using theorem 1.2, i.e. $H(G) := \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{Z}B_k u_0 + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{Z}e^{(k)}$ is a dense additive subgroup of \mathbb{C}^n . To do so, we apply the algorithm given in [3] for the complex case. In order to make this article self contained, we briefly outline the different steps of this algorithm.

Let $q = p + r$. If $q \leq 2n$ or $\sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{R}B_k u_0 + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{R}e^{(k)} \neq \mathbb{C}^n$, then $H(G)$ is not dense in \mathbb{C}^n , otherwise, $q > 2n$ and $\sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{R}B_k u_0 + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{R}e^{(k)} = \mathbb{C}^n$. Let us write

$H(G) = \sum_{k=1}^q \mathbb{Z}u_k$ where $(u_k = B_k u_0)_{k=1, \dots, p}$ and $(u_{p+k} = 2\pi i e^{(k)})_{k=1, \dots, r}$. We can assume that (u_1, \dots, u_{2n}) is a \mathbb{R} -basis of \mathbb{C}^n .

$$\text{Set } \tilde{H}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^q \mathbb{Z}\tilde{u}_k, \text{ where } \tilde{u}_k = [\Re(u_k), \Im(u_k)]^T.$$

For every $k = 2n + 1, \dots, q$, let $\alpha_{k,i}$ be the coordinates of \tilde{u}_k in the basis $(\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_{2n})$, i.e. $\tilde{u}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \alpha_{k,i} \tilde{u}_i$. Suppose that $1, \alpha_{k,i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{k,i_k}$ is the longest sequence extracted from the list $\{1, \alpha_{k,1}, \dots, \alpha_{k,2n}\}$ which contains 1 and such that its elements are independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then set $I_k := \{i_1, \dots, i_{r_k}\}$.

The next step is to write the scalars $\alpha_{k,j}$ for every $j \notin I_k$ as a function of 1 and the scalars $\{\alpha_{k,i} \mid i \in I_k\}$, *i.e.*

$$\alpha_{k,j} = t_{k,j} + \sum_{i \in I_k} \gamma_{j,i}^{(k)} \alpha_{k,i}$$

where $\gamma_{j,i_1}^{(k)}, \dots, \gamma_{j,i_{r_k}}^{(k)}, t_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Moreover, we define the vectors $u'_{k,j}$, $j \in I_k$, $k = 2n + 1, \dots, q$ as

$$u'_{k,j} = q_k \tilde{u}_j + \sum_{i \notin I_k} m_{i,j}^{(k)} \tilde{u}_i$$

where $q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $m_{i,j}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}$, are such that

$$\gamma_{i,j}^{(k)} = \frac{m_{i,j}^{(k)}}{q_k}$$

Finally, let $M_{\tilde{H}(G)}$ be the matrix of the coordinates of all the vectors $u'_{k,j}$. Then by (Theorem 4.1, [3]) $H(G)$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n if and only if

$$\text{rank} \left(M_{\tilde{H}(G)} \right) = 2n$$

3. The algorithm outline

1. Given the eigenvalues of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p , determine the corresponding generalized eigenspaces $E_{k,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, r_k$, $k = 1, \dots, p$.
2. Determine all the intersections $\bigcap_{k=1}^p E_{k,i_k} \neq \{0\}$, $1 \leq i_k \leq r_k$ and obtain the generalized eigenspaces E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r of G .
3. If $p + r \leq 2n$ then G is not hypercyclic.
4. Otherwise, compute the normal form of G , *i.e.* determine the set $\{\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_p\}$.
5. Construct the matrices B_k such that $\tilde{A}_k = e^{B_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, p$.
6. If $\sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{R}B_k u_0 + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{R}e^{(k)} \neq \mathbb{C}^n$ then G is not hypercyclic.
7. Otherwise, consider the additive group $H(G) = \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{Z}B_k u_0 + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{Z}e^{(k)}$ and determine $\tilde{H}(G)$ and $M_{\tilde{H}(G)}$ as described in the last section.
8. G is hypercyclic if and only if $\text{rank} \left(M_{\tilde{H}(G)} \right) = 2n$.

4. Example

Let G be the subgroup of $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ generated by A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 and A_6 , where:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} e & 3-2e+i & -2+e-i \\ 0 & 2+i & -1-i \\ 0 & 1+i & -i \end{bmatrix} \\
 A_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1-2+2e^{\sqrt{2}} & 1-e^{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & e^{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 A_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{\sqrt{3}} & -2e^{\sqrt{3}}+2e^i & e^{\sqrt{3}}-e^i \\ 0 & e^i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^i \end{bmatrix} \\
 A_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\sqrt{5}} & \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}+i)e-2e^{i\sqrt{5}} & e^{i\sqrt{5}}-(1+i\sqrt{2})e \\ 0 & (1+i\sqrt{2})e & -i\sqrt{2}e \\ 0 & i\sqrt{2}e & (1-i\sqrt{2})e \end{bmatrix} \\
 A_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} e & 2-2e+\sqrt{7}+i\sqrt{2} & e-1-\sqrt{7}-i\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1+\sqrt{7}+i\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{7}-i\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & \sqrt{7}+i\sqrt{2} & 1-\sqrt{7}-i\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \\
 A_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i\sqrt{2} & -i\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1+i\sqrt{2} & -i\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & i\sqrt{2} & 1-i\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The spectrum $\sigma(A_k)$ of A_k are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(A_1) &= \{1, e\} & \sigma(A_2) &= \{1, e^{\sqrt{2}}\} \\
 \sigma(A_3) &= \{e^{\sqrt{3}}, e^i\} & \sigma(A_4) &= \{e, e^{i\sqrt{5}}\} \\
 \sigma(A_5) &= \{1, e\} & \sigma(A_6) &= \{1\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $r = 2$ which corresponds to two generalized eigenspaces E_1 and E_2 for G of dimension:

$$\dim(E_1) = 1, \quad \dim(E_2) = 2$$

The normal form of G is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{A}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \tilde{A}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} e^{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \tilde{A}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} e^i & 0 \\ 0 & e^i \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \tilde{A}_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e & e \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \tilde{A}_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & \tilde{A}_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The matrices B_k such that $e^{B_k} = \tilde{A}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, 6$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & B_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\
 B_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & B_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} i\sqrt{5} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\
 B_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & B_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.2, G is hypercyclic if and only if the complex additive group $H(G) = \sum_{k=1}^6 \mathbb{Z}B_k u_0 + 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}e_1 + 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}e_2$ is dense, where $u_0 = [1, 1, 0]^T$.

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 &= [1, 0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i]^T & u_2 &= [0, \sqrt{2}, 0]^T & u_3 &= [\sqrt{3}, i, 0]^T & u_4 &= [i\sqrt{5}, 1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i]^T \\
 u_5 &= [1, 0, \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i]^T & u_6 &= [0, 0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i]^T & u_7 &= [2\pi i, 0, 0]^T & u_8 &= [0, 2\pi i, 0]^T
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{u}_1 &= [1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}]^T & \tilde{u}_2 &= [0, \sqrt{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0]^T & \tilde{u}_3 &= [\sqrt{3}, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]^T \\
 \tilde{u}_4 &= [0, 1, 0, \sqrt{5}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}]^T & \tilde{u}_5 &= [1, 0, \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}]^T & \tilde{u}_6 &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}]^T \\
 \tilde{u}_7 &= [0, 0, 0, 2\pi, 0, 0]^T & \tilde{u}_8 &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 2\pi, 0]^T
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $\tilde{H}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^8 \mathbb{Z}\tilde{u}_k$.

The vectors \tilde{u}_7 and \tilde{u}_8 can be expressed in the basis $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_6)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{u}_7 &= -\pi \frac{\sqrt{10}}{5} \tilde{u}_2 + 2\pi \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \tilde{u}_4 - 2\pi \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \tilde{u}_6 \\
 \tilde{u}_8 &= -\pi \frac{7\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{21}}{3} \tilde{u}_1 + 2\pi \tilde{u}_3 + \pi \frac{\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{21}}{3} \tilde{u}_5 + \pi \frac{\sqrt{42} - 2\sqrt{21} + 7\sqrt{6} - 2\sqrt{3}}{6} \tilde{u}_6
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply the algorithm given in [3] (see Theorem 4.1). We get the sets:

$I_7 = \{2, 4\}$ and $I_8 = \{1, 3, 5, 6\}$ obtained by using the fact that π is a transcendental number and that the set $\{\sqrt{n} : n \text{ is a squarefree number}\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} [2]. (Recall that an integer is squarefree if its prime factorization contains no prime more than once).

Now the vectors $u'_{k,j}$, $j \in I_k$, $k = 7, 8$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} u'_{7,2} &= \tilde{u}_2 \\ u'_{7,4} &= \tilde{u}_4 - \tilde{u}_6 \\ u'_{8,1} &= \tilde{u}_1 \\ u'_{8,3} &= \tilde{u}_3 \\ u'_{8,5} &= \tilde{u}_5 \\ u'_{8,6} &= \tilde{u}_6 \end{aligned}$$

The matrix $M_{\tilde{H}(G)}$ is given by:

$$M_{\tilde{H}(G)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\text{rank}(M_{\tilde{H}(G)}) = 6$, we apply (Theorem 4.1, [3]) to get that $H(G)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^6 . We conclude by Theorem 1.2 that G is hypercyclic.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. AYADI AND H. MARZOUGUI, *Dense orbits for abelian subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$* , *Foliations 2005*: World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, (2006), 47–69.
- [2] ERIC JAFFE, *Linearly Independent Integer Roots over the Scalar Field \mathbb{Q}* , The University of Chicago, 2007 Summer VIGRE Program for Undergraduates.
- [3] M. ELGHAOUI AND A. AYADI, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 16, no. 2 (2015), 127–139.
- [4] S. GOODWIN, *Algorithmic testing for dense orbits of Borel subgroups*, *Journal of pure and Applied Algebra* 197 (2005), 171–181.
- [5] S. H. WEINTRAUB, *Jordan Canonical form, Theory and Practice*, Morgan Claypool, C 2009.

(Received October 12, 2015)

Mohamed Elghaoui
University of Carthage
Faculty of science of Bizerte
Tunisia
e-mail: elghaoui.mohamed@yahoo.fr

Adlene Ayadi
University of Gafsa
Faculty of science of Gafsa
Tunisia
e-mail: adlenesoo@yahoo.com