

## THE DENSITY OF STATES DEPENDS ON THE DOMAIN

NURULLA AZAMOV, EDWARD McDONALD,  
FEDOR SUKOCHEV AND DMITRIY ZANIN

(Communicated by F. Gesztesy)

*Abstract.* In this short note we demonstrate that the definition of the density of states of a Schrödinger operator with bounded potential in general depends on the choice of the domain undergoing the thermodynamic limit.

### 1. Introduction

The density of states of a Schrödinger operator is defined as the averaged number of states per unit of volume and is a quantity of great importance in condensed matter physics. The Laplace transform of the density of states is the partition function which often serves as the initial point of theoretical investigation of a statistical mechanical system, see e.g. [9]. Its definition involves restriction of the system to a sequence of finite volume domains  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  such that  $\Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and taking the thermodynamic limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This process involves ambiguities in the choice of boundary conditions and of the shape of the domains  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . It is well-known that the sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  must at least satisfy some Følner condition for the limit to be meaningful.

It has been known for some time that in general the density of states is independent of the boundary conditions [5], [11, Theorem C.7.4]. Most of the literature is devoted to potentials which are periodic or almost periodic, or random potentials satisfying some ergodicity condition. In these cases it is usually the case that the choice of Følner sequence  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is irrelevant [1, 3, 4]. The result of this note is that in general the choice of approximating Følner sequence does matter.

We prove that there exist potentials  $V \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that the density of states defined with the sequence of balls  $\{B(0, n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  differs from that defined by the sequence of boxes  $\{[-n, n]^d\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . The potentials which we use for this purpose are radially homogeneous. That is, the ones which obey the condition  $V(tx) = V(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $t > 0$ . Besides our paper [2], a handful of articles concerning Schrödinger operators with radially homogeneous potentials exist [6, 7, 8]. The idea of the proof is that the thermodynamic limit  $\Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  for radially homogeneous potentials corresponds to the semi-classical limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 47N50, 35J10.

*Keywords and phrases:* The density of states, Schrödinger operator, radially homogeneous potential.

2. Proofs

For a bounded open subset  $0 \in \Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote by  $\Delta_\Omega$  the Laplace operator in  $\Omega$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and by  $|\Omega|$  we denote the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ . Let  $L_2(\Omega)$  denote the Hilbert space of almost-everywhere equivalence classes of square integrable functions on  $\Omega$  with the Lebesgue measure. Denote by  $\text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}$  the operator trace on the ideal  $\mathcal{L}_1(L_2(\Omega))$  of trace class operators on  $L_2(\Omega)$ . Let  $V$  be a bounded measurable real-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We denote by  $M_V$  the operator on  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of pointwise multiplication by  $V$ . It is known that if for all  $t > 0$  there exists the limit

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|R\Omega|} \text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) \tag{2.1}$$

then there exists a unique measure  $\nu_{V,\Omega}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|R\Omega|} \text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-t\lambda) d\nu_{V,\Omega}(\lambda), \quad t > 0.$$

See [11, Proposition C.7.2]. The measure  $\nu_{V,\Omega}$  is called the *density of states*.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $V \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a radially homogeneous potential, that is, for all  $t > 0$  and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have  $V(tx) = V(x)$ . Then for every bounded open set  $\Omega$  containing zero and with piecewise smooth boundary, the density of states  $\nu_{V,\Omega}$  exists and is given by the formula*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-t\lambda) d\nu_{V,\Omega}(\lambda) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \exp(-tV(x)) dx, \quad t > 0.$$

The above formula for the Laplace transform of  $\nu_{V,\Omega}$  yields the following for the integrated density of states function  $\lambda \mapsto \nu_{V,\Omega}(-\infty, \lambda]$ ,

$$\nu_{V,\Omega}(-\infty, \lambda] = \frac{1}{|\partial\Omega|} \int_{\partial\Omega} \nu_{V(\sigma),\Omega}(-\infty, \lambda] d\sigma.$$

Here,  $|\partial\Omega|$  is the  $(d - 1)$ -Hausdorff measure of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $d\sigma$  is the corresponding measure, and  $V(\sigma)$  is the value of  $V$  at the point  $\sigma \in \partial\Omega$ . This is a generalisation of the formula in [2, Theorem 2.1] to arbitrary domains, but Theorem 2.1 is stronger in that it also proves existence of the density of states.

We give a proof of Theorem 2.1 based on the following well-known semiclassical Weyl law, see e.g. [11, Theorem C.6.2], [12, Theorem 10.1]. For the special case of smooth  $V$ , see [13, Chapter 6].

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded open set with piecewise smooth boundary, and let  $V \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ . Then*

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hbar^d \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\hbar^2 \Delta_\Omega + M_V))) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \exp(-tV(x)) dx.$$

The following lemma is a key observation.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $R > 0$ , and let  $0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open set with piecewise smooth boundary. If  $V \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is homogeneous, then*

$$\text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) = \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-R^{-2}\Delta_\Omega + M_V))).$$

*Proof.* Let  $U_R$  denote the unitary mapping  $U_R : L_2(R\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)$  given by

$$(U_R u)(x) = R^{\frac{d}{2}} u(Rx), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

For any operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(L_2(R\Omega))$ , we have

$$\text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(T) = \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(U_R T U_R^*). \tag{2.2}$$

Since  $U_R M_V U_R^* u(x) = V(Rx)u(x)$  and since  $V$  is radially homogeneous we have  $M_V = U_R M_V U_R^*$  (on the left hand side,  $M_V$  is understood as acting on  $L_2(\Omega)$  and on the right it acts on  $L_2(R\Omega)$ ). Combining this with  $U_R \Delta_{R\Omega} U_R^* = R^{-2}\Delta_\Omega$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) &\stackrel{(2.2)}{=} \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(U_R \exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V)) U_R^*) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-R^{-2}\Delta_\Omega + M_V))). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{1}{|R\Omega|} \text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} R^{-d} \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-R^{-2}\Delta_\Omega + M_V))).$$

Let  $\bar{h} = R^{-1}$ , so that

$$\frac{1}{|R\Omega|} \text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \bar{h}^d \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\bar{h}^2\Delta_\Omega + M_V))).$$

According to Theorem 2.2, the limit as  $\bar{h} \rightarrow 0$  (equivalently, as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ) exists, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|R\Omega|} \text{Tr}_{L_2(R\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\Delta_{R\Omega} + M_V))) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \lim_{\bar{h} \rightarrow 0} \bar{h}^d \text{Tr}_{L_2(\Omega)}(\exp(-t(-\bar{h}^2\Delta_\Omega + M_V))) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_\Omega \exp(-tV(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in this case the limit in (2.1) exists, and we deduce the existence of a density of states measure  $\nu_{V,\Omega}$ . The above computation yields the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-t\lambda) d\nu_{V,\Omega}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_\Omega \exp(-tV(x)) dx, \quad t > 0. \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *There exist radially homogeneous potentials  $V \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for which  $\nu_{V,[-1,1]^d} \neq \nu_{V,B(0,1)}$ , where  $B(0, 1)$  is the open ball of radius 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.1 that for any bounded open set  $\Omega$  containing zero and with piecewise smooth boundary we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda) d\nu_{V,\Omega}(\lambda) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{t}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} V(x) dx + O(t^2) \right), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, to prove the claim it suffices to give an example of a radially homogeneous potential  $V \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{|[-1, 1]^d|} \int_{[-1,1]^d} V(x) dx \neq \frac{1}{|B(0, 1)|} \int_{B(0,1)} V(x) dx.$$

Such an example is given by the function

$$V(x) = \frac{|x_1 x_2|}{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Indeed, for  $d = 2$  we may compute

$$\frac{1}{|[-1, 1]^2|} \int_{[-1,1]^2} V(x) dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log(2)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|B(0, 1)|} \int_{B(0,1)} V(x) dx = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^1 r \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) dr d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

For  $d > 2$  the computation is identical.  $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AIZENMAN AND S. WARZEL, *Random Operators: disorder effects on Quantum Spectra and Dynamics*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 168. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, xiv+326 pp.
- [2] N. AZAMOV, E. MCDONALD, F. SUKOCHEV, D. ZANIN, *A Dixmier trace formula for the density of states*, Commun. Math. Phys. 377, 2597–2628 (2020).
- [3] F. A. BEREZIN, M. A. SHUBIN, *The Schrödinger equation*, Dordrecht, Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [4] R. CARMONA AND J. LACROIX, *Spectral theory of random Schrödinger operators*, Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990, xxvi+587 pp.
- [5] S. DOI, A. IWATSUKA AND T. MINE, *The uniqueness of the integrated density of states for the Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields*, Math. Z. 237 (2001), no. 2, 335–371.
- [6] I. HERBST, *Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with potentials independent of  $|x|$* , Am. J. Math. 113(3), 509-565 (1991)
- [7] I. HERBST, E. SKIBSTED, *Quantum scattering for potentials homogeneous of degree zero*, In: Mathematical Results in Quantum Mechanics (Taxco, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 307, pp. 163–169, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2002).
- [8] I. HERBST, E. SKIBSTED, *Quantum scattering for potentials independent of  $|x|$ : asymptotic completeness for high and low energies*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 29 (3–4), 547–610 (2004).

- [9] D. RUELLE, *Statistical mechanics: rigorous results*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc. 1969.
- [10] M. SHUBIN, *The spectral theory and the index of elliptic operators with almost periodic coefficients*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, Volume 34, Issue 2 (206), 95–135.
- [11] B. SIMON, *Schrödinger semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 7 (1982), no. 3, 447–526.
- [12] B. SIMON, *Functional integration and quantum physics*, sec. ed., AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005, xiv+306 pp.
- [13] M. ZWORSKI, *Semiclassical analysis*, AMS, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 138.

(Received October 16, 2022)

*Nurulla Azamov*  
Adelaide, SA, Australia  
e-mail: azamovnurulla@gmail.com

*Edward McDonald*  
Penn State University  
State College  
PA, 16803, USA  
e-mail: eam6282@psu.edu

*Fedor Sukochev*  
University of New South Wales  
Kensington, NSW, 2052, Australia  
e-mail: f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au

*Dmitriy Zanin*  
University of New South Wales  
Kensington, NSW, 2052, Australia  
e-mail: d.zanin@unsw.edu.au