

## SOME GRÜSS' TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR TRACE OF OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR

(Communicated by Raúl Curto)

*Abstract.* Some inequalities of Grüss' type for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, under suitable assumptions for the involved operators, are given.

### 1. Introduction

In 1935, G. Grüss [31] proved the following integral inequality which gives an approximation of the integral mean of the product in terms of the product of the integrals means as follows:

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x)dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Phi - \phi) (\Gamma - \gamma), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are integrable on  $[a, b]$  and satisfy the condition

$$\phi \leq f(x) \leq \Phi, \gamma \leq g(x) \leq \Gamma \quad (1.2)$$

for each  $x \in [a, b]$ , where  $\phi, \Phi, \gamma, \Gamma$  are given real constants.

Moreover, the constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller one.

In 1950, M. Biernacki, H. Pidek and C. Ryll-Nardjewski [38, Chapter X] established the following discrete version of Grüss' inequality:

Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be two  $n$ -tuples of real numbers such that  $r \leq a_i \leq R$  and  $s \leq b_i \leq S$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then one has

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] \right) (R-r)(S-s), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 47A63, 47A99.

*Keywords and phrases:* Trace class operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators, trace, Grüss' type inequalities, trace inequalities for matrices.

For a simple proof of (1.1) as well as for some other integral inequalities of Grüss type, see Chapter X of the book [38].

For other related results see the papers [1]–[3], [8]–[10], [11]–[13], [17]–[24], [29], [40], [50] and the references therein.

In [18], in order to generalize the above result in abstract structures the author has proved the following Grüss' type inequality in real or complex inner product spaces.

**THEOREM 1.** (Dragomir, 1999, [18]) *Let  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space over  $\mathbb{K}$  ( $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ ) and  $e \in H, \|e\| = 1$ . If  $\varphi, \gamma, \Phi, \Gamma$  are real or complex numbers and  $x, y$  are vectors in  $H$  such that the conditions*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle \Phi e - x, x - \varphi e \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \langle \Gamma e - y, y - \gamma e \rangle \geq 0 \quad (1.4)$$

hold, then we have the inequality

$$|\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\Phi - \varphi| |\Gamma - \gamma|. \quad (1.5)$$

The constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  is best possible in the sense that it can not be replaced by a smaller constant.

For other results of this type, see the recent monograph [21] and the references therein.

Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . The Gelfand map establishes a  $*$ -isometrically isomorphism  $\Phi$  between the set  $C(\operatorname{Sp}(A))$  of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of  $A$ , denoted  $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ , and the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A)$  generated by  $A$  and the identity operator  $1_H$  on  $H$  as follows:

For any  $f, g \in C(\operatorname{Sp}(A))$  and any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

- (i)  $\Phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \Phi(f) + \beta \Phi(g)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(fg) = \Phi(f)\Phi(g)$  and  $\Phi(\bar{f}) = \Phi(f)^*$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f\| := \sup_{t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)} |f(t)|$ ;
- (iv)  $\Phi(f_0) = 1_H$  and  $\Phi(f_1) = A$ , where  $f_0(t) = 1$  and  $f_1(t) = t$ , for  $t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)$ .

With this notation we define

$$f(A) := \Phi(f) \text{ for all } f \in C(\operatorname{Sp}(A))$$

and we call it the *continuous functional calculus* for a selfadjoint operator  $A$ .

If  $A$  is a selfadjoint operator and  $f$  is a real valued continuous function on  $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ , then  $f(t) \geq 0$  for any  $t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)$  implies that  $f(A) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $f(A)$  is a positive operator on  $H$ . Moreover, if both  $f$  and  $g$  are real valued functions on  $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$  then the following important property holds:

$$f(t) \geq g(t) \text{ for any } t \in \operatorname{Sp}(A) \text{ implies that } f(A) \geq g(A) \quad (\text{P})$$

in the operator order of  $B(H)$ .

In the recent paper [26], we obtained amongst other the following refinement of the Grüss inequality:

**THEOREM 2.** (Dragomir, 2009, [26]) *Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  and assume that  $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$  for some scalars  $m < M$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous on  $[m, M]$  and  $\gamma := \min_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$  and  $\Gamma := \max_{t \in [m, M]} f(t)$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma - \gamma) \left[ \|g(A)x\|^2 - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma - \gamma) (\Delta - \delta) \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

for each  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , where  $\delta := \min_{t \in [m, M]} g(t)$  and  $\Delta := \max_{t \in [m, M]} g(t)$ .

In order to state some Grüss' type inequalities for trace operators on complex Hilbert spaces we need some preparations as follows.

### 2. Some facts on trace of operators

Let  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H$ . We say that  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

It is well know that, if  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$  are orthonormal bases for  $H$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  then

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|A^*f_j\|^2 \tag{2.2}$$

showing that the definition (2.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and  $A$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff  $A^*$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$  the set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$  we define

$$\|A\|_2 := \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{2.3}$$

for  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H$ . This definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis.

Using the triangle inequality in  $l^2(I)$ , one checks that  $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$  is a *vector space* and that  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ , which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote the *modulus* of an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  by  $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$ .

Because  $\| |A|x \| = \|Ax\|$  for all  $x \in H$ ,  $A$  is Hilbert-Schmidt iff  $|A|$  is Hilbert-Schmidt and  $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$ . From (2.2) we have that if  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ , then  $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$  and  $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$ .

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

**THEOREM 3.** *We have*

(i)  $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle \tag{2.4}$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ ;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2 \tag{2.5}$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$  and

$$\|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2 \tag{2.6}$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ;

(iii)  $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$  is an operator ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H);$$

(iv)  $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$ , the space of operators of finite rank, is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ ;

(v)  $\mathcal{B}_2(H) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(H)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  denotes the algebra of compact operators on  $H$ .

If  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H$ , we say that  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is trace class if

$$\|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

The definition of  $\|A\|_1$  does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$  the set of trace class operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

The following proposition holds:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ ;
- (ii)  $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ ;
- (iii)  $A$  (or  $|A|$ ) is the product of two elements of  $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ .

The following properties are also well known:

**THEOREM 4.** *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \text{ and } \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1 \tag{2.8}$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ ;

(ii)  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$  is an operator ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\| \leq 1 \};$$

(v)  $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space.

(iv) We have the following isometric isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{B}_1(H) \cong K(H)^* \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_1(H)^* \cong \mathcal{B}(H),$$

where  $K(H)^*$  is the dual space of  $K(H)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)^*$  is the dual space of  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ .

We define the trace of a trace class operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  to be

$$\text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H$ . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if  $H$  is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (2.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

**THEOREM 5.** We have

(i) If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  then  $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and

$$\text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)}; \tag{2.10}$$

(ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , then  $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and

$$\text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|; \tag{2.11}$$

(iii)  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$  with  $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$ ;

(iv) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$  then  $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ ;

(v)  $\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ .

Utilising the trace notation we obviously have that

$$\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(B^*A) = \text{tr}(AB^*) \text{ and } \|A\|_2^2 = \text{tr}(A^*A) = \text{tr}(|A|^2)$$

for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ .

The following Hölder's type inequality has been obtained by Ruskai in [42]

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \text{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[ \text{tr}(|A|^{1/\alpha}) \right]^\alpha \left[ \text{tr}(|B|^{1/(1-\alpha)}) \right]^{1-\alpha} \tag{2.12}$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $|A|^{1/\alpha}, |B|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ .

In particular, for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  we get the Schwarz inequality

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \text{tr}(|AB|) \leq \left[ \text{tr}(|A|^2) \right]^{1/2} \left[ \text{tr}(|B|^2) \right]^{1/2} \tag{2.13}$$

with  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ .

For the theory of trace functionals and their applications the reader is referred to [45].

For some classical trace inequalities see [14], [16], [39] and [49], which are continuations of the work of Bellman [5]. For related works the reader can refer to [4], [6], [14], [30], [33], [34], [36], [43] and [46].

### 3. Some Grüss' type trace inequalities

We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_1^+(H) := \{P : P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \text{ and } P \geq 0\}$ .

We have the following result:

**THEOREM 6.** *For any  $A, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PAC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{3.1} \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left\| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right\| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm.

*Proof.* We observe that, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left[ P(A - \lambda 1_H) \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \tag{3.2} \\ & = \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left[ PA \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{\lambda}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left[ P \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{\text{tr}(PAC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the modulus in (3.2) and utilizing the properties of the trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PAC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \left| \text{tr} \left[ P(A - \lambda 1_H) \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \right] \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \left| \text{tr} \left[ (A - \lambda 1_H) \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \|A - \lambda 1_H\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , where for the last inequality we used the inequality (2.11).

Utilising Schwarz's inequality (2.13) we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} P^{1/2} \right| \right) \\
 &\leq \left[ \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} [\text{tr}(P)]^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( \left( \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right)^* \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( P^{1/2} \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right)^* \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P^{1/2} \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right)^* \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( \left( C^* - \frac{\overline{\text{tr}(PC)}}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left[ \left( |C|^2 - \frac{\overline{\text{tr}(PC)}}{\text{tr}(P)} C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} C^* + \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 1_H \right) P \right] \\
 &= \left( \frac{\text{tr}(|C|^2 P)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right) \text{tr}(P).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \leq \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(|C|^2 P)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \operatorname{tr}(P)$$

and by (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| & (3.6) \\ & \leq \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \|A - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(|C|^2 P)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Taking the infimum over  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  in (3.6) we get the desired result (3.1).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** For any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 & (3.7) \\ & \leq \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \mu \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \mu \cdot 1_H\| \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \leq \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \mu \cdot 1_H\|^2. \tag{3.8}$$

*Proof.* If we take in (3.1)  $A = C^*$  then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^*C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^*)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C^* - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C^* - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$



which is clearly equivalent to (3.7).

The inequality (3.8) follows from the inequality between the second and fourth term in (3.7).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *For any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right| \tag{3.9} \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left\| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right\| \right) \\ & \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|C - \lambda \cdot 1_H\| \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Following [27], for the complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  and the bounded linear operator  $T$  we define the following transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(T) := (T^* - \overline{\alpha}I)(\beta I - T),$$

where by  $T^*$  we denote the adjoint of  $T$ .

We list some properties of the transform  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$  that are useful in the following:

(i) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in B(H)$  we have:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(I) = (1 - \overline{\alpha})(\beta - 1)I, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\alpha}(T) = -(\alpha I - T)^*(\alpha I - T),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(\gamma T) = |\gamma|^2 \mathcal{C}_{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}}(T) \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$[\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(T)]^* = \mathcal{C}_{\beta,\alpha}(T)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\beta,\overline{\alpha}}(T^*) - \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(T) = T^*T - TT^*.$$

(ii) The operator  $T \in B(H)$  is normal if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{\beta,\overline{\alpha}}(T^*) = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(T)$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We recall that a bounded linear operator  $T$  on the complex Hilbert space  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called *accretive* if  $\operatorname{Re} \langle Ty, y \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $y \in H$ .

Utilizing the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(T)x, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{C}_{\beta,\alpha}(T)x, x \rangle \tag{3.10} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2 - \left\| \left( T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} I \right) x \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

that holds for any scalars  $\alpha, \beta$  and any vector  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  we can give a simple characterization result that is useful in the following:

LEMMA 1. For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in B(H)$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The transform  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$  (or, equivalently  $\mathcal{C}_{\beta, \alpha}(T)$ ) is accretive;
- (ii) The transform  $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(T^*)$  (or, equivalently  $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}}(T^*)$ ) is accretive;
- (iii) We have the norm inequality

$$\left\| T - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot I \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \tag{3.11}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left\| T^* - \frac{\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}}{2} \cdot I \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|. \tag{3.12}$$

REMARK 1. In order to give examples of operators  $T \in B(H)$  and numbers  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the transform  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$  is accretive, it suffices to select a bounded linear operator  $S$  and the complex numbers  $z, w$  with the property that  $\|S - zI\| \leq |w|$  and, by choosing  $T = S$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(z + w)$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(z - w)$  we observe that  $T$  satisfies (3.11), i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$  is accretive.

COROLLARY 3. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $A \in B(H)$  such that the transform  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$  is accretive, or, equivalently

$$\left\| A - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \cdot I \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|.$$

For any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PAC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{3.13} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is such that  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(C)$  is accretive, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \tag{3.14} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right| \tag{3.15} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha| \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following Grüss type inequality:

COROLLARY 4. Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $A, C \in B(H)$  such that the transforms  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\delta}(C)$  are accretive. Then for any  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  we have the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} |\beta - \alpha| |\gamma - \delta|. \tag{3.16}$$

REMARK 2. In the case when  $A$  is a selfadjoint operator and  $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$  for some real numbers  $m < M$ , then

$$\left| A - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m) 1_H,$$

which implies that

$$\left\| A - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m).$$

Then by (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PAC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{3.17} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m) \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

If  $C$  is a selfadjoint operator and  $k1_H \leq C \leq K1_H$  for some real numbers  $k < K$ ,

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\text{tr}(PC^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right)^2 & (3.18) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(PC^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(K-k)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

We have the following Grüss type inequality

$$\left| \frac{\text{tr}(PAC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(K-k) \tag{3.19}$$

provided that  $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$  and  $k1_H \leq C \leq K1_H$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the space of all square matrices of order  $n$  with complex elements and  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a Hermitian matrix such that  $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$  for some scalars  $m, M$  with  $m < M$ . Then for any  $C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{\text{tr}(AC)}{n} - \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{n} \frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m) \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left( \left| C - \frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n} I_n \right| \right) & (3.20) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(M-m) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(|C|^2)}{n} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix in  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

If  $C$  is a Hermitian matrix such that  $\text{Sp}(C) \subseteq [k, K]$  for some scalars  $k, K$  with  $k < K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\text{tr}(C^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n}\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \left( \left| C - \frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n} I_n \right| \right) & (3.21) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(K-k) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(C^2)}{n} - \left(\frac{\text{tr}(C)}{n}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(K-k)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the case when the operator  $A$  is a function of selfadjoint operators we have the following result as well.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $S$  be a selfadjoint operator with  $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$  and  $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a continuous function of bounded variation on  $[m, M]$ . For any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and*

$P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$  we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where  $\bigvee_m^M(f)$  is the total variation of  $f$  on the interval.

If the function  $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $L > 0$  on  $[m, M]$ , i.e.

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq L|t - s|$$

for any  $t, s \in [m, M]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

for any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* From the inequality (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \|f(S) - \lambda 1_H\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

From (3.24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ & \leq \left\| f(S) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Since  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[m, M]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} \right| &= \left| \frac{f(t) - f(m) + f(t) - f(M)}{2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [|f(t) - f(m)| + |f(M) - f(t)|] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

for any  $t \in [m, M]$ .

From (3.26) we get in the order  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  that

$$\left| f(S) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} 1_H \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) 1_H,$$

which implies that

$$\left\| f(S) - \frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} 1_H \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) 1_H. \tag{3.27}$$

Making use of (3.25) and (3.27) we get the first inequality (3.22). The second part is obvious.

From (3.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \\ &\leq \left\| f(S) - f\left(\frac{\text{tr}(SP)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right) 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Since

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq L|t - s|$$

for any  $t, s \in [m, M]$ , then we have in the order  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  that

$$|f(S) - f(s) 1_H| \leq L|S - s 1_H|$$

for any  $s \in [m, M]$ . In particular, we have

$$\left| f(S) - f\left(\frac{\text{tr}(SP)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right) 1_H \right| \leq L \left| S - \frac{\text{tr}(SP)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right|,$$

which implies that

$$\left\| f(S) - f\left(\frac{\text{tr}(SP)}{\text{tr}(P)}\right) 1_H \right\| \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(SP)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\|$$

and by (3.28) we get the first inequality in (3.23).

The second part is obvious.  $\square$

REMARK 3. If we take  $f(t) = t$  in (3.22), then we get the inequality (3.17) while from (3.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PSC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{3.29} \\ & \leq \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

The case of selfadjoint operators  $C$  is as follows:

COROLLARY 5. Let  $S$  be a selfadjoint operator with  $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$  and  $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a continuous function of bounded variation on  $[m, M]$ . If  $C$  is selfadjoint with  $\text{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$  for some real numbers  $n < N$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ , then we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{3.30} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_m^M(f) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(PC^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} (M - n) \bigvee_m^M(f). \end{aligned}$$

If the function  $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $L > 0$  on  $[m, M]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S)C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(Pf(S))}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{3.31} \\ & \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(PC^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M - n) L \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

### 4. Some examples

If we write the inequality (3.22) for the function  $f : [m, M] \subset [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $f(t) = t^r$ ,  $r > 0$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^rC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^r)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{4.1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

while from (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^rC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^r)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{4.2} \\ & \leq \Delta_r \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \Delta_r \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right\| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $S$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$ , any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ , where

$$\Delta_r := \begin{cases} rM^{r-1} & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ rm^{r-1} & \text{if } r \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

If  $C$  is selfadjoint with  $\text{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$  for some real numbers  $n < N$  then from (4.1) and (4.2) we get the power inequalities

$$\left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^rC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^r)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (M^r - m^r) (N - n) \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^rC)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^r)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta_r (N - n) \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right\|. \tag{4.4}$$

If we write the inequality (3.22) for the function  $f : [m, M] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(t) =$



In  $t$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{4.5} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{M}{m} \right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{M}{m} \right) \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

while from (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{4.6} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\| \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $S$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$ , any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

If  $C$  is selfadjoint with  $\operatorname{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$  for some real numbers  $n < N$  then from (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (N - n) \ln \left( \frac{M}{m} \right) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(CP \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{N - n}{2m} \left\| S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right\|. \tag{4.8}$$

If we write the inequality (3.22) for the function  $f : [m, M] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(t) = t^{-1}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{4.9} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M - m}{mM} \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( C - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M - m}{mM} \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PC)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

while from (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \tag{4.10} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right\| \left\| \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left( C - \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right\| \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(P|C|^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left| \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $S$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M]$ , any  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

If  $C$  is selfadjoint with  $\text{Sp}(C) \subseteq [n, N]$  for some real numbers  $n < N$  then from (4.9) and (4.10) we have

$$\left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{M-m}{mM} (N-n) \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{-1}C)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PC)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right| \leq \frac{N-n}{2m^2} \left\| S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right\|. \tag{4.12}$$

Now, if we take  $C = S$  in (4.1), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\text{tr}(PS^{r+1})}{\text{tr}(P)} - \frac{\text{tr}(PS^r)}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \tag{4.13} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \text{tr} \left( \left( S - \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (M^r - m^r) \left[ \frac{\text{tr}(PS^2)}{\text{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\text{tr}(PS)}{\text{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (M^r - m^r) (M - m), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $S$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M] \subset [0, \infty)$  and any  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Also, if we take  $C = S$  in (4.5), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln S)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} & (4.14) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{M}{m} \right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{M}{m} \right) \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M - m) \ln \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $S$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$  and any  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Finally, if we take  $C = S$  in (4.9), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - 1 & (4.15) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M - m}{mM} \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left| \left( S - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} 1_H \right) P \right| \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M - m}{mM} \left[ \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^2)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \left( \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(M - m)^2}{mM},
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $S$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\operatorname{Sp}(S) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$  and any  $P \in \mathcal{B}_1^+(H) \setminus \{0\}$ .

From the first and last terms in (4.15) we get the Kantorovich type inequality

$$1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS^{-1})}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PS)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(M + m)^2}{mM}.$$

We notice that, the positivity of the first terms in (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) follows from the Čebyšev's type trace inequality obtained in [28].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, *Grüss type inequalities for the Stieltjes integral*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **12** (2007), no. 4, 583–593.
- [2] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, *Chebyshev-Grüss type and comparison of integral means inequalities for the Stieltjes integral*, Panamer. Math. J. **17** (2007), no. 3, 91–109.
- [3] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, *Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities via Euler type and Fink identities*, Math. Comput. Modelling **45** (2007), no. 9-10, 1189–1200.
- [4] T. ANDO, *Matrix Young inequalities*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **75** (1995), 33–38.

- [5] R. BELLMAN, *Some inequalities for positive definite matrices*, in: E.F. Beckenbach (Ed.), *General Inequalities 2*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on General Inequalities, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1980, pp. 89–90.
- [6] E. V. BELMEGA, M. JUNGERS AND S. LASAULCE, *A generalization of a trace inequality for positive definite matrices*, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 26, 5 pp.
- [7] N. G. DE BRUIJN, *Problem 12*, *Wisk. Opgaven*, **21** (1960), 12–14.
- [8] P. CERONE, *On some results involving the Čebyšev functional and its generalisations*, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (2003), no. 3, Article 55, 17 pp.
- [9] P. CERONE, *On Chebyshev functional bounds*, *Differential & difference equations and applications*, 267–277, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2006.
- [10] P. CERONE, *On a Čebyšev-type functional and Grüss-like bounds*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **9** (2006), no. 1, 87–102.
- [11] P. CERONE AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications*, *Tamkang J. Math.* **38** (2007), no. 1, 37–49.
- [12] P. CERONE AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *New bounds for the Čebyšev functional*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18** (2005), no. 6, 603–611.
- [13] P. CERONE AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Chebyshev functional bounds using Ostrowski seminorms*, *South-east Asian Bull. Math.* **28** (2004), no. 2, 219–228.
- [14] D. CHANG, *A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237** (1999) 721–725.
- [15] L. CHEN AND C. WONG, *Inequalities for singular values and traces*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **171** (1992), 109–120.
- [16] I. D. COOP, *On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrix*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994) 999–1001.
- [17] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Grüss inequality in inner product spaces*, *The Australian Math Soc. Gazette*, **26**(1999), no. 2, 66–70.
- [18] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A generalization of Grüss' inequality in inner product spaces and applications*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **237** (1999), 74–82.
- [19] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Some discrete inequalities of Grüss type and applications in guessing theory*, *Honam Math. J.*, **21** (1) (1999), 145–156.
- [20] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Some integral inequalities of Grüss type*, *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.*, **31** (4) (2000), 397–415.
- [21] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers Inc, New York, 2005, x+249 pp.
- [22] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND G. L. BOOTH, *On a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality and its applications for the estimation of  $p$ -moments of guessing mappings*, *Mathematical Communications*, **5** (2000), 117–126.
- [23] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A Grüss type integral inequality for mappings of  $r$ -Hölder's type and applications for trapezoid formula*, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **31** (1) (2000), 43–47.
- [24] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND I. FEDOTOV, *An inequality of Grüss' type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral and applications for special means*, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **29** (4) (1998), 286–292.
- [25] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Čebyšev's type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **58** (2010), no. 7–8, 805–814. Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll., **11**(2008), Supp. Art. 9. [Online [http://rgmia.org/v11\(E\).php](http://rgmia.org/v11(E).php)]
- [26] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Grüss' type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. No.* **28** (2011), 207–224. Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **11** (2008), Supp. Art. 11. [Online [http://rgmia.org/v11\(E\).php](http://rgmia.org/v11(E).php)]
- [27] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *New inequalities of the Kantorovich type for bounded linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), no. 11–12, 2750–2760.
- [28] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Some Čebyšev's type trace inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **17** (2014), Art. 111.
- [29] A. M. FINK, *A treatise on Grüss' inequality*, *Analytic and Geometric Inequalities*, 93–113, *Math. Appl.* 478, Kluwer Academic Publ., 1999.
- [30] S. FURUICHI AND M. LIN, *Refinements of the trace inequality of Belmega, Lasaulce and Debbah*, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 23, 4 pp.
- [31] J. GRÜSS, *Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von  $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$* , *Math. Z.*, **39** (1935), 215–226.

- [32] T. FURUTA, J. MIĆIĆ HOT, J. PEČARIĆ AND Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities, Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [33] H. D. LEE, *On some matrix inequalities*, Korean J. Math. **16** (2008), no. 4, pp. 565–571.
- [34] L. LIU, *A trace class operator inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007) 1484–1486.
- [35] Z. LIU, *Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral*, Soochow J. Math., **30** (4) (2004), 483–489.
- [36] S. MANJEGANI, *Hölder and Young inequalities for the trace of operators*, Positivity **11** (2007), 239–250.
- [37] A. MATKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ AND I. PERIĆ, *A variant of Jensen's inequality of Mercer's type for operators with applications* Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), no. 2–3, 551–564.
- [38] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ AND A. M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [39] H. NEUDECKER, *A matrix trace inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **166** (1992) 302–303.
- [40] B. G. PACHPATTE, *A note on Grüss type inequalities via Cauchy's mean value theorem*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **11** (2008), no. 1, 75–80.
- [41] J. PEČARIĆ, J. MIĆIĆ AND Y. SEO, *Inequalities between operator means based on the Mond-Pečarić method*, Houston J. Math. **30** (2004), no. 1, 191–207.
- [42] M. B. RUSKAI, *Inequalities for traces on von Neumann algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **26** (1972), 280–289.
- [43] K. SHEBRAWI AND H. ALBADAWI, *Operator norm inequalities of Minkowski type*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (1) (2008), 1–10, article 26.
- [44] K. SHEBRAWI AND H. ALBADAWI, *Trace inequalities for matrices*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **87** (2013), 139–148.
- [45] B. SIMON, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [46] Z. ULUKÖK AND R. TÜRKMEN, *On some matrix trace inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2010**, Art. ID 201486, 8 pp.
- [47] X. YANG, *A matrix trace inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **250** (2000) 372–374.
- [48] X. M. YANG, X. Q. YANG AND K. L. TEO, *A matrix trace inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), 327–331.
- [49] Y. YANG, *A matrix trace inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **133** (1988) 573–574.
- [50] C.-J. ZHAO AND W.-S. CHEUNG, *On multivariate Grüss inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2008**, Art. ID 249438, 8 pp.

(Received April 21, 2015)

Silvestru Sever Dragomir  
 Mathematics, School of Engineering & Science  
 Victoria University, P. O. Box 14428  
 Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia  
 and  
 School of Computer Science & Applied Mathematics  
 University of the Witwatersrand,  
 Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa e-mail:  
 sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au  
<http://rgmia.org/dragomir>