

## HYPERCYCLICITY OF WEIGHTED TRANSLATIONS ON ORLICZ SPACES

M. R. AZIMI AND I. AKBARBAĞLU

(Communicated by T. S. S. R. K. Rao)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we study the hypercyclicity of the weighted translation  $C_{u,g}$  defined on Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  where  $G$  is a locally compact group,  $g \in G$  and  $u$  is a weight function on  $G$ . It is shown that when  $g \in G$  is a torsion element, then  $C_{u,g}$  cannot be hypercyclic. However, for an aperiodic element  $g \in G$ , necessary and sufficient conditions for  $C_{u,g}$  and its adjoint are given to be hypercyclic.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on a Fréchet space  $X$  is called *hypercyclic* if there is a vector  $x \in X$  whose orbit  $\{T^n x : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is dense in  $X$ , where  $T^n$  stands for the  $n$ -th iterate of  $T$  and  $T^0$  is the identity map. Such a vector is called a hypercyclic vector for the operator  $T$ . We recall the well-known equivalence between hypercyclicity and *topological transitivity*. An operator  $T$  acting on a Fréchet space  $X$  is hypercyclic if and only if for each pair of non-empty open sets  $(U, V)$  in  $X$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Further, an operator  $T$  satisfies the Hypercyclic Criterion if and only if the operator  $T \oplus T$  is hypercyclic on  $X \oplus X$ . An operator  $T$  on a Fréchet space  $X$  is *weakly mixing* if and only if  $T \oplus T$  is hypercyclic on  $X \oplus X$ . It is readily seen that weakly mixing maps are topologically transitive but in the topological setting, the converse is not true. For example, any irrational rotation of the circle  $\mathbb{T}$  is topologically transitive but it is not weakly mixing. An operator  $T$  is *topologically mixing* whenever for each pair of non-empty open sets  $(U, V)$  in  $X$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq N$ . The operators of the form “identity plus a backward shift” are the example of topologically mixing operators which are also hypercyclic. The books [2] and [5] are the best interesting references in the dynamics of linear operators.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with the identity  $e$  and a right Haar measure  $\mu$ . A continuous, even and convex function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is called a *Young’s function* whenever  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty$ . Usually for each Young’s function  $\Phi$ , another Young’s function  $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  defined by

$$\Psi(y) := \sup\{x|y| - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 47A16, 46E30.

*Keywords and phrases:* Hypercyclic, weighted translation, locally compact group, Orlicz space.

is associated which is called *complementary Young's function* of  $\Phi$ .

Let  $L^\Phi(G)$  denote the set of all Borel measurable functions  $f$  on  $G$  such that  $\int_G \Phi(k|f|)d\mu < \infty$  for some constant  $k > 0$ . It is plain that  $L^\Phi(G)$  is a vector space and equipped with the norm

$$N_\Phi(f) = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}$$

which is a Banach space and called an *Orlicz space*. A Young's function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy condition  $\Delta_2$ -regular if there is a constant  $k > 0$  such that  $\Phi(2t) \leq k\Phi(t)$  for large values of  $t$  when  $\mu(G) < \infty$ . In case  $\mu(G) = \infty$ ,  $\Phi(2t) \leq k\Phi(t)$  for each  $t > 0$ . For further information the interested reader is referred to [7]. It is well known that the hypercyclic phenomenon is occurred only on infinite-dimensional and separable spaces ([2, 5]). Hence we assume that  $G$  is second countable and Young's function  $\Phi$  is  $\Delta_2$ -regular ([7]). A bounded continuous function  $u : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is called a *weight*. For  $g \in G$  let  $\nu_g$  be the unit point mass at  $g$ . Given a weight  $u$  on  $G$  and  $g \in G$ , a weighted translation  $C_{u,g} : L^\Phi(G) \rightarrow L^\Phi(G)$  is defined by

$$C_{u,g}(f) := u \cdot f * \nu_g \quad f \in L^\Phi(G)$$

where  $f * \nu_g$  is the following convolution

$$f * \nu_g(t) := \int_G f(tx^{-1}) d\nu_g(x) = f(tg^{-1}) \quad t \in G.$$

Indeed it is the right translation of  $f$  by  $g^{-1}$ . Further, it is easy to see that  $f * \nu_g \in L^\Phi(G)$  whenever  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$ . For if, consider

$$\int_G \Phi(k|f * \nu_g(t)|) d\mu(t) = \int_G \Phi(k|f(tg^{-1})|) d\mu(t) = \int_G \Phi(k|f(y)|) d\mu(y) < \infty$$

where  $tg^{-1} = y$  and  $d\mu(t) = d\mu(y) = d\mu(y)$ .

Since the spectrum of hypercyclic operators meets the unit circle ([2] or [5]), then a weighted translation  $C_{u,g}$  cannot be hypercyclic when  $\|u\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Another case which  $C_{u,g}$  cannot be hypercyclic, appears whenever  $g$  is a torsion element. Recall that an element  $g \in G$  is called a *torsion element* if it is of finite order. An element  $g \in G$  is called *periodic* if the closed subgroup  $G(g)$  generated by  $g$  is compact. Further, an element in  $G$  is *aperiodic* if it is not periodic. The hypercyclicity of the weighted translations on  $L^p(G)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  has been widely studied in [3] and [4]. In this paper, we study the hypercyclicity of the weighted translation  $C_{u,g}$  on Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$ . For an aperiodic element  $g \in G$ , we give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C_{u,g}$  to be hypercyclic. Moreover, it is shown that when  $g \in G$  is a torsion element then  $C_{u,g}$  cannot be hypercyclic.



Clearly  $\chi_K \in L^\Phi(G)$ , since  $N_\Phi(\chi_K) = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(K)})}$  and  $\mu$  is a regular measure. Recall that for a Young's function  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi^{-1} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is defined by  $\Phi^{-1}(y) := \inf\{x \geq 0 : \Phi(x) > y\}$  with  $\inf(\emptyset) = +\infty$ .

That a Young's function  $\Phi$  is assumed to be  $\Delta_2$ -regular, ensures that the set of all simple functions and the set of all continuous functions with the compact supports are dense in Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  (c.f., [7]). Hence, one may find  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , sufficiently large such that

$$N_\Phi(f - 2\chi_K) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad N_\Phi((C_{u,g}^m)^n f) < \varepsilon.$$

Set  $S = \{t \in K : |f(t)| < 1\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon > N_\Phi(f - 2\chi_K) &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_S(f - 2\chi_K)) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_S) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(S)})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $\mu(S) < \frac{1}{\Phi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon > N_\Phi((C_{u,g}^m)^n f) &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{K-S}(C_{u,g}^m)^n f) \\ &\geq N_\Phi((u_{m,g}^n f)\chi_{K-S}) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{K-S}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\mu(K-S)})}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that  $\mu(K - S) < \frac{1}{\Phi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}$ . But we know that  $\mu(K) = \mu(S) + \mu(K - S) < \frac{2}{\Phi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $g \in G$  be an aperiodic element and let  $C_{u,g}$  be a weighted translation on  $L^\Phi(G)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i)  $C_{u,g}$  is hypercyclic.

(ii) For each compact subset  $K \subseteq G$  with  $\mu(K) > 0$ , there is a sequence of Borel sets  $\{V_k\} \subseteq K$  such that  $\mu(V_k) \rightarrow \mu(K)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and both sequences

$$u_{n,g} := \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u * v_g^i \right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n,g^{-1}} := \prod_{i=1}^n u * v_{g^{-1}}^i$$

possess respectively subsequences  $\{u_{n_k,g}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{u_{n_k,g^{-1}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_k,g}|_{V_k}\|_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_k,g^{-1}}|_{V_k}\|_\infty = 0.$$

*Proof.* We take the same approach as in [3]. However, the novelty of our approach lies on the structure of Orlicz spaces and the hypercyclicity criterion (Definition 2.1). Suppose that  $C_{u,g}$  is hypercyclic. Let  $K \subseteq G$  be a compact set with  $\mu(K) > 0$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $g \in G$  is an aperiodic element, then by Lemma 2.1 in [3], there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \cap Kg^{-n} = \emptyset$  for  $n > N$ . We know that the set of all hypercyclic vectors for  $C_{u,g}$  and the set of all simple functions form dense subsets in  $L^\Phi(G)$ . Of course, both these facts depend on Young's function  $\Phi$  which is assumed to be  $\Delta_2$ -regular. Hence there exist a hypercyclic vector  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_0 > N$ , such that

$$N_\Phi(f - \chi_K) < \varepsilon_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad N_\Phi(C_{u,g}^{n_0} f - \chi_K) < \varepsilon_1^2$$

where  $\varepsilon_1$  is chosen in such a way that  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$ . Put  $P_{\varepsilon_1} = \{t \in K : |f(t) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_1\}$ . Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1^2 &> N_\Phi(f - \chi_K) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_K(f - 1)) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{P_{\varepsilon_1}}(f - 1)) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{P_{\varepsilon_1}} \varepsilon_1) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(P_{\varepsilon_1})}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(P_{\varepsilon_1})}\right) > \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}$  and so  $\frac{1}{\mu(P_{\varepsilon_1})} > \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\right)$  which yields that  $\mu(P_{\varepsilon_1}) < \frac{1}{\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\right)}$ . Let  $R_{\varepsilon_1} = \{t \in G - K : |f(t)| \geq \varepsilon_1\}$ . Then  $\mu(R_{\varepsilon_1}) < \frac{1}{\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}\right)}$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1^2 &> N_\Phi(f - \chi_K) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{G-K} f) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{R_{\varepsilon_1}} f) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{R_{\varepsilon_1}} \varepsilon_1) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(R_{\varepsilon_1})}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} = \{t \in K : |u_{n_0, g}(t)^{-1} f(tg^{-n_0}) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_1\}$ . Then, consider the following

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1^2 &> N_\Phi(C_{u,g}^{n_0} f - \chi_K) \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\chi_{S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}}(C_{u,g}^{n_0} f - \chi_K)) \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k} |u_{n_0, g}(t)^{-1} f(tg^{-n_0}) - \chi_K(t)|\right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\geq N_\Phi(\varepsilon_1 \chi_{S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}}) \\ &= \varepsilon_1 \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1})}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

to deduce that  $\mu(S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}) < \frac{1}{\Phi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1})}$ . But for each  $t \in K - (S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} \cup R_{\varepsilon_1} g^{n_0})$ , we have

$$u_{n_0, g}(t) < \frac{|f(tg^{-n_0})|}{1 - \varepsilon_1} < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{1 - \varepsilon_1} < \varepsilon,$$

since  $K \cap Kg^{n_0} = \emptyset$ . Let  $U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} = \{t \in K : |u_{n_0, g^{-1}}(t)f(t)| \geq \varepsilon_1\}$ . Again, by the assumption  $K \cap Kg^{n_0} = \emptyset$  and the fact that  $\mu$  is a right invariant Haar measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1^2 &> N_{\Phi}(C_{u, g}^{n_0} f - \chi_K) \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k} |u_{n_0, g}(t)^{-1} f(tg^{-n_0}) - \chi_K(t)|\right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k} |u_{n_0, g^{-1}}(t)f(t) - \chi_K(tg^{n_0})|\right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k} |u_{n_0, g^{-1}}(t)f(t) - \chi_K(tg^{n_0})|\right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k} |u_{n_0, g^{-1}}(t)f(t)|\right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= N_{\Phi}(\chi_{U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}} u_{n_0, g^{-1}} f) \\ &\geq \varepsilon_1 N_{\Phi}(\chi_{U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}}) \\ &= \varepsilon_1 \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1})}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies in turn that  $\mu(U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}) < \frac{1}{\Phi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1})}$ . Note that for each  $t \in K - (U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} \cup P_{\varepsilon_1})$ , we have

$$u_{n_0, g^{-1}}(t) < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{|f(t)|} < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{1 - \varepsilon_1} < \varepsilon.$$

Eventually, define  $V_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} := K - (P_{\varepsilon_1} \cup R_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} \cup S_{n_0, \varepsilon_1} \cup U_{n_0, \varepsilon_1})$ . It is evident that,  $\mu(K - V_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}) < \frac{4}{\Phi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1})}$ ,  $\|u_{n_0, g^{-1}}|_{V_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$  and  $\|u_{n_0, g}|_{V_{n_0, \varepsilon_1}}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ .

Proceeding inductively, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a Borel set  $V_k \subseteq K$  and  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$  such that  $\mu(K - V_k) < \frac{4}{\Phi(\frac{1}{k})}$ ,  $\|u_{n_k, g^{-1}}|_{V_k}\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{k}$  and  $\|u_{n_k, g}|_{V_k}\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{k}$ .

For the reverse implication, we use Kitai's hypercyclicity criterion (Definition 2.1) essentially. Let  $\{V_k\} \subseteq K$ ,  $\{u_{n_k, g}\}$  and  $\{u_{n_k, g^{-1}}\}$  be items satisfying condition (ii). We use the fact that the set of all continuous functions with compact supports say  $C_c(G)$ , is dense in  $L^{\Phi}(G)$ , since Young's function  $\Phi$  is assumed to be  $\Delta_2$ -regular. For more details see [7]. We mean the support of a function  $f$  by the set  $\overline{\{t \in G : f(t) \neq 0\}}$  which is denoted by  $\sigma(f)$ , for simplicity. Take  $D_1 = D_2 = C_c(G)$  and define the maps  $S_{n_k, g} : C_c(G) \rightarrow L^{\Phi}(G)$  by

$$S_{n_k, g}(f) := u_{n_k, g} f * v_{g^{-1}}.$$

In this circumstance, we have  $C_{u, g}^{n_k}(S_{n_k, g}(f)) = f$ . It remains to show that  $N_{\Phi}(C_{u, g}^{n_k} f) \rightarrow 0$  and  $N_{\Phi}(S_{n_k, g}(f)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\{u_{n_k, g^{-1}}\}$  be

bounded on  $\sigma(f)$  by  $M$ . By the hypothesis, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(\sigma(f) - V_N) < \frac{\varepsilon}{MN_{\Phi}(f)}$ . Now, by Egoroff's theorem, there is a Borel set  $W_N \subseteq \sigma(f)$  such that  $\mu(W_N - \sigma(f)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{MN_{\Phi}(f)}$  and  $\{u_{n_k, g^{-1}}\}$  converges to 0 uniformly on  $W_N$ . Hence, there exists an  $\hat{N} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n_k > \hat{N}$ ,  $u_{n_k, g^{-1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_{\Phi}(f)}$  on  $W_N$ . Now, by the change of variable formula, for  $n_k > \hat{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\Phi}(C_{u, g}^{n_k} f) &= N_{\Phi}(C_{u, g}^{n_k} f \chi_{\sigma(f)}) \\
&= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{\sigma(f)g^{n_k}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{k} |u(t)u(tg^{-1}) \dots u(tg^{-(n_k-1)})f(tg^{-n_k})| \right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{\sigma(f)} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{k} |u(tg^{n_k})u(tg^{(n_k-1)}) \dots u(tg)f(t)| \right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq N_{\Phi}(u_{n_k, g^{-1}} f \chi_{W_N}) + N_{\Phi}(u_{n_k, g^{-1}} f \chi_{\sigma(f) - W_N}) \\
&< \frac{\varepsilon}{N_{\Phi}(f)} N_{\Phi}(f) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{MN_{\Phi}(f)} MN_{\Phi}(f) \\
&= 3\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By repeating the similar method for the sequence  $\{u_{n_k, g}\}$ , one may obtain that  $N_{\Phi}(S_{n_k, g}(f)) < 3\varepsilon$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $g \in G$  be an aperiodic element and let  $C_{u, g}$  be a weighted translation on  $L^{\Phi}(G)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $C_{u, g}$  satisfies the Hypercyclic Criterion.
- (ii)  $C_{u, g}$  is hypercyclic.
- (iii)  $C_{u, g} \oplus C_{u, g}$  is hypercyclic.
- (iv)  $C_{u, g}$  is weakly mixing.

*Proof.* We only prove the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). In fact, the condition (ii) in Theorem 2.3 implies that  $C_{u, g} \oplus C_{u, g}$  is topologically transitive. For if, consider two pairs of non-empty open sets  $(U_1, V_1)$  and  $(U_2, V_2)$  in  $L^{\Phi}(G)$ . Choose the functions  $f_i, h_i \in C_c(G)$  with  $f_i \in U_i$  and  $h_i \in V_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ). Let  $K = \sigma(f_1) \cup \sigma(f_2) \cup \sigma(g_1) \cup \sigma(g_2)$  be a compact set in  $G$ . Let  $\{V_k\} \subseteq K$ ,  $\{u_{n_k, g}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{u_{n_k, g^{-1}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be satisfied the condition (ii) in Theorem 2.3. There exists an  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n > N_1$ ,  $K \cap Kg^{\pm n} = \emptyset$  since  $g$  is aperiodic. Moreover, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $k > N_2$  and  $n_k > N_1$ ,  $u_{n_k, g^{-1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_{\Phi}(f_i)}$  on  $V_k$ . Hence, for  $k > N_2$ , by the

change of variable formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_{\Phi}(C_{u,g}^{n_k} f_i \chi_{V_k}) \\
&= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{V_k g^{n_k}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{k} |u(t)u(tg^{-1}) \dots u(tg^{-(n_k-1)}) f_i(tg^{-n_k})| \right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{V_k} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{k} |u(tg^{n_k})u(tg^{-(n_k-1)}) \dots u(tg) f_i(t)| \right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= N_{\Phi}(u_{n_k, g^{-1}} f_i \chi_{V_k}) \\
&< \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Now define a map  $D_{u,g}$  on the subspace  $L_c^{\Phi}(G)$  of functions in  $L^{\Phi}(G)$  with compact support by  $D_{u,g}(f) := \frac{f}{u} * v_{g^{-1}}$ . Then for each  $f \in L_c^{\Phi}(G)$ ,  $C_{u,g} D_{u,g}(f) = f$ . Again, there exists  $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $k > N_3$  and  $n_k > N_1$  such that  $u_{n_k, g} < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_{\Phi}(h_i)}$  on  $V_k$ . For  $k > N_3$  note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_{\Phi}(D_{u,g}^{n_k} h_i \chi_{V_k}) \\
&= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{V_k g^{-n_k}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{k |u(tg) \dots u(tg^{n_k})|} |h_i(tg^{n_k})| \right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{V_k} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{k |u(tg^{-(n_k-1)})u(tg^{-(n_k-2)}) \dots u(t)|} |h_i(t)| \right) d\mu(t) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= N_{\Phi}(u_{n_k, g} h_i \chi_{V_k}) \\
&< \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\rho_{i,k} = f_i \chi_{V_k} + D_{u,g}^{n_k} h_i \chi_{V_k}.$$

Clearly  $\rho_{i,k} \in L^{\Phi}(G)$ ,

$$N_{\Phi}(\rho_{i,k} - f) \leq N_{\Phi}(f_i) \mu(K - V_k) + N_{\Phi}(D_{u,g}^{n_k} h_i \chi_{V_k})$$

and

$$N_{\Phi}(C_{u,g}^{n_k} \rho_{i,k} - h_i) \leq N_{\Phi}(h_i) \mu(K - V_k) + N_{\Phi}(C_{u,g}^{n_k} f_i \chi_{V_k}).$$

Hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{i,k} = f_i$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_{u,g}^{n_k} \rho_{i,k} = h_i$  and  $C_{u,g}^{n_k}(U_i) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $g \in G$  be an aperiodic element and let  $C_{u,g}$  be a weighted translation on  $L^{\Phi}(G)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $C_{u,g}$  is topologically mixing.
- (ii) For each compact subset  $K \subseteq G$  with  $\mu(K) > 0$ , there is a sequence of Borel sets  $\{V_n\} \subseteq K$  such that  $\mu(V_n) \rightarrow \mu(K)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and both sequences

$$u_{n,g} = \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u * v_g^i \right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n,g^{-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^n u * v_{g^{-1}}^i$$



satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n,g}|_{V_n}\|_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n,g^{-1}}|_{V_n}\|_\infty = 0.$$

*Proof.* Using the full sequences  $\{u_{n,g}\}$  and  $\{u_{n,g^{-1}}\}$  instead of subsequences, the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) holds by Theorem 2.3. Indeed, we have used the fact that an operator on a separable F-space satisfying the hypercyclicity criterion with respect to the full sequence  $(n)$ , is in turn topologically mixing [2]. For the reverse implication, let  $K \subseteq G$  be compact with  $\mu(K) > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\chi_K \in L^\Phi(G)$  be the characteristic function. Take  $U = \{f \in L^\Phi(G) : N_\Phi(f - \chi_K) < \varepsilon\}$  which is a non-empty open subset. Since  $C_{u,g}$  is assumed to be topologically mixing and  $g$  is an aperiodic element, one may find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $C_{u,g}^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $K \cap Kg^n = \emptyset$  (c.f. Lemma 2.1 in [3]) hold simultaneously. Hence for each  $n > N$ , we can choose a function  $f_n \in U$  meanwhile  $C_{u,g}^n f_n \in U$ . Then  $N_\Phi(f - \chi_K) < \varepsilon$  and  $N_\Phi(C_{u,g}^n f_n - \chi_K) < \varepsilon$ . Now, the rest of proof can be proceed by the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $g \in G$  be an aperiodic element and let  $C_{u,g}^* : L^\Psi(G) \rightarrow L^\Psi(G)$  be the adjoint of a weighted translation  $C_{u,g}$  on  $L^\Phi(G)$  provided that  $\Psi$  is assumed to be  $\Delta_2$ -regular. Then  $C_{u,g}^*$  is hypercyclic if and only if for each compact subset  $K \subseteq G$  with  $\mu(K) > 0$ , there is a sequence of Borel sets  $\{V_k\} \subseteq K$  such that  $\mu(V_k) \rightarrow \mu(K)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and both sequences*

$$d_{n,g^{-1}} := \left( \prod_{i=1}^n u * v_{g^{-1}}^i \right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{n,g} := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u * v_g^i$$

*possess respectively subsequences  $\{d_{n_k,g^{-1}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{d_{n_k,g}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|d_{n_k,g^{-1}}|_{V_k}\|_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|d_{n_k,g}|_{V_k}\|_\infty = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^\Phi(G) \times L^\Psi(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the duality defined by  $\langle h, f \rangle = \int_G h f d\mu$ , for any  $h \in L^\Phi(G)$  and  $f \in L^\Psi(G)$  ([7, Corollary 4.1.9]). Now, consider the following computations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, C_{u,g}^* f \rangle &= \langle C_{u,g} h, f \rangle = \langle u h * v_g, f \rangle \\ &= \int_G u(t) h(tg^{-1}) f(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_G u(tg) h(t) f(tg) d\mu(tg) \\ &= \int_G h(t) u * v_{g^{-1}}(t) f * v_{g^{-1}}(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \langle h, u * v_{g^{-1}} \cdot f * v_{g^{-1}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the adjoint of  $C_{u,g}$  is obtained by

$$C_{u,g}^* f = u * v_{g^{-1}} \cdot f * v_{g^{-1}},$$

which is again a weighted translation. Moreover, one may easily check that

$$C_{u,g}^{*n}f = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n u * v_{g^{-1}}^i \right] f * v_{g^{-1}}^n.$$

Hence, by scrutinizing the proof of Theorem 2.3, it is inferred that  $C_{u,g}^{*}$  is hypercyclic if the sequences  $(\prod_{i=1}^n u * v_{g^{-1}}^i)^{-1}$  and  $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u * v_g^i$  satisfy condition (ii) of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

REMARK 2.7. In fact,  $C_{u,g}^{*}$  is hypercyclic if the weight function  $u * v_{g^{-1}}$  satisfies that condition for  $g^{-1}$  while  $C_{u,g}$  is hypercyclic whenever the weight function  $u$  satisfies so for  $g$ . However, the hypercyclicity of  $C_{u,g}^{*}$  and  $C_{u,g}$  can be coincided in some senses. As a specific example, one may consider the bilateral weighted shift on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the group of all integer numbers which is due to H. N. Salas [8].

EXAMPLE 2.8. Consider the following Young's functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(t) &= (e + |t|) \ln(e + |t|) - e, \\ \Phi_2(t) &= |t|^\alpha (1 + |\log |t||) \quad \alpha > 1, \\ \Phi_3(t) &= |t|^\alpha \ln^\beta(|t| + e) \quad \alpha > 1, \beta \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e$  is Napier's constant. It is not so hard to check that all three mentioned functions are  $\Delta_2$ -regular. Especially  $\Psi_2$  and  $\Psi_3$ , the complementary of  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Phi_3$  respectively, are also  $\Delta_2$ -regular. Define the weight function  $u$  on  $G = \mathbb{R}$  by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 1 \leq t, \\ -\frac{t}{2} + 1, & -1 \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{3}{2}, & t \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $K = [a, b]$ . Take  $V_k = [a, b - \frac{1}{k}]$ . For  $g > 0$ , choose  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a + n_{0g} > 1$ . Then for each  $k \geq k_0$  and  $t \in V_k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< u_{k,g^{-1}}(t) = u(t+g)u(t+2g) \cdots u(t+kg) \\ &\leq u(a+g)u(a+2g) \cdots u(a+kg) \\ &\leq M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is a constant independent of  $k$ . Moreover, note that for each  $t \in V_k$  and  $q \geq k_0g$ , we have  $u(t+q) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence for  $k \geq k_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k,g^{-1}}(t) &= u(a+g)u(a+2g) \cdots u(a+k_0g)u(a+(k_0+1)g) \cdots u(a+kg) \\ &\leq M \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-k_0} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument can be applied to the sequence  $\{u_{k,g}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  convincing that the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.3 is established and hence  $C_{u,g}$  is hypercyclic.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee in advance for his/her careful reading of the entire paper and helpful comments which improved its presentation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. I. ANSARI, *Hypercyclic and cyclic vectors*, J. Funct. Anal. **128** (1995), no. 2, 374–383.
- [2] F. BAYART, É. MATHERON, *Dynamics of linear operators*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [3] C. CHEN, C.-H. CHU, *Hypercyclic weighted translations on groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 8, 2839–2846.
- [4] C. CHEN, C.-H. CHU, *Hypercyclicity of weighted convolution operators on homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 8, 2709–2718.
- [5] K.-G. GROSSE-ERDMANN, A. P. MANGUILLOT, *Linear chaos*, Universitext, Springer, London, 2011.
- [6] C. KITAI, *Invariant closed sets for linear operators*, Thesis (Ph. D.), University of Toronto (Canada), 1982.
- [7] M. M. RAO, Z. D. REN, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **146**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [8] H. N. SALAS, *Hypercyclic weighted shifts*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347** (3) (1995) 993–1004.

(Received February 18, 2017)

*M. R. Azimi*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Sciences, University of Maragheh*  
*P.O. Box 55136-553, Maragheh, Iran*  
*e-mail: mhr.azimi@maragheh.ac.ir*

*I. Akbarbaglu*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Farhangian University*  
*Tabriz, Iran*  
*e-mail: ibrahim.akbarbaglu@gmail.com*