

## ON $2 \times 2$ POSITIVE MATRICES OF $\tau$ -MEASURABLE OPERATORS

BAHARGUL NURAHMET AND MYRZAGALI N. OSPANOV\*

(Communicated by F. Hansen)

*Abstract.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra. We proved the following inequalities are hold and equivalent:

- (i) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ , then  $y \preceq_{\log} x$ .
- (ii) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then
 
$$a^*zb + b^*z^*a \preceq_{\log} a^*xa + b^*yb.$$
- (iii) If  $x, y, z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then  $z^* + z \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .
- (iv) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are positive operators, then  $x - y \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .
- (v) If  $x, y, z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then  $z^* \oplus z \preceq_{\log} x \oplus y$ .
- (vi) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are normal operators and  $z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  is positive operator, then for any contraction  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$|za(x+y)a^*z| \preceq_{\log} za(|x| + |y|)a^*z.$$

### 1. Introduction

We denote the set of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices by  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and by  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{M}_n)$  the set of all  $2 \times 2$  block matrices, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{M}_n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_n, i, j = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

We use the direct sum notation  $x \oplus y$  for the block-diagonal matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$ . Bourin proved that if  $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & c^* \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}$  are positive block-matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{M}_n$ , then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(c) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 46L52, 47L05.

*Keywords and phrases:* Generalized singular value,  $\tau$ -measurable operator, semi-finite von Neumann algebra.

This research was funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and High Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14871523).

\* Corresponding author.

where  $s_j(x)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is singular value of  $x \in \mathbb{M}_n$  (see [12, Theorem 4.1]).

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  be Hermitian matrices such that  $\pm y \leq x$ . In general,

$$s_j(y) \leq s_j(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

not holds (see [3, p. 121]). But, Bourin, Hirzallah and Kittaneh [1] proved that the following relation holds.

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(y) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{2}$$

Notice that (2) can also be concluded from the inequality (2.4) in [10] (also see [3, Theorem 4.1]). On the other hand,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x+y & 0 \\ 0 & x-y \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a unitary operator in  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M})$ , where 1 is the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{M}_n$ . Hence, we have that for  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are Hermitian matrices  $\pm y \leq x$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  (see [7]). Therefore, (2) also follows from (1).

If  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are positive matrices, then  $x - y, x + y$  are Hermitian matrices such that  $\pm(x - y) \leq x + y$ . By (2),

$$x - y \preceq_{\log} x + y. \tag{3}$$

Conversely, if  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are Hermitian matrices  $\pm y \leq x$ , then  $\frac{x-y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2} \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are positive matrices. Using (3), one get (2). In [2, Proposition 1.1], Bourin and Lee proved that if  $a \in \mathbb{M}_n$  is positive and  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are normal matrices, then for all  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(|a(x+y)a|^p) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(2^{p-1}a^p(|x|^p + |y|^p)a^p), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{4}$$

It is clear that (4) implies (3).

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra. We denote by  $L_0(\mathcal{M})$  the set of all  $\tau$ -measurable operators and by  $\mu_t(x)$  the generalized singular number of  $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$ . In this paper, we generalize (4) for operators in  $L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  (see next section for definition). We prove the following inequalities are equivalent:

(i) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ , then  $y \preceq_{\log} x$ .

(ii) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then

$$a^*zb + b^*z^*a \preceq_{\log} a^*xa + b^*yb.$$

(iii) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then  $z^* + z \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .

- (iv) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are positive operators, then  $x - y \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .
- (v) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then  $z^* \oplus z \preceq_{\log} x \oplus y$ .
- (vi) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are normal operators and  $z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  is positive operator, then for any contraction  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$|za(x+y)a^*z| \preceq_{\log} za(|x|+|y|)a^*z.$$

Using this result and an Araki-Lieb-Thirring type inequality in the  $\tau$ -measurable operator case ([8, Lemma 3.1]), we extend the (4) and [2, Corollary 2.10 and 2.13] to the  $\tau$ -measurable case.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega = (0, \alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq \infty$ ) be equipped with the usual Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ . We denote by  $L_0(\Omega)$  the space of  $\mu$ -measurable real-valued functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : |f(\omega)| > s\}) < \infty$  for some  $s$ . The decreasing rearrangement function  $f^* : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty]$  for  $f \in L_0(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : |f(\omega)| > s\}) \leq t\}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . If  $f, g \in L_0(\Omega)$  such that  $\int_0^t f^*(s)ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s)ds$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $f$  is said to be *majorized* by  $g$ , denoted by  $f \preceq g$ . Let  $E$  be a quasi-Banach subspace of  $L_0(\Omega)$ , simply called a quasi-Banach function space on  $\Omega$  in the sequel.  $E$  is said to be *symmetric* if, for  $f \in E$  and  $g \in L_0(\Omega)$  such that  $g^*(t) \leq f^*(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , one has  $g \in E$  and  $\|g\|_E \leq \|f\|_E$ ;  $E$  is *fully symmetric* if, for  $f \in L_0(\Omega)$  and  $g \in E$  such that  $f \preceq g$ , we have  $f \in E$  and  $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$ .

We always denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal finite trace  $\tau$  and by  $L_0(\mathcal{M})$  the set of all  $\tau$ -measurable operators associated with  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . For  $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$ , the distribution function  $\lambda_\tau(x)$  of  $x$  is defined by  $\lambda_\tau(x) = \tau(e_{(t, \infty)}(|x|))$  for  $t > 0$ , where  $e_{(t, \infty)}(|x|)$  is the spectral projection of  $|x|$  in the interval  $(t, \infty)$ , and the generalized singular numbers  $\mu_\tau(x)$  of  $x$  by

$$\mu_\tau(x) = \inf\{s > 0 : \lambda_\tau(x) \leq t\} \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Let  $E$  be a symmetric quasi-Banach function space on  $(0, \alpha)$  ( $\tau(1) = \alpha$ ). Define

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}) : \|\mu(x)\|_E < \infty\}, \quad \|x\|_E = \|\mu(x)\|_E.$$

Then  $(E(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_E)$  is a quasi-Banach space. We call it noncommutative symmetric space and denote by  $E(\mathcal{M})$  (see [15, 17]).

If  $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$ , then we shall say that  $x$  is submajorized by  $y$ , written  $x \preceq y$ , if and only if  $\mu(x) \preceq \mu(y)$ .

Let

$$L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M}) = \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}) : \log_+ |x| \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{M}\},$$

where  $\log_+ t = \{\log t, 0\}$ ,  $t > 0$ . We recall that  $L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  is a  $*$ -algebra and

$$L_1(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{M} \subset L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M}) \subset L_0(\mathcal{M}).$$

For  $x \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $t \in (0, \tau(1))$ , the determinant function associated with  $x$  is defined by

$$\Delta_t(x) = e^{\int_0^t \log \mu_s(x) ds}.$$

From the definition and [6, Lemma 2.5], we easily deduce that if  $x \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $t > 0$ , then

$$\Delta_t(x) = \Delta_t(x^*) = \Delta_t(|x|) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\Delta_t(x^r) = \Delta_t(x)^r, \quad \text{if } r > 0 \text{ and } x \text{ is positive.} \tag{6}$$

For the determinant function, we have the following Weyl inequality:

$$\Delta_t(xy) \leq \Delta_t(x)\Delta_t(y), \quad \forall x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \forall t > 0 \tag{7}$$

(see [4, Theorem 4.2]). Recall that if  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and the product  $xy$  is self adjoint, then

$$\Delta_t(xy) \leq \Delta_t(yx), \quad t > 0. \tag{8}$$

If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  such that

$$\int_0^t \log \mu_s(x) ds \leq \int_0^t \log \mu_s(y) ds, \quad t > 0,$$

$x$  is said to be logarithmically submajorized by  $y$ , denoted by  $x \preccurlyeq_{\log} y$ . It is clear that  $x \preccurlyeq_{\log} y$  if and only if  $\Delta_t(x) \leq \Delta_t(y)$  for all  $t > 0$ . For  $f(t) = e^t$  using [5, Lemma 4.1], we get that  $x \preccurlyeq_{\log} y$  implies  $x \preccurlyeq y$ .

We recall the well-known equality:

$$e^{\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \log |f(s)| ds} = \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |f(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{9}$$

$$\text{if } \int_0^t |f(s)|^p ds < +\infty \text{ for some } p > 0$$

(see page 71 of [13]).

We remark that if  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_m$  and  $\tau$  is the standard trace, then

$$\mu_t(x) = s_j(x), \quad t \in [j-1, j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence, if  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_m$ , then  $x \preccurlyeq y$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^k s_j(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k s_j(y), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

$x \preceq_{\log} y$  is equivalent to

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(y), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

We will denote the semi-finite von Neumann algebra

$$\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, x_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}, i, j = 1, 2 \right\}$$

on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M})$ , which is associated with the semi-finite trace  $Tr \otimes \tau$ .

We will use the following result (see [14, Proposition 3]), if  $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$ , then

$$\mu_t(x \oplus x^*) = \mu_{\frac{t}{2}}(x), \quad t > 0. \tag{10}$$

### 3. Main results

First, we extend (2) to the semi-finite von Neumann algebra case.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$  be self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ . Then*

$$y \preceq_{\log} x.$$

*Proof.* We use the method in the proof of [1, inequality (1.6)]. It is clear that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x+y & 0 \\ 0 & x-y \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix},$$

$\begin{pmatrix} x+y & 0 \\ 0 & x-y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a unitary operator in  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M})$ . It follows that  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . Using [9, Lemma 2.2], we obtain that there exists a contraction  $a$  such that  $y = x^{\frac{1}{2}} a x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . By (7) and (8), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t(y) &= \Delta_t(x^{\frac{1}{2}} a x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \Delta_t(xa) \\ &= e^{\int_0^t \log \mu_s(xa) ds} \leq e^{\int_0^t \log \|a\| \mu_s(a) ds} \\ &\leq e^{\int_0^t \log \mu_s(x) ds} = \Delta_t(x), \quad t > 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let  $x, y \in L_{\log+}(\mathcal{M})$  be positive operators. Then  $x - y \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $x, y$  are self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\pm(x - y) \leq x + y$ , by Lemma 1, the result holds.

If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$ . Set  $x_n = xe_{[0,n]}(x)$ ,  $y_n = ye_{[0,n]}(y)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $x_n, y_n \in \mathcal{M}$  are positive operators,  $x_n \leq x$ ,  $y_n \leq y$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in measure. Using [6, Lemma 3.4 and 2.5 (iii)], Fatou’s lemma and the first case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \log \mu_s(x - y) ds &\leq \int_0^t \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \mu_s(x_n - y_n) ds \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \log \mu_s(x_n - y_n) ds \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \log \mu_s(x_n + y_n) ds \leq \int_0^t \log \mu_s(x + y) ds. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ , then  $y \preceq_{\log} x$ .
- (ii) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then
 
$$a^*zb + b^*z^*a \preceq_{\log} a^*xa + b^*yb.$$
- (iii) If  $x, y, z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then  $z^* + z \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .
- (iv) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are positive operators, then  $x - y \preceq_{\log} x + y$ .
- (v) If  $x, y, z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then  $z^* \oplus z \preceq_{\log} x \oplus y$ .
- (vi) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are normal operators and  $z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  is positive operator, then for any contraction  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$|za(x + y)a^*z| \preceq_{\log} za(|x| + |y|)a^*z.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) If  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , we use the method in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.2 and 4.4] to obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^*xa + b^*yb + a^*zb + b^*z^*a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & b^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^*xa + b^*yb - a^*zb - b^*z^*a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -b^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Hence,  $a^*xa + b^*yb \geq \pm(a^*zb + b^*z^*a)$ , so by (i), we obtain (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ , then  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . By (iii), we deduce that (i) holds.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are positive operators, then  $\pm(x - y) \leq x + y$ . By (i), we obtain (iv).

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ , then  $x - y \geq 0$ ,  $x + y \geq 0$ . By (iv), we obtain (i).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) Since  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & -z \\ -z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , we get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \geq \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ z^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, by (i),  $z^* \oplus z \preceq_{\log} x \oplus y$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) Let  $x = u|x|$  be the polar decomposition of  $x$ . Then  $x = |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}u|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} |x| & x \\ x^* & |x| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ u^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ u^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , and so  $\begin{pmatrix} |x| & x \\ x^* & |x| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . Similarly,  $\begin{pmatrix} |y| & y \\ y^* & |y| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . Hence,  $\begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x + y \\ x^* + y^* & |x| + |y| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} za(|x| + |y|)a^*z & za(x + y)a^*z \\ za(x^* + y^*)a^*z & za(|x| + |y|)a^*z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} za & 0 \\ 0 & za \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x + y \\ x^* + y^* & |x| + |y| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^*z & 0 \\ 0 & a^*z \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Using (v) and (10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\frac{t}{2}}(za(x + y)a^*z)^2 &= \Delta_t(za(x + y)a^*z \oplus za(x^* + y^*)a^*z) \\ &\leq \Delta_t(za(|x| + |y|)a^*z \oplus za(|x| + |y|)a^*z) \\ &= \Delta_{\frac{t}{2}}(za(|x| + |y|)a^*z)^2, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$za(x + y)a^*z \preceq_{\log} za(|x| + |y|)a^*z.$$

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) is trivial.  $\square$

REMARK 1. From (v) of Theorem 1 it follows that [11, Theorem 3.1] holds for operators in  $L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Now, we extend [2, Corollary 2.10 and 2.13] to the  $\tau$ -measurable case.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $z \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  be positive operator and  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  be a contraction.

(i) If  $x_i \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are normal operators, then

$$\left|za\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i}{m}\right)a^*z\right|^p \preceq_{\log} \left(za\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|}{m}\right)a^*z\right)^p \preceq_{\log} z^p a\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p}{m}\right)a^*z^p.$$

(ii) If  $x \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$ , then

$$\left|za\left(\frac{x+x^*}{2}\right)a^*z\right|^p \preceq_{\log} \left(za\left(\frac{|x|+|x^*|}{2}\right)a^*z\right)^p \preceq_{\log} z^p a\left(\frac{|x|^p+|x^*|^p}{2}\right)a^*z^p.$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_m \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \begin{pmatrix} a & a & \cdots & a \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

By (vi) of Theorem 1,

$$|ZAXA^*Z| \preceq_{\log} ZA|X|A^*Z,$$

hence,

$$\left|za\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i}{m}\right)a^*z\right|^p \preceq_{\log} \left(za\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|}{m}\right)a^*z\right)^p.$$

Using [8, Lemma 3.1], we deduce that for any  $r > 0$ ,

$$[(ZA|X|A^*Z)^p]^r \preceq (Z^p A|X|^p A^* Z^p)^r.$$

Applying (9), we obtain that  $|ZAXA^*Z|^p \preceq_{\log} (ZA|X|A^*Z)^p$ , i.e.,

$$\left(za\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|}{m}\right)a^*z\right)^p \preceq_{\log} z^p a\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p}{m}\right)a^*z^p.$$

(ii) From the proof of (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) of Theorem 1, we know that  $\begin{pmatrix} |x^*| & x \\ x^* & |x| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x^*| & x \\ x^* & |x| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

and so  $\begin{pmatrix} za\left(\frac{|x|+|x^*|}{2}\right)za^* & za\left(\frac{x^*+x}{2}\right)za^* \\ za\left(\frac{x+x^*}{2}\right)za^* & za\left(\frac{|x^*|+|x|}{2}\right)za^* \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . Using (iii) of Theorem 1, we deduce that

$$za\left(\frac{x^*+x}{2}\right)za^* \preceq_{\log} za\left(\frac{|x|+|x^*|}{2}\right)za^*.$$

So, it follows that  $\left|za\left(\frac{x+x^*}{2}\right)a^*z\right|^p \preceq_{\log} \left(za\left(\frac{|x|+|x^*|}{2}\right)a^*z\right)^p$ .

Let  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $X = \begin{pmatrix} |x| & 0 \\ 0 & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The remainder of the proof follows exactly the same way as in the proof of (i).  $\square$



COROLLARY 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *If  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are Hermitian matrices and  $\pm y \leq x$ , then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(y) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(ii) *If  $x, y, z, a, b \in \mathbb{M}_n$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(a^* z b + b^* z^* a) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(a^* x a + b^* y b), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(iii) *If  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(z + z^*) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x + y), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(iv) *If  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are positive semi-definite matrices, then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x - y) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x + y), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(v) *If  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{M}_n$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(z \oplus z^*) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(x \oplus y), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(vi) *If  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$  are normal matrix and  $z \in \mathbb{M}_n$  is positive matrix, then for any contraction matrix  $a \in \mathbb{M}_n$ ,*

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(z a (x + y) a^* z) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k s_j(z a (|x| + |y|) a^* z), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let  $E$  be a fully symmetric Banach function space on  $(0, \alpha)$  and  $f$  be a continuous increasing function on  $(0, \alpha)$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $t \rightarrow f(e^t)$  is convex. The following holds:*

(i) *If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $\pm y \leq x$ , then*

$$\|f(|y|)\|_E \leq \|f(|x|)\|_E.$$

(ii) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then

$$\|f(|a^*zb + b^*z^*a|)\|_E \leq \|f(|a^*xa + b^*yb|)\|_E.$$

(iii) If  $x, y \in L_{\log_+}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , then

$$\|f(|z^* \oplus z|)\|_E \leq \|f(|x \oplus y|)\|_E.$$

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $E$  be a fully symmetric Banach function space on  $(0, \alpha)$  and  $f$  be a continuous increasing function on  $(0, \alpha)$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $t \rightarrow f(e^t)$  is convex. Then for any  $x, y \in E(\mathcal{M})$ ,

$$\|f(|x + x^*|)\|_E \leq \|f(|x| + |x^*|)\|_E$$

and

$$\|f(|x + y + x^* + y^*|)\|_E \leq \min\{\|f(|x + y| + |x^* + y^*|)\|_E, \|f(|x| + |x^*| + |y| + |y^*|)\|_E\}.$$

In the matrix case, the first inequality of Corollary 2 follows from [2, Corollary 2.13].

*Proof.* Since  $\begin{pmatrix} |x| + |x^*| & x^* + x \\ x + x^* & |x^*| + |x| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , by (iii) of Theorem 1 and [5, Lemma 4.1], we obtain that  $\|f(|x + x^*|)\|_E \leq \|f(|x^*| + |x|)\|_E$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} |x| + |x^*| + |y| + |y^*| & x^* + x + y^* + y \\ x + x^* + y + y^* & |x^*| + |x| + |y^*| + |y| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| + |x^* + y^*| & x^* + y^* + x + y \\ x + y + x^* + y^* & |x^* + y^*| + |x + y| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

From these we get the second inequality.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** Let  $E$  be a fully symmetric Banach function space on  $(0, \alpha)$  and  $f$  be a continuous increasing function on  $(0, \alpha)$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $t \rightarrow f(e^t)$  is convex. Then for any  $a, b, c, d \in E(\mathcal{M})$ ,

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|f(|ab^* + ba^* + cd^* + dc^*|)\|_E, \\ \|f(|ac^* + ca^* + bd^* + db^*|)\|_E, \\ \|f(|ad^* + da^* + bc^* + cb^*|)\|_E \end{array} \right\} \leq \|f(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2)\|_E.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\begin{pmatrix} a^* & c^* \\ b^* & d^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 + |c|^2 & a^*b + c^*d \\ b^*a + d^*c & |b|^2 + |d|^2 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ , using (iii) of Theorem 1 and [5, Lemma 4.1], we obtain that

$$\|f(|ab^* + ba^* + cd^* + dc^*|)\|_E \leq \|f(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2)\|_E.$$

Similarly,

$$\|f(|ac^* + ca^* + bd^* + db^*|)\|_E \leq \|f(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2)\|_E$$

and

$$\|f(|ad^* + da^* + bc^* + cb^*|)\|_E \leq \|f(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2)\|_E. \quad \square$$

*Acknowledgement.* We thank the reviewer for useful comments. This research was funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and High Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14871523).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.-C. BOURIN, O. HIRZALLAH AND F. KITTANEH, *Jensen matrix inequalities and direct sums*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **58**, 5 (2010), 645–652.
- [2] J.-C. BOURIN, E.-Y. LEE, *Matrix inequalities from a two variables functional*, Internat. J. Math. **27**, 9 (2016), 1650071 (19 pages).
- [3] A. BURQAN AND F. KITTANEH, *Singular value and norm inequalities associated with  $2 \times 2$  positive semidefinite block matrices*, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra **32**, April (2017), 116–124.
- [4] P. DODDS, T. DODDS, F. SUKOCHEV AND D. ZANIN, *Logarithmic submajorization, uniform majorization and Hölder type inequalities for  $\tau$ -measurable operators*, Indag. Math. **31**, 5 (2020), 809–830.
- [5] T. FACK, *Sur la notion de valeur caractéristique*, J. Operator Theory **7**, 2 (1982), 307–333.
- [6] T. FACK AND H. KOSAKI, *Generalized  $s$ -numbers of  $\tau$ -measurable operators*, Pac. J. Math. **123**, 2 (1986), 269–300.
- [7] C. H. FITZGERALD AND R. A. HORN, *On the structure of Hermitian-symmetric inequalities*, J. London Math. Soc. **s2-15**, 3 (1977), 419–430.
- [8] Y. HAN, *On the Araki-Lieb-Thirring inequality in the semifinite von Neumann algebra*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **7**, 4 (2016), 622–635.
- [9] Y. HAN, *Submajorization and  $p$ -norm inequalities associated with  $\tau$ -measurable operators*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **65**, 11 (2017), 2199–2211.
- [10] R. A. HORN AND R. MATHIAS, *Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities associated with positive semidefinite matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **142**, December (1990), 63–82.
- [11] S. JUNIS AND A. OSHANOVA, *On submajorization inequalities for matrices of measurable operators*, Adv. Oper. Theory **6**, Article 8 (2021).
- [12] M. LIN, *Inequalities related to 2-by-2 block PPT matrices*, Oper. Matrices **9**, 4 (2015), 917–924.
- [13] W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*, third edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [14] B. K. SAGEMAN AND T. N. BEKJAN, *On some inequalities for norms of commutators*, Acta Anal. Funct. Appl. **9**, 1 (2007), 21–28.
- [15] F. SUKOCHEV, *Completeness of quasi-normed symmetric operator spaces*, Indag. Math. **25**, 2 (2014), 367–388.

- [16] O. E. TIKHONOV, *Continuity of operator functions in topologies connected to a trace on a von Neumann algebra*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat (Soviet Math.) **(31)**, 1 (1987), 77–79 (110–114).
- [17] Q. XU, *Analytic functions with values in lattices and symmetric spaces of measurable operators*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **109**, 3 (1991), 541–563.

(Received September 17, 2022)

*Bahargul Nurahmet*  
*School of Mathematics and Statistics*  
*Yili Normal University*  
*Yining 835000, China*  
*e-mail: 2423883975@qq.com*

*Myrzagali N. Ospanov*  
*Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics*  
*L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University*  
*Astana 010008, Kazakhstan*  
*e-mail: Ospanov\_mn@enu.kz*