

MAXIMAL NUMERICAL RANGE OF THE BIMULTIPLICATION $M_{2,A,B}$

EL HASSAN BENABDI, MOHAMED KAADOUH CHRAIBI
AND ABDERRAHIM BAGHDAD*

Dedicated to Professor M. Barraa

(Communicated by I. M. Spitkovsky)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, define the bimultiplication operator $M_{2,A,B}$ on the class of Hilbert-Schmidt operators by $M_{2,A,B}(X) = AXB$. It is known [5] that if either A or B is hyponormal, then

$$\overline{W(M_{2,A,B})} = \overline{co(W(A)W(B))},$$

where the bar and co stand for the closure and the convex hull, respectively and $W(\cdot)$ denotes the numerical range. In this paper, we give some conditions satisfied by A and B to have the following equality

$$W_0(M_{2,A,B}) = co(W_0(A)W_0(B)),$$

where $W_0(\cdot)$ denotes the maximal numerical range.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space over the complex field \mathbb{C} with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and its induced norm $\|x\| = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} . For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the numerical range of A is defined as the set

$$W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

It is a celebrated result due to Toeplitz-Hausdorff that $W(A)$ is a convex subset in the complex plane and it is known that $co(\sigma(A)) \subseteq \overline{W(A)}$, where $\sigma(A)$, co , and bar stand for the spectrum of A , the convex hull and the closure, respectively. The numerical range of an operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is closed if $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$, but it is not always closed when $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$. Let $w(A)$ denote the numerical radius of $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $w(A) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W(A)\}$. It is well-known that $w(\cdot)$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is equivalent to the operator norm, denoted $\|\cdot\|$. In fact, the following inequalities are well-known

$$\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47A12, 47B20, 47B47.

Keywords and phrases: Maximal numerical range, Hilbert-Schmidt operators, bimultiplication.

* Corresponding author.

For more details about the theory of numerical ranges, the reader is referred to [2, 3, 10, 11] and references therein.

A compact operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if $\text{tr}(AA^*) < \infty$, where tr and A^* stand for the usual trace functional and the adjoint operator of A , respectively. Let $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ denote the class of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on \mathcal{H} . Recall that $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ is a complex Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(AB^*)$ and norm $\|A\|_2^2 = \text{tr}(AA^*)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the left and right multiplications $L_{2,A}$ and $R_{2,A}$ are defined on $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ by $L_{2,A}(X) = AX$ and $R_{2,A}(X) = XA$, respectively. For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the bimultiplication $M_{2,A,B}$ is defined on $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ by $M_{2,A,B}(X) = (L_{2,A}R_{2,B})X = AXB$. The operators $L_{2,A}$ and $R_{2,A}$ are then particular bimultiplications since $L_{2,A} = M_{2,A,I}$ and $R_{2,A} = M_{2,I,A}$, where I is the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . Some results concerning the norm, spectrum and numerical range of $M_{2,A,B}$ can be found in [4, 5, 7, 13, 14]. It is proved in [7] that

$$\|M_{2,A,B}\| = \|A\| \|B\|. \tag{1.1}$$

In particular, $\|L_{2,A}\| = \|R_{2,A}\| = \|A\|$. In [14], it is proved that

$$\sigma(M_{2,A,B}) = \sigma(A)\sigma(B). \tag{1.2}$$

In [4], it is proved that if A is a nonnegative operator and $AB = BA$, then $W(AB) \subseteq W(A)W(B)$. In this case, as a consequence of the previous result, since $L_{2,A}$ is nonnegative, $L_{2,A}R_{2,B} = R_{2,B}L_{2,A}$, $W(L_{2,A}) = W(A)$ and $W(R_{2,B}) = W(B)$, we have

$$W(M_{2,A,B}) \subseteq W(A)W(B). \tag{1.3}$$

But in the general case the inclusion (1.3) does not hold. However, we have

$$W(M_{2,A,B}) \subseteq \text{co}(W(A)W(B)) + \overline{D(0, d(A))D(0, d(B))}, \tag{1.4}$$

see, [13]. In particular

$$w(M_{2,A,B}) \leq w(A)w(B) + d(A)d(B).$$

Here $D(0, \alpha)$ is the disk centred at the origin and of radius $\alpha \geq 0$ and

$$d(T) = \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|T - \lambda\|$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Recently, in [5] the authors proved that if either A or B is hyponormal, then

$$\overline{W(M_{2,A,B})} = \overline{\text{co}(W(A)W(B))}. \tag{1.5}$$

Recall that an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be hyponormal if $A^*A - AA^* \geq 0$ (i.e., $\|Ax\| \geq \|A^*x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$). Familiar examples of hyponormal operators are normal operators, those A for which $A^*A = AA^*$.

The notion of the numerical range has been generalized in different directions. One such a direction is the maximal numerical range. It is a relatively new concept in operator theory, having been introduced only in 1970 by Stampfli [16] and defined as follows.

DEFINITION 1.1. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the maximal numerical range $W_0(A)$ of A is given by

$$W_0(A) = \{\lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle : x_n \in \mathcal{H}, \|x_n\| = 1, \lim_n \|Ax_n\| = \|A\|\}.$$

It was shown in [16] that $W_0(A)$ is nonempty, closed, convex and contained in the closure of the numerical range; $W_0(A) \subseteq \overline{W(A)}$. In the case of finite-dimensional spaces, the maximal numerical range is produced by maximal vectors for A (vectors $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$ and $\|Ax\| = \|A\|$). Note that the notion of the maximal numerical range was introduced in [16] for the purpose of calculating the norm of the inner derivation on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Recall that the inner derivation δ_A associated with $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\delta_A : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), X \longmapsto AX - XA.$$

Indeed, the author of [16] established the following. For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\|\delta_A\| = 2d(A).$$

Recently, considerable interests have been given to the maximal numerical range, see, for instance, [1, 12, 15]. The following is proved in [12].

PROPOSITION 1.2. ([12]) *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$W_0(A^*) = W_0(A)^*,$$

where $L^* := \{\bar{z} : z \in L\}$ for any subset $L \subset \mathbb{C}$.

In [15], the author gives a description of the maximal numerical range of a normal operator and in [1] the result is generalized to a hyponormal one as follows.

THEOREM 1.3. ([1]) *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a hyponormal operator. Then*

$$W_0(A) = co(\sigma_n(A)),$$

where $\sigma_n(A) := \{\lambda \in \sigma(A) : |\lambda| = \|A\|\}$.

In this paper, we are interested in the equality (1.5) when replacing the numerical range by the maximal numerical range. In Section 2, we begin by showing that for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

$$co(W_0(A)W_0(B)) \subseteq W_0(M_{2,A,B}).$$

An inclusion analogous to (1.4) is also given for the maximal numerical range. Next, we give some conditions satisfied by the operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to have

$$W_0(M_{2,A,B}) = co(W_0(A)W_0(B)). \tag{1.6}$$

Indeed, we show that if A has a normal dilation N on some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\sigma(N) \subseteq \sigma(A)$ and B is hyponormal, then the equality (1.6) holds. Recall

that if S and T are bounded linear operators on complex Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} , respectively, the operator T is said to be a dilation of the operator S (or S is dilated to T) if there is an isometry V from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} such that $S = V^*TV$. Using the fact that any hyponormal operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has a normal dilation N on some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{K} with $\sigma(N) \subseteq \sigma(A)$ (see, [9]), we deduce that the equality (1.6) remains true if either A or A^* is hyponormal and either B or B^* is hyponormal.

2. Main results

Before stating our results, for the sake of completeness and for the convenience of the reader, we shall show here that $M_{2,A,B}^* = M_{2,A^*,B^*}$ for any operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\langle M_{2,A,B}X, Y \rangle_2 = \langle AXB, Y \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(AXBY^*).$$

Since $X, BY^* \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$, by [6, Proposition 18.8], the operator XYB^* is trace class. So, by [6, Theorem 18.11], $\text{tr}(AXBY^*) = \text{tr}(XYB^*A)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_{2,A,B}X, Y \rangle_2 &= \text{tr}(XYB^*A) \\ &= \text{tr}(X(A^*YB^*)^*) \\ &= \langle X, A^*YB^* \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle X, M_{2,A^*,B^*}Y \rangle_2. \end{aligned}$$

We start with the following.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\text{co}(W_0(A)W_0(B)) \subseteq W_0(M_{2,A,B}).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in W_0(A)$, then there exists a sequence of unit vectors $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\lambda = \lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \|Ax_n\| = \|A\|.$$

Let $\mu \in W_0(B)$, then Proposition 1.2 implies that $\bar{\mu} \in W_0(B^*)$. Therefore, there exists a sequence of unit vectors $y_n \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\bar{\mu} = \lim_n \langle B^*y_n, y_n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \|B^*y_n\| = \|B^*\| = \|B\|.$$

Recall that for all n , $x_n \otimes y_n \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|x_n \otimes y_n\|_2 = 1$. We have

$$\lim_n \langle M_{2,A,B}(x_n \otimes y_n), x_n \otimes y_n \rangle_2 = \lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \langle By_n, y_n \rangle = \lambda\mu.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \|M_{2,A,B}x_n \otimes y_n\|_2^2 &= \lim_n \langle M_{2,A,B}(x_n \otimes y_n), M_{2,A,B}(x_n \otimes y_n) \rangle_2 \\ &= \lim_n \langle M_{2,A,B}^* M_{2,A,B}(x_n \otimes y_n), x_n \otimes y_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \lim_n \langle M_{2,A^*,B^*} M_{2,A,B}(x_n \otimes y_n), x_n \otimes y_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \lim_n \langle M_{2,A^*A, BB^*}(x_n \otimes y_n), x_n \otimes y_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \lim_n \langle A^*Ax_n, x_n \rangle \langle BB^*y_n, y_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_n \|Ax_n\|^2 \|B^*y_n\|^2 \\ &= \|A\|^2 \|B\|^2 \\ &= \|M_{2,A,B}\|^2 \text{ (by the equality (1.1)).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda\mu \in W_0(M_{2,A,B})$ and so $co(W_0(A)W_0(B)) \subseteq W_0(M_{2,A,B})$. \square

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A linear functional f on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be maximal for A if $f(I) = \|f\| = 1$ and $f(A^*A) = \|A\|^2$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{max}(A)$ denote the set of all maximal linear functionals for A . The following result, which is from [8], asserts that if $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$W_0(A) = \{f(A) : f \in \mathcal{S}_{max}(A)\}.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and the preceding result, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.2. *For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $W_0(L_{2,A}) = W_0(R_{2,A}) = W_0(A)$.*

Proof. Theorem 2.1 implies that $W_0(A) \subseteq W_0(M_{2,A,I}) = W_0(L_{2,A})$. Now, we show that $W_0(L_{2,A}) \subseteq W_0(A)$. Therefore, let $\lambda \in W_0(L_{2,A})$, then there is $f \in \mathcal{S}_{max}(L_{2,A})$ such that $\lambda = f(L_{2,A})$. Define the map h on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $h(T) = f(L_{2,T})$. We claim that $h \in \mathcal{S}_{max}(A)$. Everything but $h(A^*A) = \|A\|^2$ is obvious. So, $h(A^*A) = f(L_{2,A^*A}) = f(L_{2,A^*}L_{2,A}) = f(L_{2,A}^*L_{2,A}) = \|L_{2,A}\|^2 = \|A\|^2$. Since $\lambda = f(L_{2,A}) = h(A)$, it follows that $\lambda \in W_0(A)$ and hence $W_0(L_{2,A}) \subseteq W_0(A)$.

Similarly, we only have to show that $W_0(R_{2,A}) \subseteq W_0(A)$. For this, let $\mu \in W_0(R_{2,A})$. Then, by Proposition 1.2, $\bar{\mu} \in W_0(R_{2,A})^* = W_0(R_{2,A^*})$. So, there is $g \in \mathcal{S}_{max}(R_{2,A^*})$ such that $\bar{\mu} = g(R_{2,A^*})$. Define the map k on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $k(T) = g(R_{2,T})$. By a similar argument as in the first part, we show that $k \in \mathcal{S}_{max}(A)$ and $k(A) = \mu$. Therefore, $\mu \in W_0(A)$ and hence $W_0(R_{2,A}) \subseteq W_0(A)$ as desired. \square

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|AB\| = \|A\|\|B\|$ and $AB = BA$. Then*

$$W_0(AB) \subseteq W_0(A)W_0(B) + \overline{D(0, d(A))D(0, d(B))}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in W_0(AB)$, then $\lambda = \lim_n \langle ABx_n, x_n \rangle$ and $\lim_n \|ABx_n\| = \|AB\|$ for some sequence of unit vectors $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $y_n \in \mathcal{H}$ be unit vectors with $x_n \perp y_n$ for all n and such that

$$Bx_n = \langle Bx_n, x_n \rangle x_n + \langle Bx_n, y_n \rangle y_n.$$

Then

$$\lambda = \lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \langle Bx_n, x_n \rangle + \lim_n \langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle \langle Bx_n, y_n \rangle.$$

We have $\|A\| \|B\| = \lim_n \|ABx_n\| \leq \|A\| \lim_n \|Bx_n\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$. This implies that $\lim_n \|Bx_n\| = \|B\|$ and so $\lim_n \langle Bx_n, x_n \rangle \in W_0(B)$. Similarly, $\lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \in W_0(A)$. According to [13, Lemma 7], $\lim_n \langle Ay_n, x_n \rangle \in \overline{D(0, d(A))}$ and $\lim_n \langle Bx_n, y_n \rangle \in \overline{D(0, d(B))}$. The desired result follows. \square

REMARK 2.4. *In the previous proposition, the condition $\|AB\| = \|A\| \|B\|$ is necessary as is shown in the following example. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We have $W_0(AB) = \{0\}$, $W_0(A) = W_0(B) = \{1\}$ and $d(A) = d(B) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $0 \notin W_0(A)W_0(B) + \overline{D(0, d(A))D(0, d(B))} = D\left(1, \frac{1}{4}\right)$.*

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$W_0(M_{2,A,B}) \subseteq W_0(A)W_0(B) + \overline{D(0, d(A))D(0, d(B))}.$$

Proof. First, note that $d(L_{2,A}) = \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|L_{2,A} - \lambda\| = \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|L_{2,A-\lambda}\| = \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|A - \lambda\| = d(A)$ and similarly $d(R_{2,A}) = d(A)$. Since $L_{2,A}R_{2,B} = R_{2,B}L_{2,A}$ and $\|L_{2,A}R_{2,B}\| = \|L_{2,A}\| \|R_{2,B}\|$, the result follows from the previous proposition. \square

Note that from Corollary 2.2, we have for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

$$W_0(M_{2,A,I}) = W_0(A)W_0(I)$$

and

$$W_0(M_{2,I,B}) = W_0(I)W_0(B).$$

Then the following question arises. When is the equality (1.6) true? In the following, we give some conditions satisfied by the operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to answer this question.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\dim \mathcal{H} = m$ and A is normal. Then*

$$W_0(M_{2,A,B}) = co(W_0(A)W_0(B)).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in W_0(M_{2,A,B})$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there is $X \in \mathcal{E}_2(\mathcal{H}) (= \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ with $\|X\|_2 = 1$, $\lambda = \langle M_{2,A,B}X, X \rangle_2$ and $\|M_{2,A,B}^*X\|_2 = \|A\| \|B\|$. We know that $\{e_i \otimes e_j : i, j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{E}_2(\mathcal{H})$ where $\{e_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} such that $\langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle = a_i \delta_{i,j}$, where a_i are the eigenvalues

of A and the symbol $\delta_{i,j}$ stands for the Kronecker delta. Write $X = \sum_{i,j=1}^m b_{i,j}e_i \otimes e_j$ with $\sum_{i,j=1}^m |b_{i,j}|^2 = 1$ and set $\alpha_i := \left[\sum_{k=1}^m |b_{i,k}|^2 \right]^{1/2}$. Define

$$y_i := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{b_{ij}}{\alpha_i} e_j, & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq 0, \\ e_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $X = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i \otimes y_i$ with $\|y_i\| = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 = 1$. Therefore, we get

$$\lambda = \langle M_{2,A,B}X, X \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle \langle By_i, y_i \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|^2 \|B\|^2 &= \langle M_{2,A,B}^*X, M_{2,A,B}^*X \rangle_2 = \langle M_{2,AA^*,B^*BX}, X \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle M_{2,A^*A,B^*BX}, X \rangle_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 \langle A^*Ae_i, e_i \rangle \langle B^*By_i, y_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 \|Ae_i\|^2 \|By_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this, since $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 = 1$, we get $\|Ae_i\| = \|A\|$ and $\|By_i\| = \|B\|$ for all i such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Hence $\langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle \in W_0(A)$ and $\langle By_i, y_i \rangle \in W_0(B)$. It results that $\lambda \in co(W_0(A)W_0(B))$ and so, $W_0(M_{2,A,B}) \subseteq co(W_0(A)W_0(B))$. The other inclusion is given by Theorem 2.1. \square

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that B is hyponormal. If A has a normal dilation N on some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{K} with $\sigma(N) \subseteq \sigma(A)$, then*

$$W_0(M_{2,A,B}) = co(W_0(A)W_0(B)).$$

To prove this theorem, we need the following auxiliary lemmas.

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$co(\sigma_n(A)) \subseteq W_0(A). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_n(A)$, then $\lambda \in \overline{W(A)}$. So, there is a sequence of unit vectors $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\lambda = \lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle$. But, $\|A\| = |\lambda| = \left| \lim_n \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \right| \leq \lim_n \|Ax_n\| \leq \|A\|$, then $\lim_n \|Ax_n\| = \|A\|$. Consequently, $\lambda \in W_0(A)$ and so $\sigma_n(A) \subseteq W_0(A)$. The desired result follows by the convexity of $W_0(A)$. \square

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If there exists a hyponormal operator H on some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and an isometry V from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H} such that $A = V^*HV$ and $\sigma(H) \subseteq \sigma(A)$, then*

$$W_0(A) \subseteq W_0(H).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [1, Lemma 3.1]. \square

Now, we are ready to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. By hypothesis, there is an isometry V from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H} such that $A = V^*NV$. It is easy to see that $M_{2,A,B}^* = L_{2,V}^*M_{2,N,B}^*L_{2,V}$. We have

$$M_{2,N,B}M_{2,N,B}^* - M_{2,N,B}^*M_{2,N,B} = M_{2,N^*N,B^*B-BB^*}.$$

Note that N^*N and $B^*B - BB^*$ are positive, then by the equality (1.5),

$$\overline{W(M_{2,N^*N,B^*B-BB^*})} \subseteq \overline{co(W(N^*N)W(B^*B - BB^*))}.$$

From this, we derive that M_{2,N^*N,B^*B-BB^*} is positive, that is, $M_{2,N,B}^*$ is hyponormal. Moreover, $L_{2,V}$ is an isometry and $\sigma(M_{2,N,B}) = \sigma(N)\sigma(B) \subseteq \sigma(A)\sigma(B) = \sigma(M_{2,A,B})$, that is, $\sigma(M_{2,N,B}^*) \subseteq \sigma(M_{2,A,B}^*)$. Then, according to Lemma 2.9, we get $W_0(M_{2,A,B}^*) \subseteq W_0(M_{2,N,B}^*)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(M_{2,A,B})^* &= W_0(M_{2,A,B}^*) \\ &\subseteq W_0(M_{2,N,B}^*) \\ &= co(\sigma_n(M_{2,N,B}^*)) \text{ (by Theorem 1.3)} \\ &= co(\sigma_n(M_{2,N^*,B^*})) \\ &= co(\sigma_n(N^*)\sigma_n(B^*)) \text{ (by the equality (1.2))} \\ &\subseteq co(\sigma_n(A^*)\sigma_n(B^*)) \text{ (since } \|A\| = \|N\| \text{ and } \sigma(N) \subseteq \sigma(A)) \\ &\subseteq co(W_0(A^*)W_0(B^*)) \text{ (by Lemma 2.8)} \\ &= \left(co(W_0(A)W_0(B)) \right)^* \text{ (by Proposition 1.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last equality we use the fact that $co(L^*) = (co(L))^*$ for any subset $L \subset \mathbb{C}$. We derive that $W_0(M_{2,A,B}) \subseteq co(W_0(A)W_0(B))$ and we conclude by Theorem 2.1. \square

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a hyponormal operator. Then, according to [9], A has a normal dilation N on some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\sigma(N) \subseteq \sigma(A)$. Note that A^* has N^* as a dilation on \mathcal{H} with $\sigma(N^*) \subseteq \sigma(A^*)$. From this and the previous theorem, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If either A or A^* is hyponormal and either B or B^* is hyponormal, then*

$$W_0(M_{2,A,B}) = co(W_0(A)W_0(B)).$$

Proof. Let us prove the result in the the case where A and B^* are hyponormal. So, according to [9], A has a normal dilation N on some complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\sigma(N) \subseteq \sigma(A)$. Then N^* is a normal dilation of A^* on \mathcal{H} with $\sigma(N^*) \subseteq \sigma(A^*)$. By Theorem 2.7, $W_0(M_{2,A^*,B^*}) = co(W_0(A^*)W_0(B^*))$ and we conclude as above. For the other cases, we use the same argument. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BAGHDAD AND M. C. KAADOUD, *On the maximal numerical range of a hyponormal operator*, Oper. Matrices **13** (4) (2019), 1163–1171.
- [2] F. F. BONSALL AND J. DUNCAN, *Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras*, London-New York: Cambridge University Press; (London mathematical society lecture note series; 2), (1971).
- [3] F. F. BONSALL AND J. DUNCAN, *Numerical ranges II*, New York-London, Cambridge University Press; (London mathematical society lecture notes series; 10), (1973).
- [4] R. BOULDIN, *The numerical range of a product*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **32** (1970), 459–467.
- [5] M. BOUMAZGOUR, H. A. NABWEY, *A note concerning the numerical range of a basic elementary operator*, Ann. Funct. Ann. **7** (3) (2016), 434–441.
- [6] J. B. CONWAY, *A Course In Operator Theory*, American Mathematical Society, (2000).
- [7] L. FIALKOW, *Structural properties of elementary operators*, Proc. Inter. Workshop, (1991).
- [8] C. K. FONG, *On the essential maximal numerical range*, Acta Sci. Math. **41** (1979), 307–315.
- [9] H. L. GAU, K. Z. WANG, P. Y. WU, *Numerical radii for tensor products of operators*, Integral Equ Oper Theory **78** (3) (2014), 375–382.
- [10] K. E. GUSTAFSON AND D. K. M. RAO, *Numerical range: The field of values of linear operators and matrices*, Springer, New York, Inc, (1997).
- [11] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*, New York: Van Nostrand, (1967).
- [12] G. JI, N. LIU AND Z. E. LI, *Essential numerical range and maximal numerical range of the Aluthge transform*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **55** (4) (2007), 315–322.
- [13] M. C. KAADOUD, *Domaine numérique de l'opérateur produit $M_{2,A,B}$ et de la dérivation généralisée $\delta_{2,A,B}$* , Extracta Mathematicae **17** (1) (2002), 59–68.
- [14] M. MATHIEU, *Spectral theory for multiplication operators on C^* -algebras*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **83 A** (2) (1983), 231–249.
- [15] I. M. SPITKOVSKY, *A note on the maximal numerical range*, Oper. Matrices **13** (3) (2019), 601–605.
- [16] J. G. STAMPELI, *The norm of derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **33** (1970), 737–747.

(Received May 9, 2022)

El Hassan Benabdi
Department of Mathematics, Laboratory of Mathematics
Statistics and Applications, Faculty of Sciences
Mohammed V University in Rabat, Rabat, Morocco
e-mail: elhassan.benabdi@gmail.com

Mohamed Kaadoud Chraïbi
Department of Mathematics, FSSM
Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh-Morocco
e-mail: chraibik@uca.ac.ma

Abderrahim Baghdad
Department of Mathematics, FSSM
Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh-Morocco
e-mail: bagabd66@gmail.com