

TOPOLOGICAL ORBIT DIMENSION OF MF C*-ALGEBRAS AND NFD ALGEBRAS

QIHUI LI, DON HADWIN, WEIHUA LI AND JUNHAO SHEN

(Communicated by N.-C. Wong)

Abstract. This paper is a continuation of our work on D. Voiculescu's topological free entropy dimension $\delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for a family $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of elements in a unital C*-algebra. We first give a relation between the topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ and the modified free orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$ by using MF-traces. We also introduce a new invariant $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ which is a modification of the topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ when $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ is defined. As an application of $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$, we prove that $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if the separable C*-algebra \mathcal{A} has property c*- Γ and has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations. We also introduce the property MF-c*- Γ . We then show that $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if the finitely generated C*-algebra \mathcal{A} has property MF-c*- Γ and has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations.

1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the work in [5], [8], [10] on D. Voiculescu's topological free entropy dimension $\delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for the family $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of elements in a unital C*-algebra.

Here we first give a relation between the topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ and the modified free orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$ by using MF-traces (Theorem 4). This result allows us to give a new proof of our main result in [10], which gave an estimation of the upper bound of topological free entropy dimension for MF-nuclear algebras. Then we introduce a new invariant $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ which is a modification of the topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ when $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ is defined. The idea for defining $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ arises from the concept \mathfrak{K}_3 in [6]. We then extend the domain of $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ to all MF algebras and prove that $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ is a C*-algebra invariant. We also modify the notion \mathfrak{K}_3 in [6] by using the modified free orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$ and denote it by $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}$. We give a relation between $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ and $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}$ for countably generated MF algebras by using the relation between the topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(2)}$ and the modified free orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$. Several properties of $\mathfrak{K}_{\text{top}}^{(3)}$ are given as follows:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 46L10, 46L54.

Keywords and phrases: Topological free entropy dimension, C*-algebra, topological orbit dimension.

The research of the first author is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11671133).

1. $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ if $C^*(\mathcal{N}_1) = C^*(\mathcal{N}_2)$.
2. If \mathcal{A} is finitely generated, then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.
3. If $\mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2$ is finitely generated and has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations (i.e. NFD), then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(C^*(\mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2)) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_1) + \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_2).$$

4. Suppose \mathcal{N} is an MF C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ are C^* -subalgebras where \mathcal{D} is NFD and has finitely many generators. If there is a unitary $u \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $u\mathcal{D}u^* \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \{u\})) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}).$$

As an application, we prove that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if the separable C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} has property c^* - Γ and has no finite-dimensional representations. We also introduce the property MF- c^* - Γ . We then conclude that, for a finitely generated C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , if \mathcal{A} has property MF- c^* - Γ and has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations, then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of topological free entropy dimension $\delta_{top}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) of elements in a unital C^* -algebra. In Section 3, we first give a relation between $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}$ and $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$, then we give a new proof of our main result in [10]. In Section 4, we introduce topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}$ for general MF C^* -algebras. Several properties of $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}$ are discussed there. Section 5 focuses on applications of $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}$ to central sequence algebras. We prove that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if the separable C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} has property c^* - Γ and has no finite-dimensional representations. We also introduce the property MF- c^* - Γ . We then conclude that, for a finitely generated C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , if \mathcal{A} has property MF- c^* - Γ and has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations, then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

In this section, we are going to recall Voiculescu’s definition of the topological free entropy dimension [12] and topological orbit dimension in a unital C^* -algebra [8].

2.1. A covering of a set in a metric space

Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and K is a subset of X . A family of balls in X is called a *covering of K* if the union of these balls covers K and the centers of these balls lie in K .

2.2. Covering numbers in complex matrix algebra $(\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}))^n$

Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ be the $k \times k$ full matrix algebra with entries in \mathbb{C} , and τ_k be the normalized trace on $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$, i.e., $\tau_k = \frac{1}{k}Tr$, where Tr is the usual trace on $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathcal{U}(k)$ denote the group of all unitary matrices in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ denote the direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathcal{M}_k^{s,a}(\mathbb{C})$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all self-adjoint matrices of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $(\mathcal{M}_k^{s,a}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ be the direct sum (or orthogonal sum) of n copies of $\mathcal{M}_k^{s,a}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be an operator norm on $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ defined by

$$\|(A_1, \dots, A_n)\| = \max\{\|A_1\|, \dots, \|A_n\|\}$$

for all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$. Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ denote the norm induced by τ_k on $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$, i.e.,

$$\|(A_1, \dots, A_n)\|_2 = \sqrt{\tau_k(A_1^*A_1) + \dots + \tau_k(A_n^*A_n)}$$

for all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$.

For every $\omega > 0$, we define the ω - $\|\cdot\|$ -ball $Ball(B_1, \dots, B_n; \omega, \|\cdot\|)$ centered at (B_1, \dots, B_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ consisting of all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ such that

$$\|(A_1, \dots, A_n) - (B_1, \dots, B_n)\| < \omega.$$

DEFINITION 1. Suppose that Σ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$. We define $v_\infty(\Sigma, \omega)$ to be the minimal number of ω - $\|\cdot\|$ -balls that constitute a covering of Σ in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$.

For every $\omega > 0$, we define the ω - $\|\cdot\|_2$ -ball $Ball(B_1, \dots, B_n; \omega, \|\cdot\|_2)$ centered at (B_1, \dots, B_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ consisting of all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ such that

$$\|(A_1, \dots, A_n) - (B_1, \dots, B_n)\|_2 < \omega.$$

DEFINITION 2. Suppose that Σ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$. We define $v_2(\Sigma, \omega)$ to be the minimal number of ω - $\|\cdot\|_2$ -balls that constitute a covering of Σ in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$.

2.3. Unitary orbits of balls in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$

For every $\omega > 0$, we define the ω -orbit- $\|\cdot\|$ -ball $\mathcal{U}(B_1, \dots, B_n; \omega)$ centered at (B_1, \dots, B_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ consisting of all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ such that there exists some unitary matrix W in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ satisfying

$$\|(A_1, \dots, A_n) - (WB_1W^*, \dots, WB_nW^*)\| < \omega.$$

DEFINITION 3. Suppose that Σ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$. We define $o_\infty(\Sigma, \omega)$ to be the minimal number of ω -orbit- $\|\cdot\|$ -balls that constitute a covering of Σ in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$.

For every $\omega > 0$, we define the ω -orbit- $\|\cdot\|_2$ -ball $\mathcal{U}(B_1, \dots, B_n; \omega, \|\cdot\|_2)$ centered at (B_1, \dots, B_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ consisting of all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$ such that there exists some unitary matrix W in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ satisfying

$$\|(A_1, \dots, A_n) - (WB_1W^*, \dots, WB_nW^*)\|_2 < \omega.$$

DEFINITION 4. Suppose that Σ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$. We define $o_2(\Sigma, \omega)$ to be the minimal number of ω -orbit- $\|\cdot\|_2$ -balls that constitute a covering of Σ in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^n$.

2.4. Noncommutative polynomials

In this article, we always assume that \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ be self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m \rangle$ be the set of all noncommutative polynomials in the indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ denote the complex-rational numbers, i.e., the numbers whose real and imaginary parts are rational. The set $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m \rangle$ of noncommutative polynomials with complex-rational coefficients is countable. For notational convenience, throughout this paper we write

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m \rangle = \{P_r : r \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and } \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle = \{Q_r : r \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X_1, X_2, \dots \rangle = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle.$$

REMARK 1. We always assume that $P_1 = 1$ and $Q_1 = 1$.

2.5. Voiculescu’s norm-microstates space

For all integers $r, k \geq 1$, real numbers $R, \varepsilon > 0$ and noncommutative polynomials P_1, \dots, P_r , we define

$$\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r)$$

to be the subset of $(\mathcal{M}_k^{s.a}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+m}$ consisting of all these

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_m) \in (\mathcal{M}_k^{s.a}(\mathbb{C}))^{n+m}$$

satisfying

$$\max \{ \|A_1\|, \dots, \|A_n\|, \|B_1\|, \dots, \|B_m\| \} \leq R$$

and

$$\left| \|P_j(A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_m)\| - \|P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)\| \right| \leq \varepsilon, \forall 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

REMARK 2. In the original definition of norm-microstates space in [12], the parameter R was not introduced. Note the following observation: Let

$$R > \max \{ \|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_n\|, \|y_1\|, \dots, \|y_m\| \}.$$

When R is large enough and ε is small enough,

$$\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r) = \Gamma_{\infty}^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r)$$

for all $k \geq 1$. This definition agrees with the one in [12] for large R, r and small ε .

Define the norm-microstates space of x_1, \dots, x_n in the presence of y_1, \dots, y_m , denoted by

$$\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r),$$

as the projection of $\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r)$ onto the space $(\mathcal{M}_k^{s.a}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ via the mapping

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_m) \rightarrow (A_1, \dots, A_n).$$

2.6. Voiculescu’s topological free entropy dimension

Define

$$v_\infty \left(\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r), \omega \right)$$

to be the covering number of the set $\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r)$ by ω - $\|\cdot\|$ -balls in the metric space $(\mathcal{M}_k^{s.a}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ equipped with operator norm.

DEFINITION 5. Define

$$\delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega) = \sup_{R>0} \inf_{\varepsilon>0, r \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(v_\infty \left(\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_r), \omega \right) \right)}{-k^2 \log \omega}.$$

The topological free entropy dimension of x_1, \dots, x_n is defined by

$$\delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega).$$

Similarly, define

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; \omega) \\ &= \sup_{R>0} \inf_{\varepsilon>0, r \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(v_\infty \left(\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r), \omega \right) \right)}{-k^2 \log \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

The topological free entropy dimension of x_1, \dots, x_n in the presence of y_1, \dots, y_m is defined by

$$\delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m) = \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; \omega).$$

REMARK 3. Let $R > \max \{ \|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_n\|, \|y_1\|, \dots, \|y_m\| \}$. By Remark 2, we know the supremum over $R > 0$ is unnecessary, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\text{top}}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ &= \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \inf_{\varepsilon>0, r \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(v_\infty \left(\Gamma_R^{(\text{top})}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r), \omega \right) \right)}{-k^2 \log \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

2.7. Topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}$ and modified free entropy dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$

In this subsection, first we are going to recall the C*-algebra invariant ‘‘topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}$ ’’ and its basic properties.

DEFINITION 6. ([5]) Define

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega) = \sup_{R>0} \inf_{\varepsilon>0, r \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(o_2 \left(\Gamma_R^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_r), \omega \right) \right)}{k^2}.$$

The topological orbit dimension of x_1, \dots, x_n is defined by

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sup_{\omega>0} \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega).$$

Similarly, define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; \omega) \\ = & \sup_{R>0} \inf_{\varepsilon>0, r \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(o_2 \left(\Gamma_R^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_r), \omega \right) \right)}{k^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m) &= \sup_{\omega>0} \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; \omega) \\ &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_m; \omega). \end{aligned}$$

After slightly modifying Lemma 3.1.3 in [5], we can quickly get the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n, v_1, \dots, v_p$ be self-adjoint elements in a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . If d_1, \dots, d_r are in the C*-subalgebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathcal{A} , then for every $\omega > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : v_1, \dots, v_p; 4\omega) \\ \leq & \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : d_1, \dots, d_r, v_1, \dots, v_p; 2\omega) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : v_1, \dots, v_p; \omega). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. ([5]) *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital C*-algebra and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$ are two families of self-adjoint generators of \mathcal{A} . Then*

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(y_1, \dots, y_p).$$

The topological orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}$ is in fact a C*-algebra invariant. In view of this result, we use $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(\mathcal{A})$ to denote $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for an arbitrary generating set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for \mathcal{A} . By slightly modifying the proof of Theorem 1, we can get the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and*

$$x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p, w_1, \dots, w_t$$

are self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} . If $C^(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C^*(y_1, \dots, y_p)$, then*

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : w_1, \dots, w_t) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(y_1, \dots, y_p : w_1, \dots, w_t).$$

REMARK 4. From the definition, it is clear that:

1. $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_p) \geq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_p, y_{p+1})$;
2. If $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : x_1, \dots, x_{n+j}) = 0$ ($j \geq 0$), then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} : x_1, \dots, x_{n+j}) = 0.$$

Now let's recall the modified free orbit-dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with a tracial state τ , and let x_1, \dots, x_n be self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{M} . For any positive numbers R and ε , and any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Gamma_R(x_1, \dots, x_n; m, k, \varepsilon; \tau)$ be the subset of $(\mathcal{M}_k^{s.a.}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ consisting of all (A_1, \dots, A_n) in $(\mathcal{M}_k^{s.a.}(\mathbb{C}))^n$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|A_j\| \leq R \text{ and } |\tau_k(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_q}) - \tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_q})| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_q \leq n$ and $1 \leq q \leq m$. Now we define, successively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega; \tau) &= \sup_{R > 0} \inf_{\varepsilon > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(x_1, \dots, x_n; m, k, \varepsilon; \tau), \omega))}{k^2} \\ \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau) &= \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \omega; \tau) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau)$ is called the *modified free orbit-dimension* of x_1, \dots, x_n with respect to the tracial state τ [5].

REMARK 5. ([5]) Suppose x_1, \dots, x_n is a family of self-adjoint elements in a von Neumann algebra with a tracial state τ . Let $\mathfrak{K}_2(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau)$ be the upper orbit dimension of x_1, \dots, x_n defined in Definition 1 of [7]. Then $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau) = 0$ if $\mathfrak{K}_2(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau) = 0$.

2.8. MF-Traces and MF-nuclear algebras

We note that the definition of $\delta_{top}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ makes sense if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $r, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $k \geq k_0$ such that

$$\Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_r) \neq \emptyset.$$

In [8], it has shown that this is equivalent to $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ being an MF C^* -algebra in the sense of Blackadar and Kirchberg [1]. A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is an MF-algebra if \mathcal{A} can be embedded into $\prod_{1 \leq k < \infty} \mathcal{M}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty} \mathcal{M}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C})$ for some increasing sequence $\{m_k\}$ of positive integers. In particular $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an MF-algebra if there is a sequence $\{m_k\}$ of positive integers and sequences $\{A_{1k}\}, \dots, \{A_{nk}\}$ with $A_{1k}, \dots, A_{nk} \in \mathcal{M}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Q(A_{1k}, \dots, A_{nk})\| = \|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$$

for every $*$ -polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that a C^* -algebra is MF.

DEFINITION 7. ([10]) Suppose $\mathcal{A} = C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an MF C^* -algebra. A tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} is an MF-trace if there is a sequence $\{m_k\}$ of positive integers and sequences $\{A_{1k}\}, \dots, \{A_{nk}\}$ with $A_{1k}, \dots, A_{nk} \in \mathcal{M}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that, for every $*$ -polynomial Q :

1. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Q(A_{1k}, \dots, A_{nk})\| = \|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$, and
2. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{m_k}(Q(A_{1k}, \dots, A_{nk})) = \tau(Q(x_1, \dots, x_n))$.

We let $\mathcal{TS}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all tracial states on \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{TF}_M(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all MF-traces on \mathcal{A} .

DEFINITION 8. ([10]) A C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is MF-nuclear if $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ is hyperfinite for every $\tau \in \mathcal{TF}_M(\mathcal{A})$ where π_τ is the GNS representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to τ .

DEFINITION 9. ([10]) A tracial state τ on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is called finite-dimensional state if there is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} with a tracial state ρ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\tau = \rho \circ \pi$.

PROPOSITION 1. ([10]) Suppose $\mathcal{A} = C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an MF-algebra. Then:

1. $\mathcal{TF}_M(\mathcal{A})$ is a nonempty weak*-compact convex set.
2. Every finite-dimensional state on \mathcal{A} is in $\mathcal{TF}_M(\mathcal{A})$.
3. If π is a unital $*$ -homomorphism on \mathcal{A} and $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is an MF-algebra, then

$$\{\varphi \circ \pi : \varphi \in \mathcal{TF}_M(\pi(\mathcal{A}))\} \subseteq \mathcal{TF}_M(\mathcal{A}).$$

3. Relation between $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}$ and $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$

DEFINITION 10. ([5]) Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra and $\mathcal{TS}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all tracial states of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n is a family of self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} . Define

$$\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{TS}(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau).$$

THEOREM 3. ([5]) Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital C*-algebra and x_1, \dots, x_n is a family of self-adjoint generating elements in \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

We can generalize the preceding theorem as follows.

THEOREM 4. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a family of self-adjoint generating elements in \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{TMF}(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau)$$

where $\mathcal{TMF}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all MF-tracial states on \mathcal{A} .

The proof of the above theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3.1 in [5]. We also need to note that τ in the original proof of Theorem 4.3.1 in [5] is an MF-tracial state by the equality

$$|\tau(Q(a_1, \dots, a_n))| = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_{\eta(q_s)}(Q(a_1, \dots, a_n))|$$

for every noncommutative polynomial Q and $\tau_{\eta(q_s)} = \frac{Tr_{kq_s} \circ \psi_{\eta(q_s)}}{kq_s}$. Hence we omit the proof of Theorem 4 here.

Now we are ready to simplify the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. ([10]) Suppose \mathcal{A} is an MF-nuclear C*-algebra with a family of self-adjoint generators x_1, \dots, x_n . Then

$$\delta_{top}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 1.$$

Proof. It is known that the GNS representation of an MF-nuclear C*-algebra with respect to an MF-tracial state yields an injective von Neumann algebra. From [7] and Remark 5

$$\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \tau) = 0 \text{ for any } \tau \in \mathcal{TMF}(\mathcal{A}).$$

So, from Theorem 4, we know that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1.2 in [5],

$$\delta_{top}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 1.$$

4. Definition and properties of $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}$

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra. We agree $\infty \cdot 0 = 0$. For any subset $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{G}) \\ &= \inf \left\{ \infty \cdot \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_t) : \{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathcal{G} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) = \sup_{\substack{E \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ E \text{ is finite}}} \inf_{\substack{F \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ F \text{ is finite}}} \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(E : F).$$

REMARK 6. When \mathcal{G} is finite, it is not difficult to see that

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{G}) = \infty \cdot \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{G})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty \cdot \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(\mathcal{G}).$$

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the Theorem 3.3 in [6], so we omit it.

THEOREM 6. *If \mathcal{A} is an MF-algebra, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$;
2. if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exist $y_1, \dots, y_t \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_t) = 0$;
3. for any generating set \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{A} , $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) = 0$;
4. there exists a generating set \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) = 0$;
5. if \mathcal{G} is a generating set of \mathcal{A} , and A_0 is a finite subset of \mathcal{G} , then, for any finite subset A with $A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, there exists a finite subset B of \mathcal{G} so that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(A : B) = 0$;
6. there is an increasing directed family $\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ of C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} such that:
 - (a) each \mathcal{A}_i is countably generated;
 - (b) $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}_i) = 0$;
 - (c) $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_i$;
7. if A is a countable subset of \mathcal{M} , then there exists a countably generated subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{M} such that $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}) = 0$.

REMARK 7. If \mathcal{A} is finitely generated, then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ if $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C*-algebra, \mathcal{G} is a generating set of \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G})$.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose $\{\mathcal{A}_l\}_{l \in \Lambda}$ is an increasingly directed family of C*-algebras. Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\cup \mathcal{A}_l) \leq \liminf_l \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}_l).$$

Proof. If $\liminf_l \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}_l) = \infty$, the inequality holds clearly. Suppose that $\liminf_l \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}_l) = 0$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \cup \mathcal{A}_l$. Then we can find an $l \in \Lambda$ such that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}_l$ and $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}_l) = 0$. Therefore, we can find $y_1, \dots, y_p \in \mathcal{A}_l$ with

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_p) = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\cup \mathcal{A}_l) = 0$ by Theorem 6 (6).

We modify the \mathfrak{K}_3 in [6] by using the modified free orbit dimension $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}$.

DEFINITION 11. Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with a tracial state τ , and let x_1, \dots, x_n be self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{M} . Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}((x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{G}); \tau) \\ &= \inf \left\{ \infty \cdot \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}((x_1, \dots, x_n : y_1, \dots, y_t); \tau) : \{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \text{ is a finite subset of } \mathcal{G} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}; \tau) = \sup_{\substack{E \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ E \text{ is finite}}} \inf_{\substack{F \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ F \text{ is finite}}} \mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}((E : F); \tau).$$

REMARK 8. Note that if $\mathfrak{K}_3(\mathcal{G}; \tau) = 0$, then $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}; \tau) = 0$ by Remark 5.

Now we are ready to get the relationship between $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}; \tau)$.

THEOREM 7. Let \mathcal{A} be an MF-algebra and \mathcal{G} be a countably generating set of \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T.S.}(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}; \tau).$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) = 0$, the inequality holds clearly. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty$. It follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}$ that, there is a finite subset $E \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that for every finite subset $F \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(E : F) = \infty$. It implies that $0 < \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(E : F)$ for every

finite subset $F \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Hence for any finite subset F of \mathcal{G} we can find a sequence $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of finite subsets of \mathcal{G} with

$$F = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots$$

and $\cup_i F_i = \mathcal{G}$. Therefore

$$C^*(E \cup F_0) \subseteq C^*(E \cup F_1) \subseteq \dots$$

and

$$\overline{\cup_i C^*(E \cup F_i)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \mathcal{A}.$$

Since for each i , $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(E : F_i) > 0$, we can find a tracial state τ_i on $C^*(E \cup F_i)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(E : F_i; \tau_i) \geq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(E : F_i) - \varepsilon_i > 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for some small $\varepsilon_i > 0$ by Theorem 4. We may regard $C^*(E \cup F_0)$ as a subalgebra of $C^*(E \cup F_i)$ for $i \geq 1$, then

$$\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(E : F_0; \tau_i) \geq \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(E : F_i; \tau_i) > 0 \text{ for every } i. \tag{4.2}$$

Let

$$\pi : \overline{\cup_i C^*(E \cup F_i)}^{\|\cdot\|} \longrightarrow \prod_i C^*(E \cup F_i) / \sum_i C^*(E \cup F_i)$$

be the embedding defined by

$$\pi(A) = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{\text{the first } i \text{ positions}}, A, A, \dots) \text{ for every } A \in C^*(E \cup F_i)$$

and $\tilde{\tau}$ be the tracial state on

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C^*(E \cup F_i) / \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C^*(E \cup F_i)$$

define by $\tilde{\tau}([\{A_i\}]_\alpha) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \alpha} \tau_i(A_i)$ where α is a free ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} and

$$[\{A_i\}]_\alpha \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C^*(E \cup F_i) / \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C^*(E \cup F_i).$$

Define $\tau = \tilde{\tau} \circ \pi$, then τ is a tracial state on \mathcal{A} . Note for any finite subset G of \mathcal{G} , we can always find a suitable index i such that

$$G \subseteq F_i \subseteq F_{i+1} \subseteq \dots$$

Therefore $\tilde{\tau}$ is irrelevant to the selection of finite subset $F = F_0$, so is τ . Let $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_t = 0$ and $\{m_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of integers with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m_t = \infty$. Then, for large $R > 0$, we can find a subsequence $\{i_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$ of integers such that when ε is small enough and m is big enough,

$$\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_t}) \subseteq \Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon_t, m_t; \tau) \text{ for every } k.$$

It implies that, for any $\omega > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\varepsilon, m} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_t}), \omega))}{k^2} \\ & \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon_t, m_t; \tau), \omega))}{k^2} \text{ for every } i_t, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\varepsilon, m} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_t}), \omega))}{k^2} \\ & \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon_t, m_t; \tau), \omega))}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can find an index t_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\varepsilon, m} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_{t_0}}), \omega))}{k^2} \\ & \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\varepsilon, m} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_t}), \omega))}{k^2} \\ & \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon_t, m_t; \tau), \omega))}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So by (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}((E : F_0); \tau_{i_{t_0}}) &= \sup_{0 < \omega < 1} \sup_{R > 0} \inf_{\varepsilon, m} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_{t_0}}), \omega))}{k^2} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \omega < 1} \sup_{R > 0} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\varepsilon, m} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon, m; \tau_{i_t}), \omega))}{k^2} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \omega < 1} \sup_{R > 0} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon_t, m_t; \tau), \omega))}{k^2} \\ &= \sup_{0 < \omega < 1} \sup_{R > 0} \inf_{\varepsilon_t, m_t} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(o_2(\Gamma_R(E : F_0; k, \varepsilon_t, m_t; \tau), \omega))}{k^2} \\ &= \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}((E : F_0); \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $F_0 = F$ is an arbitrary subset of \mathcal{G} . Then

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}; \tau) = \sup_{\substack{E \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ E \text{ is finite}}} \inf_{\substack{F \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ F \text{ is finite}}} \mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}((E : F); \tau) = \sup_{\substack{E \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ E \text{ is finite}}} \inf_{\substack{F \subseteq \mathcal{G} \\ F \text{ is finite}}} \left\{ \infty \cdot \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}((E : F); \tau) \right\} = \infty.$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{G}; \tau).$$

In the rest of this section, we are going to give the analogs of Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.17 in [6]. The following lemma can be found in [6]:

LEMMA 2. ([6]) *Suppose A is a normal element in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with tracial state τ such that A has no eigenvalues. Then there is a positive element Y with the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ such that $W^*(A) = W^*(Y)$.*

REMARK 9. ([10]) It is well known that every self-adjoint element in a finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} has an eigenvalue if and only if \mathcal{M} has a finite-dimensional invariant subspace.

To prove our next lemma, we need to recall the concepts of a Haar unitary matrix in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and a Haar unitary element in an infinite-dimensional von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} .

DEFINITION 12. A unitary matrix A in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ is called a Haar unitary matrix if the eigenvalues of A are the k -th roots of unity. Equivalently, if $\tau_k(A^i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < k$ and $\tau_k(A^k) = 1$.

DEFINITION 13. Suppose \mathcal{M} is an infinite-dimensional von Neumann algebra with a tracial state τ . Then a unitary u in \mathcal{M} is called a Haar unitary if $\tau(u^m) = 0$ when $m \neq 0$. In addition, \mathcal{M} is called diffuse if \mathcal{M} contains a Haar unitary.

DEFINITION 14. A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is called NFD if it has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations.

Now we are ready to show the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital MF C^* -algebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. \mathcal{A} is an NFD algebra;
2. For any $\delta > 0$, there are ϵ_0, N_0 and k_0 such that for any $k > k_0, \epsilon < \epsilon_0, N > N_0$, and any

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \epsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N)$$

there is a noncommutative polynomial $\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that

$$\left\| U_k - \tilde{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \right\|_2 < \delta$$

for a Haar unitary $U_k \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. First, suppose (1) holds and there are δ_0 and sequences $\{\epsilon_i\}$ with $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$, $\{N_i\}$ with $N_i \rightarrow \infty$, $\{k_i\}$ with $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ as well as

$$(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)}) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k_i, \epsilon_i, Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_i}) \text{ for each } i$$

such that

$$\left\| U_{k_i} - Q(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)}) \right\|_2 > \delta_0$$

for any noncommutative polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and any Haar unitary $U_{k_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$. Note that any subsequence of $\left\{ \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\}$ has the same property, so we may assume that

$$\lim_i \left\| Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\| = \|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$$

and

$$\lim_i \tau_{k_i} \left(Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right) = \lim_i \tau \left(Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right)$$

for any noncommutative polynomial Q where τ on \mathcal{A} is the MF-tracial state defined by $\{ \tau_{k_i} \}$. Let α be a free ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} , (\mathcal{N}, ρ) be the tracial ultraproduct $\overset{\alpha}{\Pi}(\mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_{k_i})$ and $y_j = \left[\left\{ A_j^{(i)} \right\} \right]_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Note (\mathcal{N}, ρ) is a II_1 factor, then for any noncommutative polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$$\|Q(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \leq \lim_i \left\| Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\| = \|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|.$$

Hence $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ defined by $\pi(x_i) = y_i$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism and then $\rho \circ \pi = \tau$. Since \mathcal{A} has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations, we know that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ has no finite-dimensional invariant subspace. It implies that $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is diffused by Lemma 2, Remark 9 and Definition 13. Therefore we can find a Haar unitary $U = \left[\left\{ U_{k_i} \right\} \right]_{\alpha} \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ where we may assume U_{k_i} is a Haar unitary in $\mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$ without loss of generality. It implies that for any $\delta_0 > 0$ there is a noncommutative polynomial $\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfying

$$\left\| U - \tilde{Q}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \right\|_2 = \lim_{\alpha} \left\| U_{k_i} - \tilde{Q} \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\|_2 < \frac{\delta_0}{2}.$$

So we can find an integer i such that

$$\left\| U_{k_i} - \tilde{Q} \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\|_2 < \delta_0.$$

This contradicts the assumption, hence statement (1) implies statement (2).

On the other hand, suppose (2) holds and \mathcal{A} has a non-zero finite-dimensional representation. Then by Proposition 1 and Definition 7, there is a finite-dimensional MF-tracial state τ and sequence $\left\{ \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\}_i$ in which $A_k^{(i)} \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\lim_i \left\| Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\| = \|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$$

and

$$\lim_i \tau_{k_i} \left(Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right) = \lim_i \tau \left(Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right)$$

for any noncommutative polynomial Q . As in the argument above, we let (\mathcal{N}, ρ) be the tracial ultraproduct $\overset{\alpha}{\Pi}(\mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_{k_i})$ and $y_j = \left[\left\{ A_j^{(i)} \right\} \right]_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then (\mathcal{N}, ρ) is a II_1 factor and there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with $\pi(x_i) =$

y_i and $\rho \circ \pi = \tau$. Since τ is finite-dimensional and ρ is faithful, we have $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is finite-dimensional. Thus there is no Haar unitary $U = \{(U_{k_i})\}$ in \mathcal{N} which lies in $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$. It implies that

$$\|U_{k_i} - Q(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)})\|_2 > \delta_0$$

for any noncommutative polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and any Haar unitary $U_{k_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$. This contradicts the statement (2). Hence (2) implies (1).

LEMMA 4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital MF C^* -algebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . If \mathcal{A} is NFD, then for any $\delta > 0$, there are ε_0, N_0 and k_0 such that for any $k > k_0, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, N > N_0$, and any*

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N),$$

there is a self-adjoint noncommutative polynomial $\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfying

$$\left\| W_k^* \tilde{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_n) W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 < \delta$$

for a unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i = \frac{i-1}{k}$ as $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there are δ_0 and sequences $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0, \{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $N_i \rightarrow \infty, \{k_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $k_i \rightarrow \infty$ as well as

$$(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)}) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k_i, \varepsilon_i, Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_i})$$

such that

$$\left\| W_k^* Q(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)}) W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 > \delta_0$$

for any non-commutative polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and any unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then by Lemma 3, without loss of generality, we may assume that for $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{k_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ there is a sequence $\{Q_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of noncommutative polynomials such that

$$\|U_{k_i} - Q_i(A_1, \dots, A_n)\|_2 < \frac{1}{i} \tag{4.3}$$

for a Haar unitary $U_{k_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$ and any

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k_i, \varepsilon_i, Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_i}).$$

So by using the same argument in the proof of Lemma 3, we may assume that

$$\lim_i \left\| Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\| = \|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|$$

and

$$\lim_i \tau_{k_i} \left(Q \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right) = \tau(Q(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

for any noncommutative polynomial $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ where τ is the MF-tracial state on \mathcal{A} defined by $\{\tau_{k_i}\}$. Therefore we can get a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ defined by $\pi(x_l) = y_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$ where (\mathcal{N}, ρ) is the tracial ultraproduct $\overset{\alpha}{\prod} (\mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_{k_i})$ and $y_l = \left[\{A_l^{(i)}\} \right]_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $\rho \circ \pi = \tau$. Hence, by (4.3),

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \alpha} \left\| U_{k_i} - Q_j \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) \right\|_2 = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $u = \left[\{U_{k_i}\} \right]_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$. Then by (4.4),

$$\lim_j \left\| u - Q_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) \right\|_2 = 0.$$

It implies that the Haar unitary $u = \left[\{U_{k_i}\} \right]_{\alpha}$ is in $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Therefore we can find a positive element $y \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ such that $u = e^{2\pi iy}$. Assume $y = \left[\{A_{k_i}\} \right]_{\alpha}$ in which A_{k_i} is self-adjoint in $\mathcal{M}_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence there is a self-adjoint element $x \in \pi(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\|y - x\|_2 < \frac{\delta_0}{2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Without loss of generality, we may also assume $x = \widetilde{Q}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for some noncommutative polynomial $\widetilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Since $u = e^{2\pi iy}$, we may assume that $e^{2\pi i A_{k_i}} = U_{k_i}$. We can therefore get a unitary W_{k_i} such that

$$W_{k_i} A_{k_i} W_{k_i}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{k_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k_i}\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_j = \frac{j-1}{k_i}$ as $1 \leq j \leq k_i$. It follows that

$$\left\| W_{k_i}^* \widetilde{Q} \left(A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_n^{(i)} \right) W_{k_i} - A_{k_i} \right\|_2 < \delta_0$$

for some i and unitary $W_{k_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}$ by (4.5). This is a contradiction. Thus, for any $\delta > 0$, there are ε_0, N_0 and k_0 such that, for any $k > k_0, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, N > N_0$, and any

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N),$$

there is a noncommutative polynomial $\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfying

$$\left\| W_k^* \tilde{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_n) W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 < \delta$$

for a unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. So the proof will be completed by taking self-adjoint noncommutative polynomial $\frac{\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n) + (\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n))^*}{2}$.

LEMMA 5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital MF C^* -algebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . If \mathcal{A} is NFD, then for any $\delta > 0$, there is a self-adjoint element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and ε_1, N_1 as well as k_1 such that for any $k > k_1, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, N > N_1$ and any*

$$A \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N),$$

the following inequality holds

$$\left\| W_k^* A W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 < \delta$$

for a unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i = \frac{i-1}{k}$ as $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, for any δ , there are ε_0, N_0 and k_0 such that for any $k > k_0, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, N > N_0$, and any

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N),$$

there is a noncommutative polynomial $\tilde{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfying

$$\left\| W_k^* \tilde{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_n) W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 < \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{4.6}$$

and $\tilde{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ for a unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i = \frac{i-1}{k}$ as $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $x = \tilde{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then x is self-adjoint. For $\frac{\delta}{2}$, there are ε_1, N_1 and k_1 with $k_1 > k_0$ such that, for any $k > k_1, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, N > N_1$ and any $A \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N)$, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{Q}(A_1^{(k)}, \dots, A_n^{(k)}) - A \right\| < \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{4.7}$$

for some

$$(A_1^{(k)}, \dots, A_n^{(k)}) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n; k, \varepsilon', Q_1, \dots, Q_{N'})$$

when ε' is small enough and N' is large enough. By (4.6) and (4.7), the proof can be completed.

LEMMA 6. ([7]) Let V_1, V_2 be two Haar unitary matrices in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. For every $\delta > 0$, let

$$\Omega(V_1, V_2; \delta) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(k) \mid \|UV_1 - V_2U\|_2 \leq \delta\}.$$

Then for every $0 < \delta < r$ there exists a set $\left\{ \text{Ball}\left(U_\lambda; \frac{4\delta}{r}\right) \right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $\frac{4\delta}{r}$ -balls in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ that cover $\Omega(V_1, V_2; \delta)$ with the cardinality of Λ satisfying $|\Lambda| \leq \left(\frac{3r}{2\delta}\right)^{4rk^2}$.

LEMMA 7. Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i = \frac{i-1}{k}$ as $1 \leq i \leq k$ where $k \geq 4$. Assume D_1 and D_2 are diagonal matrices in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ such that diagonal entries are all from $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ without repetition. For every $\delta > 0$, let

$$\Omega(D_1, D_2; \delta) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(k) \mid \|UD_1 - D_2U\|_2 \leq \delta\}.$$

Then, for every $0 < \delta < r$, there exists a set $\left\{ \text{Ball}\left(U_\lambda; \frac{4\delta}{r}\right) \right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $\frac{4\delta}{r}$ -balls in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ that cover $\Omega(D_1, D_2; \delta)$ with the cardinality of Λ satisfying $|\Lambda| \leq \left(\frac{3r}{2\delta}\right)^{8\pi rk^2}$.

Proof. Let $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$. Then there exist $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{U}(k)$ such that $D_1 = W_1DW_1^*$ and $D_2 = W_2DW_2^*$. Let

$$\tilde{\Omega}(\delta) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(k) \mid \|UD - DU\|_2 \leq \delta\}.$$

Clearly

$$\Omega(D_1, D_2; \delta) = \left\{ W_2^* U W_1 \mid U \in \tilde{\Omega}(\delta) \right\},$$

hence $\tilde{\Omega}(\delta)$ and $\Omega(D_1, D_2; \delta)$ have the same covering numbers.

Let $\{e_{st}\}_{s,t=1}^k$ be the canonical system of matrix units of $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$. For every $U = \sum_{s,t=1}^k x_{st} e_{st}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}(\delta)$, with $x_{st} \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ue^{2\pi iD} - e^{2\pi iD}U\|_2^2 &= \sum_{s,t=1}^k \left| \left(e^{2\pi i\lambda_s} - e^{2\pi i\lambda_t} \right) x_{st} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{s,t=1}^k 4\pi |(\lambda_s - \lambda_t) x_{st}|^2 \\ &= 4\pi \|UD - DU\|_2^2 \leq (2\pi\delta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|Ue^{2\pi iD} - e^{2\pi iD}U\|_2^2 \leq 2\pi\delta$$

for $U \in \tilde{\Omega}(\delta)$. So the result can be obtained by Lemma 6.

The following lemma is analogous to Lemma 3.13 in [6].

LEMMA 8. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p, v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t$ be elements in an MF C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . If $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap C^*(y_1, \dots, y_p)$ is NFD, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : v_1, \dots, v_s) + \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(y_1, \dots, y_p : w_1, \dots, w_t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|x_i\| \leq 1$ and $\|y_j\| \leq 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$. If one of $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : v_1, \dots, v_s)$ and $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(y_1, \dots, y_p : w_1, \dots, w_t)$ is infinite, the proof is clear. So we can assume that

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n : v_1, \dots, v_s) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(y_1, \dots, y_p : w_1, \dots, w_t) = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

By Lemma 5, for $r > 0, \omega > 0$ we can find a self-adjoint element

$$d_{r,\omega} \in C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap C^*(y_1, \dots, y_p), \tag{4.9}$$

$\varepsilon_1 > 0, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k > k_1, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, N > N_1$ and any

$$D \in \Gamma^{(top)}(d_{r,\omega}; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N),$$

the following inequality holds

$$\left\| \left\| W_k^* D W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \right\| < \frac{r\omega}{48}$$

for a unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i = \frac{i-1}{k}$ as $1 \leq i \leq k$. By (4.8), (4.9) and Theorem 2,

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(y_1, \dots, y_p, d_{r,\omega} : w_1, \dots, w_t) = 0.$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_p, D) \\ & \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; P_1, \dots, P_m, k, \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n, D) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; P'_1, \dots, P'_{m_1}, k, \varepsilon)$$

and

$$(B_1, \dots, B_p, D) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(y_1, \dots, y_p, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; P''_1, \dots, P''_{m_2}, k, \varepsilon)$$

where

$$P'_1, \dots, P'_{m_1} \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}, V_1, \dots, V_s, W_1, \dots, W_t \rangle$$

and

$$P''_1, \dots, P''_{m_2} \in \mathbb{C}\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_{p+1}, V_1, \dots, V_s, W_1, \dots, W_t \rangle$$

respectively. Let $\{\mathcal{U}(A_1^\lambda, \dots, A_n^\lambda, D^\lambda); \frac{r\omega}{48}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k}$ be a set of $\frac{r\omega}{48}$ -orbit-balls that cover

$$\Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; k, \varepsilon, P'_1, \dots, P'_{m_1})$$

with the cardinality of Λ_k satisfying

$$|\Lambda_k| = o_2 \left(\Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; k, \varepsilon, P'_1, \dots, P'_{m_1}); \frac{r\omega}{48} \right).$$

Also let $\{\mathcal{U}(B_1^\sigma, \dots, B_p^\sigma, D^\sigma); \frac{r\omega}{48}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_k}$ be a set of $\frac{r\omega}{48}$ -orbit-balls that cover

$$\Gamma_R(y_1, \dots, y_p, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; k, \varepsilon, P''_1, \dots, P''_{m_2})$$

with the cardinality of Σ_k satisfying

$$|\Sigma_k| = o_2 \left(\Gamma_R(y_1, \dots, y_p, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; k, \varepsilon, P''_1, \dots, P''_{m_2}); \frac{r\omega}{48} \right).$$

When m and k are large enough and ε is small enough, we let D^σ, D^λ to be diagonal matrices in Lemma 7. For any

$$(A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_p, D) \in \Gamma_R(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p, d_{r,\omega} : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t; P_1, \dots, P_m, k, \varepsilon),$$

there exist some $\lambda \in \Lambda_k, \sigma \in \Sigma_k$ and $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{U}(k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (A_1, \dots, A_n, D) - W_1 (A_1^\lambda, \dots, A_n^\lambda, D^\lambda) W_1^* \right\|_2 &\leq \frac{r\omega}{24}, \\ \left\| (B_1, \dots, B_p, D) - W_2 (B_1^\sigma, \dots, B_p^\sigma, D^\sigma) W_2^* \right\|_2 &\leq \frac{r\omega}{24}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left\| W_1 D^\lambda W_1^* - W_2 D^\sigma W_2^* \right\|_2 = \left\| W_2^* W_1 D^\lambda - D^\sigma W_2^* W_1 \right\|_2 \leq \frac{r\omega}{12}.$$

From Lemma 7, there exists a set $\{Ball(U_{\lambda,\sigma,\gamma}, \frac{\omega}{3})\}_{\gamma \in \Delta_k}$ in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ which cover $\Omega(D^\lambda, D^\sigma; \frac{r\omega}{12})$ with cardinality $|\Delta_k| \leq (\frac{18}{\omega})^{8\pi r k^2}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_p, D) \right. \\ &\left. - (W_2 U_{\lambda,\sigma,\gamma} A_1^\lambda U_{\lambda,\sigma,\gamma}^* W_2^*; \dots, W_2 U_{\lambda,\sigma,\gamma} A_n^\lambda U_{\lambda,\sigma,\gamma}^* W_2^*, W_2 B_1^\sigma W_2^*, \dots, W_2 B_p^\sigma W_2^*, W_2 D^\sigma W_2^*) \right\|_2 \\ &< n\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_p) \right. \\ & \left. - \left(W_2 U_{\lambda, \sigma, \gamma} A_1^\lambda U_{\lambda, \sigma, \gamma}^* W_2^*, \dots, W_2 U_{\lambda, \sigma, \gamma} A_n^\lambda U_{\lambda, \sigma, \gamma}^* W_2^*, W_2 B_1^\sigma W_2^*, \dots, W_2 B_p^\sigma W_2^* \right) \right\|_2 \\ & < n\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p; d_{r, \omega}, v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_r; 2n\omega) \tag{4.10} \\ & \leq \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(|\Lambda_k| |\Sigma_k| |\Delta_k|)}{k^2} \leq 8\pi r (\log(18) - \log \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 1 and the fact that $d_{r, \omega} \in C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap C^*(y_1, \dots, y_p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p; v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_r; 4n\omega) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p; d_{r, \omega}, v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_r; 2n\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.10) and the fact that r is arbitrary, we can conclude that

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p; v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_r) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 8. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a separable C^* -algebra, \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 are C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} . If $\mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2$ is NFD and finitely generated, then*

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(C^*(\mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2)) \leq \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_1) + \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_2).$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(\mathcal{N}_1) = \infty$ or $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(\mathcal{N}_2) = \infty$, the inequality holds automatically. Now suppose that

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{N}_2) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

By assumption, we let $\mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2 = C^*(d_1, \dots, d_l)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2$. Then \mathcal{G} is a generating set of $C^*(\mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2)$. Suppose A is any finite subset of \mathcal{G} with $\{d_1, \dots, d_l\} \subseteq A$. So we may assume that

$$A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, y_1, \dots, y_m\}$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_2$. By (4.11), there exist

$$v_1, \dots, v_s \in \mathcal{N}_1, w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathcal{N}_2$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l; v_1, \dots, v_s) = \mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(y_1, \dots, y_l, d_1, \dots, d_l; w_1, \dots, w_r) = 0.$$

Then from Lemma 8, we know that

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(A : v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t) = 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 6 (5), $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(C^*(\mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2)) = 0$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 9. *Let \mathcal{N} be an MF C*-algebra, and \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A} be C*-subalgebras of \mathcal{N} with $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ where \mathcal{D} is finitely generated and NFD. If there is a unitary $u \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $u^* \mathcal{D} u \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, then*

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \{u\})) \leq \mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$, the proof is clear. Now suppose that $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{D} = C^*(d_1, \dots, d_l)$. By Lemma 5, for $r > 0, \omega > 0$ we can find a self-adjoint element $d_{r,\omega} \in \mathcal{D}, \varepsilon_1 > 0, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k > k_1, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, N > N_1$ and any

$$D \in \Gamma^{(top)}(d_{r,\omega}; k, \varepsilon, Q_1, \dots, Q_N),$$

the following inequality holds

$$\left\| \left\| W_k^* D W_k - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2 \right\| < \frac{r\omega}{192}$$

for a unitary W_k in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_i = \frac{i-1}{k}$ as $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be elements in \mathcal{A} . Then there exist y_1, \dots, y_p in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u : y_1, \dots, y_p) = 0.$$

Suppose

$$\left\{ \mathcal{U} \left(T_1^\lambda, \dots, T_{n+l}^\lambda, A^\lambda, B^\lambda; \frac{r\omega}{192} \right) \right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k}$$

is a set of $\frac{r\omega}{192}$ -orbit-balls in $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})^{n+l+2}$ that cover

$$\Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u : y_1, \dots, y_p : k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_m)$$

where $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n+l+2}, Y_1, \dots, Y_p \rangle$ with the cardinality of Λ_k satisfying

$$|\Lambda_k| = o_2 \left(\Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u : y_1, \dots, y_p; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_m), \frac{r\omega}{192} \right).$$

When m, k are sufficiently large and ε is sufficiently small, we can let A^λ be a diagonal matrix in Lemma 7 and then $B^\lambda = U^* A^\lambda U$ for some unitary matrix U . It implies that for such A^λ and B^λ ,

$$\left\| (T_1, \dots, T_{n+l}, A, B) - V \left(T_1^\lambda, \dots, T_{n+l}^\lambda, A^\lambda, B^\lambda \right) V^* \right\|_2 \leq \frac{r\omega}{64}.$$

For sufficiently large m' and sufficiently small $\varepsilon < \frac{r\omega}{64}$, when

$$(T_1, \dots, T_{n+l}, A, B, C, D) \in \Gamma^{(top)} \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u, \frac{u+u^*}{2}, \frac{u-u^*}{2i} : y_1, \dots, y_p; P'_1, \dots, P'_{m'}, k, \varepsilon \right)$$

where $P'_1, \dots, P'_{m'} \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_{n+l+2}, Y_1, \dots, Y_p \rangle$, we may assume that

$$\|C + iD - W\| < \frac{r\omega}{64} \quad (4.12)$$

for some unitary $W \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and

$$\|A(C + iD) - (C + iD)B\| \leq \varepsilon < \frac{r\omega}{64} \quad (4.13)$$

as well as

$$(T_1, \dots, T_{n+l}, A, B) \in \Gamma^{(top)}(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u : y_1, \dots, y_p; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_m).$$

It implies that there exist some $\lambda \in \Lambda_k$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}(k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (T_1, \dots, T_{n+l}, A, B) - \left(VT_1^\lambda V^*, \dots, VT_{n+l}^\lambda V^*, VA^\lambda V^*, VB^\lambda V^* \right) \right\|_2 \\ & \leq \frac{r\omega}{64}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

So, by (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| V^*(C + iD)VA^\lambda - B^\lambda V^*(C + iD)V \right\|_2 &= \left\| (C + iD)VA^\lambda V^* - VB^\lambda V^*(C + iD) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq 3 \cdot \frac{r\omega}{64}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by (4.12),

$$\left\| V^*WVA^\lambda - B^\lambda V^*WV \right\|_2 \leq \frac{r\omega}{8}.$$

By Lemma 7, $\{Ball(U^\sigma; \frac{\omega}{2})\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_k}$ is a set of $\frac{\omega}{2}$ -balls in $\mathcal{U}(k)$ that cover $\Omega(A^\lambda, B^\lambda, \frac{r\omega}{8})$ with the cardinality of Σ_k satisfying $|\Sigma_k| \leq (\frac{12}{\omega})^{8\pi r k^2}$. Hence $\|V^*WV - U^\sigma\|_2 \leq \frac{\omega}{2}$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$. Following (4.12),

$$\|V^*(C + iD)V - U^\sigma\|_2 < \omega.$$

It implies that

$$\left\| (T_1, \dots, T_{n+l}, C, D) - \left(VT_1^\lambda V^*, \dots, VT_{n+l}^\lambda V^*, V \frac{U^\sigma + U^{\sigma*}}{2} V^*, V \frac{U^\sigma - U^{\sigma*}}{2i} V^* \right) \right\|_2 \leq 3\omega.$$

Therefore,

$$o_2 \left(\Gamma^{(top)} \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, \frac{u+u^*}{2}, \frac{u-u^*}{2i} : d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u, y_1, \dots, y_p; k, \varepsilon, P_1, \dots, P_m \right), 3\omega \right)$$

$$\leq |\Lambda_k| |\Sigma_k|.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)} \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, \frac{u+u^*}{2}, \frac{u-u^*}{2i} : y_1 \dots, y_p, 6\omega \right) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)} \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, \frac{u+u^*}{2}, \frac{u-u^*}{2i} : d_{r,\omega}, u^* d_{r,\omega} u, y_1 \dots, y_p, 3\omega \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(|\Lambda_k| |\Sigma_k|)}{k^2} \\ &\leq \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log(|\Lambda_k|)}{k^2} + 8\pi r(\log 12 - \log \omega) \right) \\ &= 8\pi r(\log 12 - \log \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since r is an arbitrarily small positive number, we have

$$\mathfrak{K}_2^{(top)} \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l, \frac{u+u^*}{2}, \frac{u-u^*}{2i} : y_1 \dots, y_p, 6\omega \right) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, d_1, \dots, d_l \frac{u+u^*}{2}, \frac{u-u^*}{2i} : y_1 \dots, y_p \right) = 0.$$

Then, by Theorem 6 (5),

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (C^*(\mathcal{A} \cup \{u\})) = 0.$$

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\mathcal{A} = C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and \mathcal{B} be unital MF C^* -algebras. Suppose G is a countable group of actions $\{\alpha_g\}_{g \in G}$ on \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is either a full or reduced crossed product of \mathcal{A} by the actions of G . If \mathcal{A} is NFD and there is an onto $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, then*

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{B}) \leq \mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{A}) = \infty$, the assertion is clear. Now suppose $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{A}) = 0$. Note that $\pi (g^{-1}) \pi (\mathcal{A}) \pi (g) \subseteq \pi (\mathcal{A})$. Then, by Theorem 9,

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\pi (\mathcal{A}) \cup \{\pi (g)\}) = 0.$$

From Theorem 8, we know that

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\pi (\mathcal{A}) \cup \{\pi (g_1)\} \cup \{\pi (g_2)\}) = 0.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_n = C^*(\pi (\mathcal{A}) \cup \{\pi (g_1)\} \cup \dots \cup \{\pi (g_n)\}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{B}_n) = 0$ by successively using Theorem 8. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{B}) = \liminf_n \mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)} (\mathcal{B}_n) = 0$$

by Corollary 1, Corollary 2 and the fact that $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\cup \mathcal{B}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$.

5. Applications

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and ω be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Let $c_\omega(\mathcal{A})$ denote the closed two-sided ideal of the C^* -algebra $l^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ given by

$$c_\omega(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ (a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l^\infty(\mathcal{A}) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|a_n\| = 0 \right\}.$$

The C^* -ultrapower \mathcal{A}_ω is defined to be the quotient C^* -algebra $l^\infty(\mathcal{A})/c_\omega(\mathcal{A})$, and we use π_ω to denote the quotient mapping $l^\infty(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\omega$. Let $l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow l^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ denote the ‘‘diagonal’’ inclusion mapping $l(a) = (a, a, \dots) \in l^\infty(\mathcal{A})$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$; and put $l_\omega = \pi_\omega \circ l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\omega$. Both mappings l and l_ω are injective. Therefore, we can view \mathcal{A} as a subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_ω . The relative commutant defined by $\mathcal{A}_\omega \cap \mathcal{A}'$ is called the *central sequence algebra* of \mathcal{A} .

Suppose \mathcal{N} is a von Neumann algebra with a tracial state τ . Consider the associated norm, $\lim_\omega \|a\|_{2,\tau} = (\tau(a^*a))^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for any $a \in \mathcal{N}$. Let \mathcal{N}^ω denote the von Neumann algebra $l^\infty(\mathcal{N})/c_{\tau,\omega}(\mathcal{N})$ where $c_{\tau,\omega}(\mathcal{N})$ consists of the bounded sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots) with $\lim_\omega \|a_n\|_{2,\tau} = 0$.

If \mathcal{M} is a II_1 factor, then \mathcal{M} has *property Γ* if and only if $\mathcal{M}^\omega \cap \mathcal{M}'$ has a representing sequence (U_1, U_2, \dots) such that each U_n is a Haar unitary in \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{M} is a II_1 von Neumann algebra with a separable predual, then \mathcal{M} is defined in [11] to have *property Γ* if and only if each II_1 factor in the central decomposition of \mathcal{M} has property Γ . It follows from direct integral theory that if \mathcal{M} has property Γ , then $\mathcal{M}^\omega \cap \mathcal{M}'$ contains a representing sequence of Haar unitaries. The following theorem is due to Dixmier [3] and Connes [2].

THEOREM 10. *Let \mathcal{M} be a separable II_1 factor. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. \mathcal{M} has property Γ ;
2. $\mathcal{M}^\omega \cap \mathcal{M}' \neq \mathbb{C}I$;
3. $\mathcal{M}^\omega \cap \mathcal{M}'$ is a diffuse von Neumann algebra.

Let $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ be the weak closure of \mathcal{A} under the GNS representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to the state τ .

In [11], a separable unital C^* -algebra is said to have *property c^* - Γ* if, for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} such that $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ is a II_1 factor and $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has property Γ , which is equivalent to $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ having property Γ whenever $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ is a II_1 von Neumann algebra. If \mathcal{A} is NFD, then $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has no finite-dimensional representations. Therefore $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ is II_1 for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} . So if \mathcal{A} is NFD and has property c^* - Γ , then $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has property Γ for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} . Actually we can say more in this case.

LEMMA 9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a separable unital C^* -algebra. If \mathcal{A} is NFD, then \mathcal{A} has property c^* - Γ if and only if for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} , the central sequence algebra of $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations.*

Proof. If \mathcal{A} has property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$ and is NFD, then $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has property Γ for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} . So the central sequence algebra of each II_1 factor in the central decomposition of $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has no finite-dimensional representations by Theorem 10.

On the other hand, if the central sequence algebra of $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has no finite-dimensional representations for every tracial state, then the central sequence algebra of each II_1 factor in the central decomposition of $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has no finite-dimensional representations. So by Theorem 10, \mathcal{A} has property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$.

The following amazing result is due to Kirchberg and Rørdam [9].

LEMMA 10. ([9]) *Let \mathcal{A} be a separable unital C*-algebra, let τ be a faithful tracial state on \mathcal{A} , let \mathcal{N} be the weak closure of \mathcal{A} under the GNS representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to the state τ , and let ω be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . It follows that the natural *-homomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^\omega, \quad \mathcal{A}_\omega \cap \mathcal{A}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^\omega \cap \mathcal{N}'$$

are surjective.

We say that an MF algebra \mathcal{A} with no non-zero finite-dimensional representations has *property MF-c*- Γ* if, for every MF-trace τ on \mathcal{A} , the central sequence algebra $(\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})'')^\omega \cap \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})'$ has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations, i.e., $\pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ has property Γ .

THEOREM 11. ([6]) *If \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra with a central net of Haar unitaries, then $\mathfrak{K}_3(\mathcal{M}) = 0$.*

THEOREM 12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital separable MF C*-algebra. Suppose \mathcal{A} is NFD and has property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$. Then $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(top)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}_\tau = \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ be the weak closure of \mathcal{A} under the GNS representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to the tracial state τ . Since \mathcal{A} is NFD and has property $c^*\text{-}\Gamma$, there is a central sequence $\{u_n\}$ of Haar unitaries in \mathcal{N}_τ such that $[\{u_n\}] = u \in (\mathcal{N}_\tau)^\omega \cap (\mathcal{N}_\tau)'$. It follows that $\mathfrak{K}_3(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0$ by Theorem 11 for every tracial state τ . Hence $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0$ by Remark 8. Since

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{\tau \in TS(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0,$$

by Theorem 7, $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

COROLLARY 4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital MF C*-algebra. Suppose each tracial state on \mathcal{A} is faithful and $\mathcal{A}_\omega \cap \mathcal{A}'$ has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations. Then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}_\omega \cap \mathcal{A}'$ has no non-zero finite-dimensional representations, we know \mathcal{A} is NFD. Let \mathcal{N}_τ be the weak closure of \mathcal{A} under the GNS representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to the tracial state τ . Since τ is faithful, the natural $*$ -homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega \cap \mathcal{A}' \longrightarrow (\mathcal{N}_\tau)^\omega \cap (\mathcal{N}_\tau)'$$

is surjective by Lemma 10. It follows that $(\mathcal{N}_\tau)^\omega \cap (\mathcal{N}_\tau)'$ has no finite-dimensional representations, hence \mathcal{A} has property c^* - Γ by Lemma 9. Therefore $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ by Theorem 12.

COROLLARY 5. *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a unital, finitely generated MF C^* -algebra. If \mathcal{A} is NFD and has property MF- c^* - Γ , then $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}_\tau = \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A})''$ be the weak closure of \mathcal{A} under the GNS representation of \mathcal{A} with respect to the tracial state τ . Since \mathcal{A} has property MF- c^* - Γ , $(\mathcal{N}_\tau)^\omega \cap (\mathcal{N}_\tau)'$ has no finite-dimensional representation. Then there is a central sequence $\{u_n\}$ of Haar unitaries in \mathcal{N}_τ such that $[\{u_n\}] = u \in (\mathcal{N}_\tau)^\omega \cap (\mathcal{N}_\tau)'$. It follows that $\mathfrak{K}_3(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0$ by Theorem 11. Hence $\mathfrak{K}_3^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0$ by Remark 8. It implies that $\mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0$ for every MF-tracial state τ . Note that by Theorem 4

$$\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{MF}(\mathcal{A})} \mathfrak{K}_2^{(2)}(\mathcal{A}; \tau) = 0.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ by Remark 7.

REMARK 10. We don't know whether the property MF- c^* - Γ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ in which \mathcal{A} is finitely generated and NFD. But it is well known that $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is simple, hence $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is NFD. Note $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is an MF C^* -algebra and has a unique tracial state. So by the facts that the set of MF-tracial states is not empty and $L(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has no property Γ , we know $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has no property MF- c^* - Γ . So we may hope $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(3)}(C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)) = \infty$, i.e., $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)) \neq 0$. Actually, Voiculescu [12] proved that $\delta_{top}(S_1, S_2) = 2$, where S_1 and S_2 are free semicircle elements. Therefore $\mathfrak{K}_{top}^{(2)}(C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)) \neq 0$ by Theorem 3.1.2 in [5].

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for a very careful reading of our manuscript and for very helpful comments and corrections.

REFERENCES

[1] B. BLACKADAR AND E. KIRCHBERG, *Generalized inductive limits of finite dimensional C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. 307(1997), 343–380.
 [2] A. CONNES, *Classification of injective factors. Case $II_1, II_\infty, III_\lambda, \lambda \neq 1$* , Ann. Math. (2), 104(1976), 73–115.

- [3] J. DIXMIER, *Quelques propriétés des suites centrales dans les facteurs de type II_1* , Invent. Math., 7(1969), 215–225.
- [4] M. DOSTÁL, D. HADWIN, *An Alternative to Free Entropy for Free Group Factors*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 3(2003), 419–472.
- [5] D. HADWIN, Q. LI AND J. SHEN, *Topological free entropy dimensions in Nuclear C^* -algebras and in Full Free Products of Unital C^* -algebras*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 63(2011), 551–590.
- [6] D. HADWIN, W. LI, *A Modified Version of Free Orbit-Dimension of von Neumann Algebras*, Sci China Math, Vol. 54 (2011), no.11, 2329–2346.
- [7] D. HADWIN, J. SHEN, *Free orbit dimension of finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., 249 (2007), no.1, 75–91.
- [8] D. HADWIN, J. SHEN, *Topological free entropy dimension in unital C^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal., 256 (2009), no.7, 2027–2068.
- [9] E. KIRCHBERG, M. RØRDAM, *Central Sequence C^* -algebras and Tensorial Absorption of The Jiang-Su Algebra*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 695 (2014), 175–214.
- [10] Q. LI, D. HADWIN, W. LI, J. SHEN, *MF-traces and Lower Bound for the Topological Free Entropy Dimension in Unital C^* -algebras*, Journal of Operator Theory, 71(2014), 15–44.
- [11] W. QIAN, J. SHEN, *Similarity degree of a class of C^* -algebras*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 84(2016), no.1, 121–149.
- [12] D. VOICULESCU, *The topological version of free entropy*, Lett. Math. Phys., 62 (2002), no.1, 71–82.

(Received September 20, 2018)

Qihui Li

*Department of Mathematics
East China University of Science and Technology
Meilong Road 130, 200237 Shanghai, China
e-mail: qihui.li@126.com*

Don Hadwin

*Department of Mathematics
University of New Hampshire
Durham, NH 03824, USA
e-mail: don@unh.edu*

Weihua Li

*Science and Mathematics Department
Columbia College Chicago
Chicago, IL, USA
e-mail: wli@colum.edu*

Junhao Shen

*Department of Mathematics
University of New Hampshire
Durham, NH 03824, USA
e-mail: junhao.shen@unh.edu*